

Radicals claim Arts teach-in "shake-up" victory

By DAN JAMIESON

Thursday's Arts Teach-in is being touted as a major victory by the campus radicals, but it will probably be the last of its kind.

The Dean of Arts, D. E. Smith, said Friday he could not see the point of the day-long teach-in at Con Hall and, though he would not actively oppose a second one, he would not give it his support.

Boyd Hall, chief organizer of the teach-in, said that he was "very pleased" with the turn-out, (1,500 to 2,000 students), and with the reaction the teach-in received.

"We've shaken the students up a bit. We've made them realize that the radical element does exist on this campus," said Hall.

DISAPPOINTING START

The teach-in got off to a disappointing start.

The most radical thing said in the entire morning came from Peter Boothroyd, who suggested students should take over the arts faculty, and even his statement sounded like old hat to most students.

By noon, the reaction was one of disappointment to a teach-in which was called to inform the students, and had thus far said very little of which the students were not already aware.

"It's just the same old SDU dog-food served up in a different dish," was the way one student put it.

Most of the students were awaiting some call to action which they had expected to arise out of the teach-in.

"We get sick of the same people saying their thing over and over again," said Paul Pavlik, a grad student in geography.

The dull and disappointing atmosphere surrounding the teach-in changed dramatically, however, during the talks by Dean Smith and Ken Mills toward the end of the program.

The Dean had hardly begun his speech when he was interrupted by hecklers.

pics, story continued on page 3

No sociology grad students at sit-in

Sociology grad students are handling their problems in their own way, and do not want any outside interference of the type offered on Friday, according to sociology grad student Rick Volpe.

"We do feel that the faculty has made a mistake," he said, but a sit-in or demonstration was not necessary to correct that mistake.

"We're meeting informally right now with the faculty to work this out," he said.

"There was one conspicuous absence at the meeting," he said referring to the meeting to plan out Tuesday's "confrontation" with the sociology department. "Not one sociology grad student was there."

"I'm quite sure that most of the Grad students are in agreement with me," he said, "though of course, I cannot speak for all of them."

Whether the sociology department capitulates to the demands of the SEAC or not, will really make very little difference. The voice of student power has been heard through the teach-in, and the radicals are on the move.



DEAN D.E. SMITH
"... (heckling) was expected"



KEN MILLS
"... turned on the audience"

Arts students march on Tory

By DAN JAMIESON

More than 150 students marched on the sociology department to present a list of their demands Friday afternoon, in the wake of Thursday's Arts Teach-in.

The students marched from SUB theatre to the Tory building. All of the students in the march walked up the five flights of stairs to the sociology department, with the exception of John Bordo, who rode the elevator.

A number of students joined the marchers on their way to the Tory building without even understanding what was going on. Their sole concern was in being where the action is.

After jamming themselves into the narrow hall-way on the fifth floor, and waiting for a few minutes while they tried to scare up a faculty member, the marchers heard Ron MacDonald read the list of demands to Professor Abu-Laban.

The demands were:

- That the executive committee of the sociology department meet the students in an open meeting to be held at 10 a.m. Tuesday.

- That the department give "responses to the following concern", the "suppression of democracy in the sociology department. The statement went on to say that the Students' Emergency Action Committee supported the "sociology students in their struggle

for the democratization of the sociology department," but that this could only be seen as a part of the "struggle by students against the existing authoritarian structure of the university."

After hearing the demands, Professor Abu-Laban said he would pass them on to his colleagues, and try to be at the meeting.

Students left the building in an orderly fashion after their meeting with Abu-Laban. Several students regrouped on the Tory building steps to hear speakers, and about 50 of them re-entered the building. They temporarily "liberated" the Graduate Students Lounge and used it as a meeting hall in which to organize publicity and a position paper for Tuesday's meeting, and to discuss further action to be taken.

The march followed a meeting of the SEAC in SUB theatre which was called under the disguising banner of "The Conflict at Simon Fraser and How We Can Help."

Brief histories of the sit-in at SFU which ended with the arrest of 114 student, and the situation in the sociology department were given by Dennis Lomas, Sagir Ahmed, Jon Bordo, and professor Don Whiteside. Ron MacDonald then read the demands, and invited the students to join him in a march to present the demands to the sociology department.

SFU rejects strike for teach-in, exams

By ALLEN GARR

BURNABY (CUP) — Simon Fraser University students will stage a massive campus-wide teach-in Monday following their rejection of a strike by a 2-1 margin Friday.

The vote, 2,428-1,181 against the strike, represented a 65 per cent turnout, highest ever in the fledgling university's three-year history.

There were three underlying issues in the abortive strike call:

- Administration use of the RCMP to arrest 114 students occupying the administrative centre.

- Dissatisfaction with the university Senate's treatment of the admission policy issue.

- Acting Administration President Ken Strand's repressive handling of the whole affair.

The mood of the campus has fluctuated wildly since the bust over a week ago. It was clear in the Friday meeting of over 2,000 students in the gymnasium called to announce the strike vote, that students were tired of the crisis and had chosen the Students' for a Democratic Society (SDU) as target for their abuse.

The SDU contingent of about 100, including many of the kids busted by the RCMP, filed into

the gym led by a student carrying a large wooden cross. They all intoned, in a Gregorian chant style, Strand's latest decree, this one about the teach-in.

Student President Rob Walsh said: "I was unfortunate strategically to have a strike vote now, but because it was 2-1 against the strike doesn't mean the issue has been resolved . . . 114 still face charges."

Student Senator Stan Wong, one of the main driving forces behind the mass student organization over the past two weeks, agreed the strike vote had been called too soon. He said students voted against the strike because they were worried about its effect on their futures.

The term at SFU ends next week.

The latest in a series of offensive administration declarations hit the campus just as the strike vote ended.

It followed an information office handout last week that presented a distorted, pro-administration picture of the crisis.

In Friday's statement, Strand said he "whole-heartedly" sup-

continued on page 8
SEE SFU STRIKE