Trenton or at Hamilton. I think the Minister of Labour will have to recognize that right across Canada to-day there is unrest. It is to be found at Arvida. There are 600 on strike at Wallaceburg, and Hamilton is seething.

Mr. MITCHELL: That does not give the straight story. The hon, member knows that the Arvida dispute is a jurisdictional one. It is an inter-union difficulty. The question at Wallaceburg is an inter-union dispute.

Mr. GILLIS: It is a question of union recognition.

Mr. MITCHELL: It is a question of interunion dispute, or what they call a jurisdictional dispute.

Mr. GILLIS: If I had the time-

Mr. SPEAKER: The hon. member's time has expired.

Mr. DOUGLAS (Weyburn): He has had about ten minutes of interruptions.

Mr. SPEAKER: Has the hon. member finished his speech?

Mr. GILLIS: Mr. Speaker, I will close now. There is a good deal I should like to say on the question, but if my time has expired I shall not transgress the rules of the house.

Mr. PERLEY: Mr. Speaker, I move the adjournment of the debate.

Some hon. MEMBERS: No, no.

Mr. MacKINNON (Edmonton West): Yes.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver Centre): No.

Mr. GRAYDON: I hesitate to rise at this time, Mr. Speaker, but there was an arrangement made, which the acting leader of the government will perhaps confirm, whereby the hon. member for Qu'Appelle would move the adjournment at this time.

Mr. MacKINNON (Edmonton West): That is correct.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver Centre): Speaking in a personal capacity, may I point out that in the last three or four days we have adjourned the debate ten minutes, twenty minutes or thirty minutes before the hour for adjournment. I strongly protest against adjourning a debate at 10.30 p.m.

Mr. COLDWELL: I suggest that what the Minister of Pensions and National Health (Mr. Mackenzie) says is right. We ought to continue. But may I suggest this, that if an opposition member wishes to adjourn the

debate he should be given the same opportunity for adjournment as is given to a government member. Last night the house was adjourned at 5.45 p.m. by the hon. member for New Westminster (Mr. Reid), and I have no doubt the hon. member for Qu'Appelle thought he should have the same privilege to-night. If we are going to have sauce for one goose, we should have it for both geese on both sides of the house.

Mr. SPEAKER: I would inform hon members that the motion is not debatable.

Mr. GRAYDON: On the point of order, if I may. I do not think we on this side of the house should be put in an impossible position such as has been attempted to-night. Ordinarily we would go on till eleven o'clock, and I think it is our duty to do so. I endorse what the Minister of Pensions and National Health (Mr. Mackenzie) has said. There is no one more regretful than I that the speaking arrangements have fallen down to some extent on this occasion, but I want it to be quite clear that we will not countenance this sort of thing again. On the other hand, this does seem to be an exception and time might be made up on another occasion. I want it to be quite clear that we are not in favour of having early adjournments. However, it has been done, and perhaps with this example in mind we will make this the last for this session.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver Centre): In view of the arrangements that have been made I will withdraw any objection I may have had.

Mr. CRERAR: Earlier in the evening the government whip said to me that the present list of speakers would be exhausted with the hon. member for Cape Breton South (Mr. Gillis). He said also that the hon. member for Davenport (Mr. MacNicol) intended to speak this evening but was indisposed with a sore throat. The leader of the opposition (Mr. Graydon) asked me if under the circumstances we would be prepared to adjourn at 10.20 p.m., and I expressed the opinion to him that I thought it was a bad practice.

Mr. GRAYDON: We are both agreed on that.

Mr. CRERAR: I have not been present at the previous sittings of the house this week, and I was not aware that the house had been adjourning before eleven o'clock. I suggested to my hon. friend who leads the opposition that we might adjourn after the hon. member for Cape Breton South had spoken. Perhaps