Edgar. Dunnville, No. 1. McCallum.

4 There were four votes rejected for Edgar. One was improperly rejected, the mark being a cross to the right hand and opposite
1 the name. Two were crosses to the left of the name, and the fourth was a single stroke. These three were properly rejected.

Moulton and Sherbrooke, No. 1.

There was a miscount. The numbers returned were thirteen for Edgar and one hundred and fifteen for McCallum, whereas it should have been twelve for Edgar and one hundred and sixteen for McCallum.

Wainfleet, No. 1.

There were four rejected for McCallum, one of which I allow, being a well defined cross with a line running through its centre. 1

Caistor, No. 1.

There was a cross to the left of the name properly rejected for McCallum.

Wainfleet, No. 2.

There were two rejected for McCallum; one properly, as being a cross to the left of the name; the other improperly, there being a well defined cross opposite "McCallum," and a single stroke opposite "Edgar." 1

Dunnville, No. 1.

There is one properly rejected for Edgar, there being simply a stroke with a pen through the figure "1" of the year "1875," which appears on the ballot paper
— to the left of the name. —
5 2

So that up to this point there should be added to the number of votes polled for Edgar, as being improperly rejected, five, and there should be deducted for the miscount one; leaving the total addition to be made four, and thus giving the number of votes polled for him thirteen hundred and thirty-three; and there should be added to the number of votes polled for McCallum, as being improperly rejected, two, and for the miscount one; thus making the number of votes polled for him thirteen hundred and thirty-six. Of the votes allowed by the returning officer, I find the following should be disallowed:—

Edgar. Gainsboro', No. 3. McCallum.

One single stroke disallowed; 1
two single strokes, and two crosses
4 not to the right hand of the name, disallowed.

Dunnville, No. 2.

One single stroke, and one cross not to the right hand of the name, 2 disallowed.

Caistor, No. 3.

One single stroke, disallowed; 1 one cross with a line before it, allowed.

Moulton and Sherbrooke, No. 2.

One with a single stroke, disal 1 lowed; one with three crosses—the one in the proper compartment, the other across the name McCallum, and the third in the left compartment--allowed. These crosses were so placed, I think, because the voter was uncertain where the mark should appear. As there is a cross rightly placed, I do not think the vote should be rejected because of the additional crosses. One single stroke, disal-
1 lowed.

Wainfleet, No. 3.

One single stroke, disallowed; 1 one with a second cross, allowed, it not appearing that the mark identifies the voter.

Wainfleet, No. 2.

Two single strokes and one cross not to the right hand of the name, 3 disallowed; one single stroke, dis-
1 allowed.

Pelham, No. 3.

One single stroke, disallowed. 1

Moulton and Sherbrooke, No. 3.

One single stroke, and two with crosses not to the right hand of 3 the name, disallowed; a fourth, with the cross to the right hand of the name in small letters, al-
2 lowed; two single strokes, disallowed.

Moulton and Sherbrooke, No. 1.

A cross on the back of a ballot paper for McCallum, allowed.