

them; or, if they would, they could not get out. They cannot send over their troops in a sufficient number to attack us in the West-Indies; no, nor to defend themselves, if a pure regard to Britains good could have prevailed with us to attack them there last winter; because their ports are all blocked up. The French troops therefore, must either have remained at home unemployed, or be sent into Germany. And, at a time, when they were precluded from invading England, and their West-India islands lay all naked and exposed to us; whither could they wish to transfer the war, rather than into Germany, where they have nothing to lose, or be in fear for; and where could they wish to have us meet them, rather than in a country, where we have nothing to hope for?

Should any one in answer to this, alledge that Britain has nothing to lose in Germany, any more than France has. I answer, yes. England has its greatest stake there; it has H——r to lose. Should the reader demur to this, and raise a doubt, whether that country be really of so much importance to Britain; I cannot answer his doubts: but if it be not of that importance, what then are we fighting for? Why have we been spending twelve millions in Germany, to defend, only from insult, that, which cannot be of so much worth to us; or to prevent the French from getting into a country, where they will find nothing to tempt their stay, or to remit to their own crown, when they have been there?

Shall