

will bring them before the House and the hon. member will be aware of them at that time.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** Will that be this week?

\* \* \*

### EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

#### GUATEMALA—GOVERNMENT POSITION ON SENDING POWDERED MILK AND LUMBER TO AID EARTHQUAKE VICTIMS

**Mr. Douglas Roche (Edmonton-Strathcona):** Mr. Speaker, I have a couple of questions for the Secretary of State for External Affairs regarding Guatemala. Can the minister clarify the situation regarding the sending of 100 tons of powdered milk to Guatemala, and whether there is evidence that this milk is filling the nutritional needs of that country? Secondly, can the minister indicate whether Canada will augment this by sending lumber and galvanized tin for the construction of dwellings before the rainy season starts in that country?

**Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (Secretary of State for External Affairs):** Mr. Speaker, I have a long report from our representative in Guatemala indicating the background of the request for supplies of powdered milk. The requirement for powdered milk was developed in consultation with authorities in Guatemala and in consultation with nutritional authorities. Without going into all the details at the present time, there is a continuing need for powdered milk as an important element in the diet of the population of Guatemala. Continuing requests are being made for powdered milk for rural areas, for orphanages and particularly for nursing mothers.

● (1500)

**Mr. Roche:** I have one supplementary, Mr. Speaker. Since the minister did not answer the second part of my question concerning the sending of lumber this leads to my supplementary. I should like to ask him whether or not the Canadian government would support the 14 principal non-government organizations that are going to launch a \$2 million campaign for long-term application in Guatemala and whether the government would match that \$2 million with \$2 million to make a total of \$4 million available by Canada for long-range application in Guatemala.

**Mr. MacEachen:** Mr. Speaker, in answer to the second part of the first question, as yet there has been no request from the Government of Guatemala to provide building materials, although I understand the Government of Guatemala is attempting to define, in co-operation with the United Nations, its immediate and future requirements so that an international request can be made upon a more defined inventory of needs and we will obviously co-operate with that.

I have stated already in the House that we would be prepared to readjust our own development program in Guatemala to co-operate in the rehabilitation of that country on a short-term and long-term basis. In other words, we will restructure our whole aid program to reach that objective and will consider co-operating with the NGO's.

*Irving Appeal*

## ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

### HOUSE OF COMMONS

MOTION TO ADJOURN FROM 5 P.M. FEBRUARY 19 UNTIL 2 P.M. FEBRUARY 23

**Hon. Mitchell Sharp (President of the Privy Council)** moved:

That this House shall adjourn at 5.00 p.m. on Thursday, February 19, 1976, and shall thereupon stand adjourned until Monday, February 23, 1976, at 2.00 p.m.

**Mr. Speaker:** Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. The motion at this time has been presented, with due notice. It is a debatable motion. I see the hon. member for Moncton (Mr. Jones) wishes to participate in the debate. Simply in order to maintain the regularity of the proceedings, I wonder if we might conclude the routine proceedings and then revert to motions in order to debate this particular motion. Is that agreed?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

## MOTION TO ADJOURN UNDER S.O. 26

[English]

### ANTI-INFLATION ACT

DECISION OF ADMINISTRATION RESPECTING IRVING PULP AND PAPER DISPUTE

**Mr. Cyril Symes (Sault Ste. Marie):** Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to move, seconded by the hon. member for Timiskaming (Mr. Peters) the adjournment of the House, under the provisions of Standing Order 26, for the purpose of discussing a specific and important matter requiring urgent consideration, namely, the state reached in the collective bargaining process as signified by the decision of the Anti-Inflation Act administrator in the Irving Pulp and Paper dispute. An agency created by the federal parliament and, accordingly, responsible to that parliament, has become involved in the normal course of the collective bargaining process, but has provided a right to appeal only to the employer and not to the union, as signified by the Irving case. This is creating an inequitable situation which has prolonged the resolution of the strike in the Canadian pulp and paper industry and portends the total breakdown of free collective bargaining throughout the economy, with consequent labour unrest and social dislocations. Therefore, there is an immediate need to debate the decision of the administrator and the process whereby that decision was made.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. The popularity of this subject has been evidenced not only by repeated applications pursuant to Standing Order 26 but, in addition, through numerous questions raised during the question periods