All this were to no purpose; vnlesse the Text of God were excellent in this kinde; and embellished, here and there, with most admirable Philosophy. What incomparably rare foot-steps of it, have we in the Bookes of Genesis, Ich, and the Psalmes? How noble a Study then were it, and how worthy the leifure of some excellently learned; to bestow some time vpon it? Valesius the Physician, hath in his Sacra Philosophia done something in this kinde: who yet might have done better, here and there, for the honour of the Scriptures. I am not so sottish to beleeve. That every particular is to be drawn out of Scripture: tis none of my doteage, that. Or that God in Scripture did intend, every where, the accuratenesse of Philosophy; or stand to be so curious in definitions and decisions. Nor so foolish would I be thought, as to haue all Philosophy taken in pieces, and new moulded by the Scriptures. Nor, that nothing should be determined on, till a Text confirmed it. But this, perchance, might profitably be thought vpon: That where the Scriptures have any thing in this kinde, it should more reverently be esteemed; Collections out of scattered places, (as is done out of Aristotle) made: these compared, and their Resultances obserued. This, furely, would amount to more, then is yet thought of: and, a-Gods name, let Schollers be fo bold with Aristotle, as to examine him vpon good assurance, by what is Truths Touch-stone: Received Philosophy is a most necessary hand-maid to the Scriptures; but let her not be set aboue her Lady, nor no competition be maintained betwixt them.

Something else remaines to be thought of: That seeing the same God, who gave Aristotle these good