

RADNOR

"A purely natural water, brilliant, pleasantly sparkling and delicate to the taste."
—London, England.

SEVENTEENTH

WAS INHIBITED BY THE HOUSE OF COMMONS

LEADERS YESTERDAY.

SIR CHARLES IN FIGHTING FORM.

HOW HE SCORED THE LIBERAL PREMIER FOR HIS JAMES FACED SPEECHES.

SHOWED HOW THE LIBERALS TOLD ONE STORY

IN ONTARIO AND ANOTHER IN QUEBEC

ON THE LAKE CAMPAIGN—THE MANITOBA

SCHOOL QUESTION A SUBJECT OF WARM DEBATE

SIR CHARLES ADMITS THAT HE WAS MISTAKEN AS TO THE FEELING OF THE ROMAN CATHOLIC—MR. LAURIER'S LIVELY REPLY—MR. FOSTER HAS THE UPPER HAND

OTTAWA, Aug. 24.—(Special.)—No public bills in the hands of private members were ready for introduction today and the first business on the order paper was the disposal of questions.

Mr. Cameron had five questions in reference to Captain McGregor of the Government survey boat Bayfield, charging him with being an active political canvasser and partisan on behalf of the Conservative candidate in West Huron.

Mr. Davies said the Government was not aware that Captain McGregor was an active political canvasser for Conservatives, but complaints in that direction had lately been made to the Department, which complaints would be investigated.

The general election, Captain McGregor was absent from the Bayfield for some days, presumably for the purpose of voting. He was so absent with the knowledge of the Government. No record of any application for leave of absence was received, but the officer in charge of the survey was authorized to grant leave to any officer if he wished to go to his home.

In reply to another question by Mr. Cameron, Mr. Davies said the Government was not aware that Captain McGregor had conveyed voters from various parts on the east coast of Lake Huron to the west coast of the same lake.

On the subject of the Conservative candidate, no record was on hand in the department to show that the cruiser had been used for any such purpose. Captain Dunn had instructions to go into Lake Huron to patrol the coast and to see that no electioneering was done.

The franchise act. Mr. Cameron asked Mr. Laurier that it was not the intention of the Government during the present session to introduce a bill to amend the present Franchise Act.

Mr. Laurier replied that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

That fast service. Sir Richard Cartwright, answering Mr. Cameron's question, said that he had received for the fast service service between Canada and England from the Government.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

Mr. Laurier informed Mr. Langley that the Government was not aware that the present Franchise Act was not amended during the present session.

INVESTMENTS.

The Toronto Mining Syndicate to Have Income of \$500,000 in Mines in the Vicinity of Rosland, B.C.

Mr. J. M. Murray, Chief of the Ontario Detective Bureau, returned yesterday from a well-deserved holiday of three months in Europe. Mr. Murray sailed early in June by the City of Rome from New York, landing at Glasgow, Scotland. After spending some time in Edinburgh he sailed from Leith with a party of friends on board a private yacht. The Eastern coast of Scotland was traversed and then the boat proceeded to the Norway fjords, where some splendid fishing was done.

Here Mr. Murray left the yacht and visited the Christiania capital of Norway, Copenhagen, in Denmark; Hamburg, in Germany; London, Dublin, Belfast, and other cities he visited with great interest. He returned to Toronto, where he will be in the city until the 15th inst.

There are many big deals on. K. N. McPhee, a broker for a London, England syndicate, is after a valuable property. The syndicate will handle British Columbia mines.

The town of Rosland is crowded. There is a big boom in the sale of new buildings. The town is being re-erected and the new railway is progressing rapidly.

Forest fires are raging in the vicinity of the mountains are covered with smoke. A.R.M.

Another World Special. Rosland, B.C., Aug. 24.—(Special.)—The World, via Spokane, Wash.—Strikes are reported this morning in the Gopher, adjoining the Homestead, on the west, and on the South Side, near the Crown Point Mine, and on Hill Top, near the Mayflower.

There is renewed excitement here over the strike of gold ore reported from every direction. The recent developments have gone beyond the most sanguine expectations.

LI HUNG CHANG'S TRIP. The Chinese Ambassador Will Make Time While Passing Through Canada—In Toronto and in the West.

Toronto, Aug. 24.—(Special.)—Mr. D. McNeil, general passenger agent of the Canadian Pacific Railway, will leave for New York Thursday evening, where he will meet Mr. Li Hung Chang, the Chinese ambassador, on his return to his native land.

Mr. Laurier being most severe. In the connection a curious story is being told. It is to the effect that while Mr. Laurier has assumed the responsibility for the new famous Record interview, he never saw it until it appeared in print. Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

Mr. Laurier has been told that the author of the Record interview is a man who has been in the soup for various amounts, some as high as \$20,000.

WAS MR. LAURIER THE AUTHOR?

Report that Premier Laurier Knew Nothing About That Story

REPORTED TO BE AN INTERVIEW.

In Which the First Minister Suggested a Joint Control of Our Canals.

AGAIN THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS LOOKS UP AS THE GOVERNMENT MONTAGNER, TO WHICH THE PREMIER ASSURES—ORDERS ISSUED TO CAMP DRILL—A NEW SCHEME CALLED—THE CONSERVATIVE CAUSE TO BE HELD—THURSDAY NEXT TO BE A LEADER.

Ottawa, Aug. 24.—(Special.)—The first day's debate on the address to the Governor-General, which was held in the House of Commons, was a most interesting one. The Premier, Mr. Laurier, was the chief speaker, and he was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson, the Minister of Public Works. The Premier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House.

Mr. Laurier's speech was a most able one, and he was well received by the House. He was supported by Mr. McPherson,