

TO HEARST'S CLOSE BARS

Opposition Claims Made This Step Imperative.

ON PARTY LINES

ve Government Fol-
ample of Great
pean Nations.

the North Oxford Lib-
on on Friday, W. W.
of the opposition, out-
the lessons from the war,
at the world at the next
legislature ask Premier
to party strife and secure
action in wiping out all
club license with restric-
tions will most effectu-
ally.

Changed Conditions.
The said, "has forced us
things in a new light,
world have ceased their
a time, but the clamor
major traffic has never
The election on the
did not change the char-
open bar or render it
less urgent."

to tell how Russia had
sale of vodka, and beer
boundary. France had
use of like character,
had curtailed the hours
sale of liquor might be
skin the people also no
oldest, and such import-
centres as Glasgow had
imperial government,
to houses during the war-
ment's opportunity.

election the government
ing a party issue because
co-operate with us," he
out at that time party
very keen. If the election
me with the responsible
ing a government, every
license in this province
been wiped out. Now, if
not settle by vote,
id close up these drinking
the war. The closing of
involve some sacrifice
nothing as compared
which the province will
an action, and the im-
posed by the premier
great object will have
dial support, and he will
himself a lasting place
leaders of thought and
a province."

DUND DEAD RAILWAY DITCH

Game Across Body
Walking on Track
Near Leaside.

of an unknown man was
lay afternoon in a ditch
of the C. P. R. track at
out 100 yards northeast
over the top of which he
was seen by an engine-
man bound for Agincourt.
The body laid the informa-
tion to the railway offi-
cials. A motor car was
sent out from the York
and the body brought to
where it now lies wait-
ing.

HOLD WAGES FOR TWO WEEKS

Workmen Appeal
Magistrate—Given
Judgment.

Dec. 6.—Mr. Grimwood,
the cement foundation of
the Canning Factory, Royce
in the police court yester-
day on a charge of non-pay-
ment of wages. The work-
men, who were told they
would be paid in two weeks,
and when they did not
appear, were given judgment
for two weeks' wages.

HOLD WAGES FOR TWO WEEKS

Workmen Appeal
Magistrate—Given
Judgment.

Dec. 6.—Mr. Grimwood,
the cement foundation of
the Canning Factory, Royce
in the police court yester-
day on a charge of non-pay-
ment of wages. The work-
men, who were told they
would be paid in two weeks,
and when they did not
appear, were given judgment
for two weeks' wages.

HOLD WAGES FOR TWO WEEKS

Workmen Appeal
Magistrate—Given
Judgment.

Dec. 6.—Mr. Grimwood,
the cement foundation of
the Canning Factory, Royce
in the police court yester-
day on a charge of non-pay-
ment of wages. The work-
men, who were told they
would be paid in two weeks,
and when they did not
appear, were given judgment
for two weeks' wages.

HOLD WAGES FOR TWO WEEKS

Workmen Appeal
Magistrate—Given
Judgment.

Dec. 6.—Mr. Grimwood,
the cement foundation of
the Canning Factory, Royce
in the police court yester-
day on a charge of non-pay-
ment of wages. The work-
men, who were told they
would be paid in two weeks,
and when they did not
appear, were given judgment
for two weeks' wages.

PREMIER PRAISED GENERAL LESSARD

Sir Robert Borden Had a Busy Day in Toronto on Saturday.

SUNDAY WORLD'S NEWS

Soldier Was Too Pugilistic—
Boy Killed by Auto Identified.

Sir Robert Borden, Premier of Canada, visited Toronto on Saturday and spent the day inspecting the over-land troops at the Exhibition Grounds, and addressing a joint meeting of the Canadian and Empire Clubs at Dun-
stons. In the afternoon he was taken around the city to see the progress made on Toronto's big scheme of
improving the harbor.

After seeing the men go thru their
daily routine at the Exhibition, the
premier addressed them on the lawn
in front of the horticultural building
and congratulated General Lessard
and his officers on the efficiency they
showed and the work they were doing.
He said the men of the contingent
were a demonstration of the
military spirit of the Dominion.

Before a crowded assembly room
at Dunstons, the members of the Canadian
and Empire Clubs listened to an
inspiring address from the premier
in which he discussed the causes of
the war and referred to the part Can-
ada was taking in the struggle in con-
gratulatory terms. He described in
great detail what had been done at
Valcartier prior to the departure of
the first contingent and he noted the
fact that the first contingent was the
largest body of troops that had ever
crossed the Atlantic. He said Canada
could provide 300,000 troops for the
front if necessary. He also took oc-
casion to publicly congratulate Gen.
Lessard for the splendid work he was
doing.

Interviewed in the afternoon, Sir
Robert Borden declared that he knew
nothing more than what had been in
the papers, and that he was not in a
position to say anything more than a
general statement and also parliament
at a busy day for the opening had been
just yet.

FIGHTER WAS SUBDUED.

Fearing that the members of the
second Canadian contingent stationed
at the Exhibition Grounds were not
sufficiently impressed with the police
force, Leonard Conn, 899 West
Queen street, took it upon himself
to give some of the soldiers a
practical demonstration in the art
of boxing. He was locked up in the
barack he had knocked out four sol-
diers. He was later taken to the asy-
lum.

BOY IDENTIFIED.

The boy who was killed at the cor-
ner of University street and Elm
street on Friday night by being struck
by a motor car owned by David Fra-
zier, 12 University avenue, was
identified Saturday morning as Harry
Brown, 44 Salem avenue.

WAS CAUGHT ESCAPING.

Arrested on a charge of fraud on
Saturday, Harry Davis, 107 D'Arcy
street, made an excuse to the police
and entered a stable from which he
tried to escape. He was caught by
detectives Young and Armstrong
and captured him.

STOCK YARDS TO BLAME FOR CRUELTY TO ANIMALS

Judge Denton Reverses Con-
viction Against a Cattle Dealer

It is not fair that this man, Mc-
Curry, should be held responsible for
the condition of the stock yards,
said Judge Denton in the jury's cham-
ber on Friday, when reversing the
conviction of Joseph McCurry, a cattle
dealer, for cruelty to animals. The
jury had found that the man was
responsible for the condition of the
stock yards, as they are responsible
for the condition of the animals.

SIR ROBERT BORDEN SPENT QUIET SUNDAY

Attended St. Alban's Cathedral in
Morning—Now in Mont-
real.

Mr. Robert Borden spent a quiet
Canadian Sabbath in Toronto yester-
day, sharing in no public occasion of
any kind. In the morning he served
mass at St. Alban's Cathedral, and the
remainder of the day moved about the
city among his various friends. Late
in the evening he took the Montreal
train, and today will address the Can-
adian Club of that city and review the
troops undergoing training.

HOME GUARDS PARADE FOR MOVING PICTURE

Fourteen Hundred Members Turned
Out at Scarborough Beach on
Saturday Afternoon

One thousand four hundred members
of the Home Guard, 5th Battalion, re-
turned to the beach on Saturday after-
noon, to see the moving picture of the
battle of Vimy. The picture was shown
by the local association of the Home
Guard, and was a most interesting
and instructive one. The picture was
shown in the evening, and was a most
interesting and instructive one.

SPECIALS

London Bazaar, Venetian Stew. family
afternoon tea, 4 to 6, 27-31
King street, 28 Melinda street.

POPULAR NAMES FOR BRITISH BATTLESHIPS

Canada, Tipperary and Botha
Appear in Latest List

BOOK REVIEWS

The Convolvulus
This brilliant little comedy in three
acts is strikingly like the first chapter
of Bernard Shaw's "Parents and Chil-
dren." It was written earlier, however,
and its clearly-cut characters will be a
delight to actors and onlookers alike.
It is published by Claire, Marie, New
York, and priced at \$1.25.

Shakespeare and Sir Walter Raleigh

That the greatest drama writer
and the greatest statesman of the
Shakespearean period are the same
person is a fact that has been brought
to light by the publication of a new
book, "Shakespeare and Sir Walter Raleigh,"
by Henry Pemberton, Jr. Mr. Pemberton's
book is a clear and complete argument
to prove that Sir Walter Raleigh wrote
the Shakespearean plays.

Since it is not always safe in
Raleigh's time to publish plays and sonnets
under correct names, the authorship must
be proved by a study of historical and
personal allusions. Guided by them,
and allowing the path of investigation
to be illuminated by the light of the
author's identity discovered by sci-
entific methods, the author, in part I, gives us an account
of the true personality of William
Shakespeare as shown by the records of his
biographers.

Mr. Pemberton claims that nearly all
the biographies of Shakespeare are open to
criticism, in that they give an inaccurate
and misleading picture of his life. He
shows that the common, disastrous, world
view of his life and gives a picture of his
character that is sure to make the gen-
eral reader.

On examining the plays it is found that
the author must have been in close ac-
tuation with the nobility and the court
circles. There is not one instance of a
breach of the etiquette of the court as it
was known in the times of Elizabeth and
James. In the Sonnets, the author ad-
dresses a nobleman in terms indicative
of the closest and most affectionate in-
imate friendship. The author shows that
the nobleman was a man of very ordinary
parents, that when he went up to London
he was a poor, uneducated, and un-
distinguished man, and that he was a
man of very ordinary parents, that when
he became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and that, finally, the author
shows that the nobleman was a man of
very ordinary parents, that when he
became an actor, his associates were
"base and common fellows," not by
any means the kind of men that we ac-
cept in their capacity as professional
players, and