

THAT THIRD PARTY.

THE BOOM IS BEING HEARD ALL OVER THE COUNTRY.

A Chance for the Young Man—What One of Mr. Bunting's Constituents Says—The National Party Coming to the Front Rapidly.

To THE WORLD:—One reason why there is a demand for a third party is that the old organizations afford but little room for our young men, except as hewers of wood and drawers of water.

The older politicians, grown crafty and unscrupulous by years of demagogic experience, are anxious that the young men should see that they may reap. No young man has a chance before a party convention or to any honorable position in the gift of the party bosses. He has almost as little chance of the promotion in the employment of our great railway and financial corporations, the fat places of which are needed for expectations of old country families. Only the other day one of our "heavyweights" contended that a coffee house could not be properly managed unless the manager came direct from England.

Hence our young men are being driven out of the old parties, and out of the country, many of them seeking in the United States that "fair field and no favor" denied them at home.

As there is no room for us in the old parties, let us leave them and form a new one. As there is little room for us in our own country, let us lay about us at the polls until we make room for ourselves.

At present we are the servants of servants—the supporters of the supporters of a government four thousand miles away.

YOUNG CANADA.

To THE WORLD: Your paper of 16th asks "Is a third party needed?" We emphatically say yes; and the country is ripe for it now. Many of us in this country of well-to-do taxpayers and look upon our political machinery as quite too vast to be kept up longer, and those running it irresponsible. We are compelled to pay for all this luxury and extravagance. We can with judicious economy cut off half of them and put the remainder under half-pay, and then they will far better than those who are taxed to remunerate them. Go on with your questions. They have the right ring, and all reasonable men must admit that they should be considered and acted on at once.

P. S.—We agree with your views and like your paper. It is not trammelled by party ties to prevent it from telling the truth. A little discussion will create a political whirlwind equal, if not greater, than that of 1878, and it is much needed.

T. P.

To THE WORLD: There is ample room for a third party and at the present time a splendid opportunity offers for the formation of such a party which can best give expression and effect to the will of the people. And what is the will of the people? What is the dominant sentiment of the hour? To be free to manage our own affairs, both commercial and political, and thereby elevate our status to a point worthy of respect among nations, and to record our name as Canadians upon the scroll of history. These we believe are the true sentiments of the people of Canada; and as the two existing parties are so firmly bound up in their own selfish interest—both utterly regardless of the welfare of the country, so long as a party interest is served—a third party is rendered necessary to the salvation of the country. As per is essential to representative institutions, party we must have or retrograde into anarchy. But let us have a party that is thoroughly national and very much less selfish than the two parties who have grown to be, who do little else than pursue one another with vindictive animosity. The leading origin of both parties has given the people to understand that neither will take further steps in a national direction, but will expose all attempts of the people to move toward the goal of their ambition, and even go so far as to ridicule the outpouring sentiment of the people to the good sense of those whom they misinform and entice. But good sense and the advanced intelligence of the people will rise above all future attempts to mislead them. The advocates of independence are prepared to discuss in a fair manner the various points of contention with their adversaries in order that conclusion may be formed from a view of both sides, and made effective at the next general elections by a preponderance of votes for or against national and political independence. Past attempts to form a third party will of course be referred to by both parties, but we need hardly say those attempts were premature and ill-conducted. Never before has this question of independence received so much consideration by the people of Canada as at present. Go where we will we find it the principal topic of conversation. The farmers talk of it in the kitchen; the merchants discuss it over their mid-day meal, and the artisans debate the subject in the wake of Dickens, and expose to public gaze more of the doings of the Dodsons and Fogg, and the profession generally—looked at as the trustees for their clients—than they do. Were any one to advertise for instances of the illegal doings of lawyers I believe a mass of facts could be collected that would show that the public man who could carry a measure through parliament which would make law very promptly amenable to public justice, forgetful of duty as trustees for their clients, would do more for the cause of human justice and the relief of individual suffering than any other single measure that any man could carry. Beside this what is wanted is cheap law founded on justice. Why should not a justice of the peace—a man in the ordinary walk of life—with a clear head and known to be an honest man by his neighbors—be able to settle small disputes about debt on the principle of equity without all the expensive and legal paraphernalia of the division courts? Why should the poor man, in cases where non-payment is simply the result of inability, have to pay heavy costs, in addition to the debt itself, in order to get his money? Why should the poor man, in cases where he should be the means of abolishing a弊病, where the poor are not able to pay, would do a greater service to the human race than all the paid professionals have done for a century past. Instead of theories and third parties then, let the aspirants, benefactors of their kind, concentrate their attention and efforts to a more practical and humane justice and mercy to support the weak against the strong—to protect the property of the rich and relieve

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

THAT THIRD PARTY.

THE BOOM IS BEING HEARD ALL OVER THE COUNTRY.

A Chance for the Young Man—What One of Mr. Bunting's Constituents Says—The National Party Coming to the Front Rapidly.

To THE WORLD:—One reason why there is a demand for a third party is that the old organizations afford but little room for our young men, except as hewers of wood and drawers of water.

The older politicians, grown crafty and unscrupulous by years of demagogic experience, are anxious that the young men should see that they may reap. No young man has a chance before a party convention or to any honorable position in the gift of the party bosses. He has almost as little chance of the promotion in the employment of our great railway and financial corporations, the fat places of which are needed for expectations of old country families. Only the other day one of our "heavyweights" contended that a coffee house could not be properly managed unless the manager came direct from England.

Hence our young men are being driven out of the old parties, and out of the country, many of them seeking in the United States that "fair field and no favor" denied them at home.

As there is no room for us in the old parties, let us leave them and form a new one. As there is little room for us in our own country, let us lay about us at the polls until we make room for ourselves.

At present we are the servants of servants—the supporters of the supporters of a government four thousand miles away.

YOUNG CANADA.

To THE WORLD: Your paper of 16th asks "Is a third party needed?" We emphatically say yes; and the country is ripe for it now. Many of us in this country of well-to-do taxpayers and look upon our political machinery as quite too vast to be kept up longer, and those running it irresponsible. We are compelled to pay for all this luxury and extravagance. We can with judicious economy cut off half of them and put the remainder under half-pay, and then they will far better than those who are taxed to remunerate them. Go on with your questions. They have the right ring, and all reasonable men must admit that they should be considered and acted on at once.

P. S.—We agree with your views and like your paper. It is not trammelled by party ties to prevent it from telling the truth. A little discussion will create a political whirlwind equal, if not greater, than that of 1878, and it is much needed.

T. P.

To THE WORLD: There is ample room for a third party and at the present time a splendid opportunity offers for the formation of such a party which can best give expression and effect to the will of the people. And what is the will of the people? What is the dominant sentiment of the hour? To be free to manage our own affairs, both commercial and political, and thereby elevate our status to a point worthy of respect among nations, and to record our name as Canadians upon the scroll of history. These we believe are the true sentiments of the people of Canada; and as the two existing parties are so firmly bound up in their own selfish interest—both utterly regardless of the welfare of the country, so long as a party interest is served—a third party is rendered necessary to the salvation of the country. As per is essential to representative institutions, party we must have or retrograde into anarchy. But let us have a party that is thoroughly national and very much less selfish than the two parties who have grown to be, who do little else than pursue one another with vindictive animosity. The leading origin of both parties has given the people to understand that neither will take further steps in a national direction, but will expose all attempts of the people to move toward the goal of their ambition, and even go so far as to ridicule the outpouring sentiment of the people to the good sense of those whom they misinform and entice. But good sense and the advanced intelligence of the people will rise above all future attempts to mislead them. The advocates of independence are prepared to discuss in a fair manner the various points of contention with their adversaries in order that conclusion may be formed from a view of both sides, and made effective at the next general elections by a preponderance of votes for or against national and political independence. Past attempts to form a third party will of course be referred to by both parties, but we need hardly say those attempts were premature and ill-conducted. Never before has this question of independence received so much consideration by the people of Canada as at present. Go where we will we find it the principal topic of conversation. The farmers talk of it in the kitchen; the merchants discuss it over their mid-day meal, and the artisans debate the subject in the wake of Dickens, and expose to public gaze more of the doings of the Dodsons and Fogg, and the profession generally—looked at as the trustees for their clients—than they do. Were any one to advertise for instances of the illegal doings of lawyers I believe a mass of facts could be collected that would show that the public man who could carry a measure through parliament which would make law very promptly amenable to public justice, forgetful of duty as trustees for their clients, would do more for the cause of human justice and the relief of individual suffering than any other single measure that any man could carry. Beside this what is wanted is cheap law founded on justice. Why should not a justice of the peace—a man in the ordinary walk of life—with a clear head and known to be an honest man by his neighbors—be able to settle small disputes about debt on the principle of equity without all the expensive and legal paraphernalia of the division courts? Why should the poor man, in cases where non-payment is simply the result of inability, have to pay heavy costs, in addition to the debt itself, in order to get his money? Why should the poor man, in cases where he should be the means of abolishing a弊病, where the poor are not able to pay, would do a greater service to the human race than all the paid professionals have done for a century past. Instead of theories and third parties then, let the aspirants, benefactors of their kind, concentrate their attention and efforts to a more practical and humane justice and mercy to support the weak against the strong—to protect the property of the rich and relieve

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

THAT THIRD PARTY.

THE BOOM IS BEING HEARD ALL OVER THE COUNTRY.

A Chance for the Young Man—What One of Mr. Bunting's Constituents Says—The National Party Coming to the Front Rapidly.

To THE WORLD:—One reason why there is a demand for a third party is that the old organizations afford but little room for our young men, except as hewers of wood and drawers of water.

The older politicians, grown crafty and unscrupulous by years of demagogic experience, are anxious that the young men should see that they may reap. No young man has a chance before a party convention or to any honorable position in the gift of the party bosses. He has almost as little chance of the promotion in the employment of our great railway and financial corporations, the fat places of which are needed for expectations of old country families. Only the other day one of our "heavyweights" contended that a coffee house could not be properly managed unless the manager came direct from England.

Hence our young men are being driven out of the old parties, and out of the country, many of them seeking in the United States that "fair field and no favor" denied them at home.

As there is no room for us in the old parties, let us leave them and form a new one. As there is little room for us in our own country, let us lay about us at the polls until we make room for ourselves.

At present we are the servants of servants—the supporters of the supporters of a government four thousand miles away.

YOUNG CANADA.

To THE WORLD: Your paper of 16th asks "Is a third party needed?" We emphatically say yes; and the country is ripe for it now. Many of us in this country of well-to-do taxpayers and look upon our political machinery as quite too vast to be kept up longer, and those running it irresponsible. We are compelled to pay for all this luxury and extravagance. We can with judicious economy cut off half of them and put the remainder under half-pay, and then they will far better than those who are taxed to remunerate them. Go on with your questions. They have the right ring, and all reasonable men must admit that they should be considered and acted on at once.

P. S.—We agree with your views and like your paper. It is not trammelled by party ties to prevent it from telling the truth. A little discussion will create a political whirlwind equal, if not greater, than that of 1878, and it is much needed.

T. P.

To THE WORLD: There is ample room for a third party and at the present time a splendid opportunity offers for the formation of such a party which can best give expression and effect to the will of the people. And what is the will of the people? What is the dominant sentiment of the hour? To be free to manage our own affairs, both commercial and political, and thereby elevate our status to a point worthy of respect among nations, and to record our name as Canadians upon the scroll of history. These we believe are the true sentiments of the people of Canada; and as the two existing parties are so firmly bound up in their own selfish interest—both utterly regardless of the welfare of the country, so long as a party interest is served—a third party is rendered necessary to the salvation of the country. As per is essential to representative institutions, party we must have or retrograde into anarchy. But let us have a party that is thoroughly national and very much less selfish than the two parties who have grown to be, who do little else than pursue one another with vindictive animosity. The leading origin of both parties has given the people to understand that neither will take further steps in a national direction, but will expose all attempts of the people to move toward the goal of their ambition, and even go so far as to ridicule the outpouring sentiment of the people to the good sense of those whom they misinform and entice. But good sense and the advanced intelligence of the people will rise above all future attempts to mislead them. The advocates of independence are prepared to discuss in a fair manner the various points of contention with their adversaries in order that conclusion may be formed from a view of both sides, and made effective at the next general elections by a preponderance of votes for or against national and political independence. Past attempts to form a third party will of course be referred to by both parties, but we need hardly say those attempts were premature and ill-conducted. Never before has this question of independence received so much consideration by the people of Canada as at present. Go where we will we find it the principal topic of conversation. The farmers talk of it in the kitchen; the merchants discuss it over their mid-day meal, and the artisans debate the subject in the wake of Dickens, and expose to public gaze more of the doings of the Dodsons and Fogg, and the profession generally—looked at as the trustees for their clients—than they do. Were any one to advertise for instances of the illegal doings of lawyers I believe a mass of facts could be collected that would show that the public man who could carry a measure through parliament which would make law very promptly amenable to public justice, forgetful of duty as trustees for their clients, would do more for the cause of human justice and the relief of individual suffering than any other single measure that any man could carry. Beside this what is wanted is cheap law founded on justice. Why should not a justice of the peace—a man in the ordinary walk of life—with a clear head and known to be an honest man by his neighbors—be able to settle small disputes about debt on the principle of equity without all the expensive and legal paraphernalia of the division courts? Why should the poor man, in cases where non-payment is simply the result of inability, have to pay heavy costs, in addition to the debt itself, in order to get his money? Why should the poor man, in cases where he should be the means of abolishing a弊病, where the poor are not able to pay, would do a greater service to the human race than all the paid professionals have done for a century past. Instead of theories and third parties then, let the aspirants, benefactors of their kind, concentrate their attention and efforts to a more practical and humane justice and mercy to support the weak against the strong—to protect the property of the rich and relieve

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

THAT THIRD PARTY.

THE BOOM IS BEING HEARD ALL OVER THE COUNTRY.

A Chance for the Young Man—What One of Mr. Bunting's Constituents Says—The National Party Coming to the Front Rapidly.

To THE WORLD:—One reason why there is a demand for a third party is that the old organizations afford but little room for our young men, except as hewers of wood and drawers of water.

The older politicians, grown crafty and unscrupulous by years of demagogic experience, are anxious that the young men should see that they may reap. No young man has a chance before a party convention or to any honorable position in the gift of the party bosses. He has almost as little chance of the promotion in the employment of our great railway and financial corporations, the fat places of which are needed for expectations of old country families. Only the other day one of our "heavyweights" contended that a coffee house could not be properly managed unless the manager came direct from England.

Hence our young men are being driven out of the old parties, and out of the country, many of them seeking in the United States that "fair field and no favor" denied them at home.

As there is no room for us in the old parties, let us leave them and form a new one. As there is little room for us in our own country, let us lay about us at the polls until we make room for ourselves.

At present we are the servants of servants—the supporters of the supporters of a government four thousand miles away.

YOUNG CANADA.

To THE WORLD: Your paper of 16th asks "Is a third party needed?" We emphatically say yes; and the country is ripe for it now. Many of us in this country of well-to-do taxpayers and look upon our political machinery as quite too vast to be kept up longer, and those running it irresponsible. We are compelled to pay for all this luxury and extravagance. We can with judicious economy cut off half of them and put the remainder under half-pay, and then they will far better than those who are taxed to remunerate them. Go on with your questions. They have the right ring, and all reasonable men must admit that they should be considered and acted on at once.

P. S.—We agree with your views and like your paper. It is not trammelled by party ties to prevent it from telling the truth. A little discussion will create a political whirlwind equal, if not greater, than that of 1878, and it is much needed.

T. P.

To THE WORLD: There is ample room for a third party and at the present time a splendid opportunity offers for the formation of such a party which can best give expression and effect to the will of the people. And what is the will of the people? What is the dominant sentiment of the hour? To be free to manage our own affairs, both commercial and political, and thereby elevate our status to a point worthy of respect among nations, and to record our name as Canadians upon the scroll of history. These we believe are the true sentiments of the people of Canada; and as the two existing parties are so firmly bound up in their own selfish interest—both utterly regardless of the welfare of the country, so long as a party interest is served—a third party is rendered necessary to the salvation of the country. As per is essential to representative institutions, party we must have or retrograde into anarchy. But let us have a party that is thoroughly national and very much less selfish than the two parties who have grown to be, who do little else than pursue one another with vindictive animosity. The leading origin of both parties has given the people to understand that neither will take further steps in a national direction, but will expose all attempts of the people to move toward the goal of their ambition, and even go so far as to ridicule the outpouring sentiment of the people to the good sense of those whom they misinform and entice. But good sense and the advanced intelligence of the people will rise above all future attempts to mislead them. The advocates of independence are prepared to discuss in a fair manner the various points of contention with their adversaries in order that conclusion may be formed from a view of both sides, and made effective at the next general elections by a preponderance of votes for or against national and political independence. Past attempts to form a third party will of course be referred to by both parties, but we need hardly say those attempts were premature and ill-conducted. Never before has this question of independence received so much consideration by the people of Canada as at present. Go where we will we find it the principal topic of conversation. The farmers talk of it in the kitchen; the merchants discuss it over their mid-day meal, and the artisans debate the subject in the wake of Dickens, and expose to public gaze more of the doings of the Dodsons and Fogg, and the profession generally—looked at as the trustees for their clients—than they do. Were any one to advertise for instances of the illegal doings of lawyers I believe a mass of facts could be collected that would show that the public man who could carry a measure through parliament which would make law very promptly amenable to public justice, forgetful of duty as trustees for their clients, would do more for the cause of human justice and the relief of individual suffering than any other single measure that any man could carry. Beside this what is wanted is cheap law founded on justice. Why should not a justice of the peace—a man in the ordinary walk of life—with a clear head and known to be an honest man by his neighbors—be able to settle small disputes about debt on the principle of equity without all the expensive and legal paraphernalia of the division courts? Why should the poor man, in cases where non-payment is simply the result of inability, have to pay heavy costs, in addition to the debt itself, in order to get his money? Why should the poor man, in cases where he should be the means of abolishing a弊病, where the poor are not able to pay, would do a greater service to the human race than all the paid professionals have done for a century past. Instead of theories and third parties then, let the aspirants, benefactors of their kind, concentrate their attention and efforts to a more practical and humane justice and mercy to support the weak against the strong—to protect the property of the rich and relieve

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

the poor.

THAT THIRD PARTY.

THE BOOM IS BEING HEARD ALL OVER THE COUNTRY.

A Chance for the Young Man—What One of Mr. Bunting's Constituents Says—The National Party Coming to the Front Rapidly.

To THE WORLD:—One reason why there is a demand for a third party is that the old organizations afford but little room for our young men, except as hewers of wood and drawers of water.

The older politicians, grown crafty and unscrupulous by years of demagogic experience, are anxious that the young men should see that they may reap. No young man has a chance before a party convention or to any honorable position in the gift of the party bosses. He has almost as little chance of the promotion in the employment of our great railway and financial corporations, the fat places of which are needed for expectations of old country families. Only the other day one of our "heavyweights" contended that a coffee house could not be properly managed unless the manager came direct from England.

Hence our young men are being driven out of the old parties, and out of the country, many of them seeking in the United States that "fair field and no favor" denied them at home.

As there is no room for us in the old parties, let us leave them and form a new one. As there is little room for us in our own country, let us lay about us at the polls until we make room for ourselves.

At present we are the servants of servants—the supporters of the supporters of a government four thousand miles away.

YOUNG CANADA.

To THE WORLD: Your paper of 16th asks "Is a third party needed?" We emphatically say yes; and the country is ripe for it now. Many of us in this country of well-to-do taxpayers and look upon our political machinery as quite too vast to be kept up longer, and those running it irresponsible. We are compelled to pay for all this luxury and extravagance. We can with judicious economy cut off half of them and put the remainder under half-pay, and then they will far better than those who are taxed to remunerate them. Go on with your questions. They have the right ring, and all reasonable men must admit that they should be considered and acted on at once.

P. S.—We agree with your views and like your paper. It is not trammelled by party ties to prevent it from telling the truth. A little discussion will create a political whirlwind equal, if not greater, than that of 1878, and it is much needed.