

APPOINTMENTS AND CHANGES.

Honoré Cyrias Pelletier, Q.C., of the city of Quebec, to be a Puisné Judge of the Superior Court of Quebec, *vice* the Honorable Joseph Alfred Mousseau, deceased.

Jules E. Larue, Q.C., of the city of Quebec, to be a Judge of the Superior Court of Quebec, *vice* the Honorable Thomas McCord, deceased.

The Honorable Honoré Cyrias Pelletier, one of the Justices of the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec: to be Revising Officer in and for the Electoral District of Rimouski, in the Province of Quebec, *vice* the Honorable Joseph Alfred Mousseau, deceased.

Joseph Alphonse Ouimet, Q.C., to be a Judge of the Superior Court of Quebec, *vice* the Honorable Charles Ignace Gill, transferred to the District of Montreal.

The Honorable Charles Ignace Gill, a Judge of the Superior Court of Lower Canada: to be transferred from the District of Richelieu to the District of Montreal.

The Honorable Henri Thomas Taschereau, a Judge of the Superior Court of Lower Canada: to be transferred from the District of Kamouraska to the District of Joliette.

The Honorable Marie Honorius Ernest Cimon, a Judge of the Superior Court of Quebec: to be transferred from the District of Joliette to the District of Kamouraska.

INSOLVENT NOTICES, ETC.

Quebec Official Gazette, May 1.

Judicial Abandonments.

Napoléon Fugère, Three Rivers, April 26.

Dame Ezilda Pelletier, *marchande publique*, Montreal, April 22.

Michael C. Mullarky, (Mullarky & Co.) boot and shoe manufacturer, Montreal, April 27.

Curators Appointed.

Re Sylvester Dunn.—J. O' Cain, St. John's, curator, April 22.

Re Frederick Pierce.—H. A. Odell, Sherbrooke, curator, April 13.

Re Thimothéo Rhéaume.—H. A. Ethier, Ville de Laurentides, curator, April 20.

Re Joshua Scafe.—J. O' Cain, curator, April 27.

Dividend Sheets.

Re G. A. Brouillet & Co.—Final div. payable May 18, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator.

Re Ovila Chagnon.—Final div., payable May 18, J. O' Cain, St. John's, curator.

Re Hermenégilde Toussignant.—Final div. A. Gaumont, St. Jean Deschailions, curator.

Sale in Insolvency.

Re John S. Bagin.—Lot at St. Lamberts, sale at Church door, Longueuil, 10 a.m., July 2.

Separation as to Property.

Dame Marie Philomène Aubuchon v. Cléophas Teliier, Berthier, April 27.

Dame Mary Jane Buck v. Edouard Donahoe, Farnham, April 12.

Quebec Official Gazette, May 8.

Judicial Abandonments.

Joseph Goulden, druggist, Montreal, May 4.

Arthur Talbot, Sherbrooke, April 30.

Curators Appointed.

Re Ezilda Peltier, *marchande publique*.—C. H. Walters, Montreal, curator, April 29.

Re Sylvester Dunn.—J. O' Cain, St. John's, curator, April 22.

Re Mullarky & Co.—D. L. McDougall, and S. C. Fatt, Montreal, curators, May 1.

Dividend Sheets.

Re Donat Blondeau.—First div. payable May 18, H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator.

Re Desmarais & frère.—Final div. payable, May 30, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator.

Re J.-Bte. Dumesnil, St. Téléphone.—Final div. payable May 26, C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator.

Re Joseph Lemieux.—Final div. payable May 30, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator.

Re Joseph Limoges.—First div. payable May 30, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator.

Re Zéphirin Simard.—First div. payable May 20, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator.

Re Ludger Turcotte.—First div. payable May 20, J. A. Poirier, St. Grégoire, curator.

Separation as to property.

Marie alias Mary Houle v. Charles Morin, Montreal, May 4.

GENERAL NOTES.

A statement of occupations of the members of the Legislative Assembly of Quebec gives the following result: lawyers and notaries, 23; journalists, 5; doctors, 6; merchants, 16; engaged in agriculture, 14; miller, 1: total, 65.

FRAUD ON THE BRIDEGROOM.—A case which is the counterpart of a line of cases on setting aside secret conveyances by a bridegroom before marriage, is presented in *Green v. Green* in 10 Pacif. Rep. 156, in the Supreme Court of Kansas. The bride, a widow—and her conduct recalls the sage advice of the senior Weller—on the day before her second marriage, conveyed all her real estate to her children by the former husband, in consideration of love and affection. The children conveyed to a third person, the main defendant in this case, who took with knowledge of the circumstances. The Court intimate that in Kansas these facts alone might not entitle the disappointed husband to recover. But the complaint of the disappointed husband alleged that the bride induced him (he being a cripple, by reason of lacking a forearm) to marry her, by representing that the farm belonged to her, and that its proceeds should go for their support as long as they lived. On these facts the Court held the complaint good as against a demurrer.—*Daily Register*.