ver were called Nova Scotia Baronets before Collins published his English Baronetage, when he invited all perfons holding that dignity to fupply him with their genealogies; among those that were fent him he found tome Englith lifts, and therefore he placed them, by way of appendix, at the end of his book, under the erroneous title of Nova Scotia Baronets, though their proper denomination had long before been given them, in a "Catalogue of the Nobility of England, Scotland, and Ireland, with the Knights of the Garter, Knights Baronets of England and Scotland, Knights of the Bath from the First of King James, and Knight Bachelora from the First of King Charles to this present. Collected by T. W. Printed at London in 1642." Surely an author, writing in the life-time of the fovereign who inflituted the order, and within 17 years of its commencement, is a better authority for its true denomination, than Collins, who published his work a century afterwards.

It is very furpriting that Mr. Beatfon, who, by being on the fpot, could to eafily have had recourfe to the original records, should have adopted Mr. Collins's error, by faying, in the "Politi-tical Index," printed at Edinburgh in \$786, part II. p. 197, "that, from the inflictution and defign of this order of baronets in Scotland, they are denominated Baronets of Nova Scotia;" for by this rule the baronets in England should be denominated Baronets of Ul. fler, as I have already observed, and wish I could add that this is the only

inaccuracy in his Lift. Some appeals have been made, at different times, to the English Heralds Office, relative to the precedence which ought to be allowed to the Baronets of Scotland in English assemblies, &c. This is no longer a point of ceremonial within their cognizance, but is become a point of law, ariting out of the fourth article of the Union, in these words: " And that there be a communication of all other rights, privileges, and advantages, which do or may belong to the funjects of either kingdom, except where it'is otherwise expressly agreed in thefe articles."

At that time it was well understood, that the Peers of Scotland, by virtue of this article, would take place according to the dates of their patents: and, as a very great number of them were of an older creation than most of the Peers of

England, the latter were careful to fecure their own precedence by the 33d article of the Union, which continues the distinction between the peers of the two kingdoms. But as no fuch exception was made in regard to the Baronets of Scotland, they are become entitled to a full and unqualified community of rights, privileges, and advantages, with the Baroners of England, forming one body as Baronces of Great Britain, and ranking according to the dates of their respective patents, under the authority of the fourth article of the Union, in like manner as the peers would have done if it had not been otherwise provided by the a3d article abovementioned. M. W.

Mr. URBAN, June 5. YOU have inferted, p. 383, from a pamphilet lately published, the particulars of a convertation faid to have paffed between the late Mr. Silas Deane While the latter and the author. chooses to conceal his name, the publick will remain at a lofs what credit is burned to fer due to his extraordinary narrative; which would have born a greater air of authenticity, had it been in a less dra-matic form. Theodosius might recollect with accuracy the general affertions of the dying infidel; but it was impossible for him, without the attiftance of a short-hand writer, to bring away with him every particular of fo long a dia-logue. If the world be at all concerned in the history of the private opinions of Silas Deane, Theodosius, and "the clergyman of established reputation" whom he mentions, should come for-ward, and, by their real figuatures, give authority to the materials he has furnished towards such a history. furnished towards such a history. He owes this to a living character of no fmall celebrity, who appears to be deep. ly interested in the truth or falsehood of the flory. Dr. Prieftley difclaims the title of instructor of this emissary of rebellion, with whom he declares that "he never had any convertation on the fubject of roligion;" and whether the tenets Mr. Deane is made to profess, in the Narrative, can be fairly deduced from the Doctor's writings, "may be feen by any person who will think proper to confult them." But, left the perusal of the Doctor's multifarious writings should prove too heavy a task for an indolent reader, he has given us the testimony of one of his correspondents to the improbability of the narrative. I

fure to b fires it), b are equ owing the afure prev r land, ca ch fudden i duence to p m effect of appened to a unity lately fter having i f hardships orld, he at ere he turi hought of ortion of li heme he nce, and i is new-gain oel, his spir nys are flee nd, to finif the 2d of

791.7

and I Mr. Prefi appy to hav ians give th ect; but the humble ferv his abilities) Sir, to the S end, his tho nomenon.

On the 2d within one d monly called did expect fi common. day being i buted to th then blew.

It is an ob nen and w ides in the wind blows high tides wind to th But why fo? lay? Anfw Then, the w we should must blow tl ver. It do wind it occa ordinary cou This parade up in the fo The N.