tant circumstances which I had before often heard them relate; which must be attributed, either to their confusion upon appearing before fo awful an affembly, or to their having a dependance upon the Company, and an expectation of being employed again in their fervice. I will beg leave to give one instance of this deficiency:

ARTHUR SLATER mate of one of the Company's floops in the Bay, being with Christopher Banister, a witness, said, that "Longdon and " Hay ought to be hanged for laying down, " in a draught of a discovery, places in Hud-" fon's-Bay which they never faw or knew " anything of": and Banister reprimanding Hay for laying down those places upon conjecture without having seen them; Hay an-fwered, "Peugh, it signifies nothing; it will " never be known": but Banister said nothing of this before the committee. I could produce many more instances of the same failure in point of evidence: but the reader will eafily observe the difference between that which was produced before the committee, as related in their report; and the account contained in the following pages.

On the other hand the Company's defence was made principally from journals and letters, which could not lie under the same disadvantages; and those produced, were only such as were calculated to fet their affairs and conduct

in the most favourable light.