

Oil Substitution Act

UFFI before this program is abolished and budget cuts are made by his government? Those people were supported by the Hon. Member for Joliette (Mr. La Salle) who advocated full compensation for them when he was on this side of the House. Did the Hon. Member for Duvernay (Mr. Della Noce) make sure that that full compensation requested by his party when they were in the opposition will be granted now that they are on the government side?

Mr. Della Noce: I thank my colleague. As I said a moment ago, the program was fully operational, and I could see that my colleague was quite pleased about it, because it is a fact that it went well. However, the problem just raised by my colleague is one which we have inherited, we got stuck with it. The Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs (Mr. Côté) is looking into it, and it seems that he has taken the issue very seriously because he has already raised the matter with our energy committee. I am confident a solution will be found, but I cannot say any more about it because we are still searching. But I remain confident since the Minister went to the trouble of consulting us to seek our views.

Mr. Malépart: Mr. Speaker, it is a real pleasure to listen to the Hon. Member for Duvernay (Mr. Della Noce) who, in my opinion, is one of a handful of Conservative Members who is objective and does not spend his time congratulating himself. He said—and just admitted again—that it was an excellent program which met the needs of the people, and he also admitted that a great many Canadian men and women could still use it to insulate their home. He went on to say that his Government has been left in a financial mess by the previous administration, but would he not agree that his Government would have been better advised not to spend \$56 million to change the colour of our military uniforms, and \$110 million to send our forces spend their money in Lahr, in Germany, that it should have given those millions of dollars to Canadians, knowing as he certainly does that the home insulation program made people spend less on energy besides creating jobs in all regions of Canada?

Mr. Della Noce: Mr. Speaker, that is just like my colleague, he simply will not give up rehashing the issue of military uniforms. I want to confine my remarks to petroleum. Should he go over my speech, he would find out that I did not denounce the program, I said that enough is enough, that we must think about something else. Everything comes to an end.

With respect to insulation, my colleague knows that the program will last for a while yet, he should know that it will continue for another year so that Canadians will have further opportunities to use it, but the program cannot remain unchanged until 1990. I was never taken in by the illusion of the former Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources and I will remain convinced that it was just that, an illusion. I liked the program, sure, but illusions I could do without. Illusions are over!

[English]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The period for questions and comments has now expired. I will recognize the Hon. Member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mr. Waddell) on debate.

Mr. Ian Waddell (Vancouver-Kingsway): Mr. Speaker, first, I would like to make some comments on the Hon. Member's speech because I disagreed with some of the things he said. I want to tell him that when I referred to his cars as "hot cars", I meant fast, beautiful and worth collecting.

There is another matter which arose in the House this afternoon about which I am very concerned. While this Bill about oil substitution is very important, the Government has entered into several agreements concerning defence, fisheries and other things, which have taken away the sovereignty of the country. It is a fast public relations exercise for the American President. That is not the way we in the NDP believe Canada should be governed.

Accordingly, we will take the only move which we can. Therefore, I move, seconded by the Hon. Member for Churchill (Mr. Murphy):

That this House do now adjourn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The House has heard the terms of the motion. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those in favour will please say yea.

Some Hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some Hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five Members having risen:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Call in the Members.

The House divided on the motion (Mr. Waddell) which was negated on the following division:

(Division No. 13)

YEAS

Messrs.

Althouse
Boudria
Caccia
Cassidy
Chrétien
Copps (Ms.)
Deans
de Corneille
de Jong
Dingwall
Foster
Garneau
Gauthier

Gray
(Windsor West)
Guilbault
(Saint-Jacques)
Heap
Henderson
Hopkins
Hovdebo
Isabelle
Kaplan
Langdon
MacLellan
Manly
Murphy

Nicholson (Miss)
(Trinity)
Orlikow
Penner
Pépin (Mrs.)
Prud'homme
Riis
Robichaud
Robinson
Rossi
Turner
(Vancouver Quadra)
Waddell
Young—37.