

at an earlier day. I did wish to review the arguments for and against the system of superannuation, for I think the time has come when we should change the mode of superannuating and, place the whole Civil Service system on a new basis. However, at this late hour of the Session, I will not trouble the House with any remarks, but submit the following amendment:—

That the Bill be recommitted to a Committee of the Whole, to amend the same, so as to provide (with due regard to the rights of those who have been already admitted to the Superannuation List) for the abolition of the present system, and the substitution of a plan whereby a percentage of the salary of each Civil Servant shall be retained, and placed to his credit, and shall be payable to him with interest on his quitting the service, or to his family in case of his death in the service.

Amendment (Mr. Ross, Middlesex) negatived on the following division:—

YEAS :  
Messieurs

Armstrong	Fleming,	McMullen,
Bain,	Forbes,	Paterson (Brant),
Béchar, d,	Geoffrion,	Pickard,
Bernier,	Gillmor,	Platt,
Blake,	Gunn,	Rinfret,
Bourassa,	Harley,	Robertson (Shelburne),
Burpee (Sunbury),	Holton,	Ross (Middlesex),
Campbell (Renfrew),	Innes,	Scriver,
Casey,	Keefer,	Somerville (Brant),
Casgrain,	Kirk,	Somerville (Bruce),
Catudal,	Landerkin,	Springer,
Davies,	Lister,	Sutherland (Oxford),
De St. Georges,	Livingstone,	Thompson,
Dupont,	McMillan (Huron),	Trow, and
Fairbank,	McCraney,	Vail.—47.
Fisher,	McIntyre,	

NAYS :  
Messieurs

Abbott,	Dugas,	McCarthy,
Allison,	Dundas,	McDougald,
Amyot,	Farrow,	Massue,
Baker (Victoria),	Ferguson (Leeds & Gren)	Mitchell,
Barnard,	Ferguson (Welland),	Montplaisir,
Beaty,	Fortin,	O'Brien,
Bell,	Foster,	Orton,
Benoit,	Fréchette,	Ouimet,
Benson,	Gigault,	Patterson (Essex),
Bergeron,	Girouard (Jacq. Cart.),	Pinsonneault,
Bergin,	Girouard (Kent),	Pope,
Billy,	Gordon,	Reid,
Blanchet,	Grandbois,	Richey,
Blondeau,	Guillet,	Riopel,
Bowell,	Hackett,	Robertson (Hamilton),
Brecken,	Haggart,	Royal,
Cameron (Victoria),	Hall,	Scott,
Campbell (Victoria),	Hawkins,	Shakespeare
Carling,	Homer,	Small,
Caron,	Hurteau,	Smyth,
Cimon,	Jamieson,	Sproule,
Cochrane,	Kilvert,	Tassé,
Costigan,	Kinney,	Taylor,
Coursol,	Krauz,	Tilley,
Curran,	Labrosse,	Tyrwhitt,
Cuthbert,	Landry,	Vanasse,
Daly,	Langevin,	Wallace (York),
Daoust,	Lesage,	White (Cardwell)
Dawson,	Macdonald (King's),	Williams,
De Beaujeu,	Macdonald (Sir John),	Wood (Brockville),
Desaulniers,	McDonald (G. Breton),	Wood (Westm'land),
Desjardins,	Macmillan (Middlesex),	Woodworth, and
Dickinson,	McMillan (Vaudreuil),	Wright.—100.
Dodd,		

On motion for third reading,

Mr. McMULLEN. I do not intend to detain the House but a few minutes. It will be remembered that when this Bill was introduced, I made some remarks and presented to the House a statement, the accuracy of which was challenged by the hon. the Finance Minister. I stated there were fifteen on the Civil Service list that had served for six and two-thirds years, and the hon. gentleman replied that there was no person on the list who had not served ten years. I promised when the Bill came to the third reading that I would supply the House with the names. The hon. First Minister also, on that occasion, challenged the correctness Mr. Ross (Middlesex).

of my statement. I will now read to the House a list of the fifteen names I referred to :

	Years in the Service.	Years added to Length of Service.	Amounts.
E. N. Piché.....	6	10	\$400.00
Rev. J. Cameron.....	3	10	252.24
P. E. Côté.....	9	10	424.08
G. J. Coursolle.....	8	10	851.20
E. Daigneault.....	7	3	187.91
Robert Donkin.....	5	10	437.04
John Flinn.....	5	7	456.00
Charles Ketchum.....	6	7	499.20
J. W. King.....	6	10	608.04
C. M. Nutting.....	5	10	145.56
W. A. Ryan.....	3	10	75.72
F. Z. Tassé.....	8	7	997.44
V. Tétu.....	8	10	345.60
John Costley.....	10	10	592.20
L. Crosscup.....	10	2	78.36

Bill read the third time and passed.

SALE OF INTOXICATING LIQUORS.

Sir JOHN A. MACDONALD moved the third reading of Bill (No. 132) respecting the sale of Intoxicating Liquors, and the issue of Licenses therefor.

Mr. OUIMET. I desire to submit to the House an amendment, to preserve a right which was enjoyed by municipal councils in the Province of Quebec, to prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors within the limits of their jurisdiction. It will act as a further restriction on the sale of intoxicating liquors, and will be an improvement to the Bill; it will aid the cause of temperance, and at the same time will be a recognition of the rights which municipalities enjoyed before the passing of the British North America Act. The Municipal Council, according to the laws in force in 1867, that is, before Confederation, had the right to prohibit within their jurisdiction the sale of intoxicating liquors and to pass by-laws to that effect. As was stated by the hon. member for South Simcoe (Mr. McCarthy) last night, those powers and privileges which were enjoyed before 1867 by the different municipalities could not be affected by any law passed by this House; that is to say, that no municipal privilege or franchise could be restricted by any legislation passed since 1867, as under the Confederation Act those municipal institutions were placed under the protection of the Local Legislatures. This is the constitutional view and the temperance view of the question, and the adoption of my amendment will give another lever to temperance apostles to assist them in prohibiting the sale of intoxicating liquors when deemed advisable. I beg to move the following amendment thereto:—

That the Bill be recommitted to a Committee of the Whole, in order to amend the same, by adding thereto the following clause:—Section 44 (a). No provision in this Act contained shall affect the powers conferred on the municipal councils in the Province of Quebec, of each county, city, town, village, parish and township, by the laws in force in the said Province on the 1st July, 1867, to restrict or prohibit the sale of intoxicating liquors in the limits of their respective territorial jurisdiction, and these powers and the by-laws now in force, passed under the authority of the said laws, are hereby confirmed (*confirmés*).

Mr. DESJARDINS. I am in favor of the principle of this amendment. I would like to see it inserted, if it is not already covered by the amendment made to section 140:

Nothing in the foregoing provisions of this Act shall be construed to affect or impair any of the provisions of The Canada Temperance Act, 1878; and no hotel, saloon, or shop license shall be issued or take effect within any county, city, town, incorporated village or township in Canada within which the second part of the said Act has been brought into force as by the said Act provided, or within which any by-law for prohibiting the sale of liquor under the Temperance Act of 1864, or any other Act, is in force.

Mr. OUIMET. The Act of 1864 is no longer in force.

Mr. MCCARTHY. It seems to me that the amendment is all right with the exception of the word "restrict." If