LESS NATIONALISM

Emotional nationalism should be very much out-dated for Canadians. We should be grown up enough to leave that behind.

With a national election campaign beginning there is speculation that some of our politicians will try to win our votes by fighting the Yankees. According to news reports, this issue is in part responsible for the recent cabinet resignations.

We commend those who are resisting the temptation to "kill the Americans."

This does not mean we approve of US interference in purely domestic Canadian affairs. Still less does it follow that Canada should bow to US pressures for Canadian nuclear arms. This latter, we inequivocably oppose.

There is, however, no question but that the Americans are right on one thing: it is past time for us to clarify our stand, to quit hedging and make a firm commitment on what we will or will not agree to.

And it should be abundantly clear that in our age the motivating theme should be internationalism, not separatism.

Nationalism as an election issue has already been decried in the daily press and from the political rostrum. It is a concern that can hardly be too much emphasized. It is a matter of much relevance to us as university students, for it is a matter of attitude, and we can be shapers of community attitudes, today and tomorrow

"The most vital need of the near future will be the cultivation of a vivid sense of citizenship of the world." Bertrand Russell, 1932

MORE ACTION

January 15 has come and gone, unannounced and unheralded. So what else is new? Simply this: January 15 was the deadline for applications for service with CUSO-Canadian University Service Overseas.

CUSO is a national agency, created to coordinate, develop, and promote opportunities for overseas service for recent Canadian graduates. Its aims: to arrange the placement of suitably-qualified men and women in countries that request their services.

Last year one girl, Angela Sawchuk, a chemistry graduate, was accepted from U of A. She is now somewhere in Africa.

This year, only three applications went in from here before the Jan 15 deadline.

CUSO is administered locally by a committee chaired by R. B. Wishart, Administrator of Student Awards. Other members of the committee include the Dean of Women, the Adviser to Men Students, the NFCUS chairman or his delegate, the WUS chairman or his delegate, the Dean of Graduate Studies, and the local WUS adviser.

The committee has had one meeting this

Unfortunately, there was a "communications breakdown" between the committee and the students. Students were not informed of what CUSO is, or even of its existence, not to mention the deadline.

Obviously, CUSO holds appeal to only a few students. However, these students have something to offer Canada and the world.

We are dumbfounded by the lack of action on the part of the committee and its members, staff and students alike.

Mr. Wishart, in an interview Tuesday, urged interested students to pick up application forms at his office, second floor, Administration Building. He said that forms will be processed and forwarded to national headquarters as quickly as possible.

It is unfortunate that CUSO and the application deadline received no publicity. Nonetheless, applications submitted soon will receive consideration.

We implore interested parties to salvage something from the situation. Pick up applications, and submit them posthaste.

We understand that the committee has taken steps to see that the same dearth of publicity is not received again next year. For their foresight, we offer them our congratulations.

From hindsight can come some wisdom,

albeit late, even to the wise.

NO INTELLIGENCE

The editor retracts.

Contrary to his opinion of last week, Engineers are not "reasonably intelligent."

- Setting off false fire alarms is unintelligent.
- Damaging University property is unintelligent.

 Molesting University employees is unintelligent.

These things should be obvious without comment. There is a fine line between fun and stupidity which intelligent people can ob-

And a note to the Artsmen: ugly insults painted on walls are equally unintelligent.

Member of the Canadian University Press Bentley Le Baron Editor-in-Chief

NEWS—Doug Walker, editor. Richard Kupsch, Don Thomas, Z. P. T. Winterbottom Esq., Loretta Biamonte, Jon Whyte, Gordon Boulter, Al Bragg, Adriana Albi, Elwood Johnson, Robin Hunter, Omaya Al Karmy, Ivy Bourcier, April Belik, Petey Sharpe, John J. Barr, Gail Waxenberg, "Texas John" Lauder.

FEATURES—Carol Anderson editor Lyang Casassan acceleration. . Branny Schepanovich

-Carol Anderson, editor. Lynne Greason, assistant editor. Lexy Dryburgh, David Winfield, Chris Evans. FEATURES-SPORTS-Bill Winship, editor. Bob Dwernychuk, assistant editor. Brian Flewwelling, Sandy Kirstein, Ken Graham, Ray St. Arnaud, Mike Horrocks, Dave Reece, Clem Feldmeyer.

FINE ARTS-Bev Gletz, editor. Ross Rudolph, Andy Brooks, Elan Galper, Bob Pounder, Bob Taylor, Don Wells, Marie dal

PHOTOGRAPHY—Con Stenton, director; Kendal Rust, Heinz Moller, editors; Carl Nishimura, Ed Devai, Jens Tabur, Bill Owens, Gene Hattori, Eric Abel. EDITORIAL—Bob Hall, consulting editor; Jennifer Ehly, CUP editor; Peter Kirchmeir, Tuesday editor; Bill Samis, Ralph Bat, Jon Whyte, columnists; Catherine Ford, party editor; Michael Jones, assistant editor.

MAKE-UP-Dieter Buse, editor. Dave Fleming, Peter Kirchmeir, Bill Winship, Shirley Howard, Michael Jones. PROOFREADERS—Ray Huot, manager. Diane Hollingsworth, Susan Gathercole, Helen Anstruther, Marilyn Johnstone, Linda

Clendenling.

CARTOONISTS—Ken Rentiers, Alex Kachmar, Don Wells, Silas Pepper.

Advertising Manager Dieter Buse

FINAL COPY DEADLINE

For Friday Edition: 7 p.m. Tuesday News, Notices Advertising 4:30 p.m. Monday Office Telephone - 433-1155

Opinions expressed by contributors to this paper are not necessarily those of The Gateway or its staff. The editor-in-chief is responsible for all material published herein.

CLOUD 9 CLOUD 9 CLOUD



Only Gateway staffers—so I thought—were interested in the contests sponsored by Canadian University Press at the Ottawa conference in December. But some of you are still asking, so here comes a report.

The report of winners is rather concise: the University of British Columbia Ubyssey cleaned out the trophy department.

For the second year, the Ubyssey won the Southam Trophy, symbolic of overall excellence. (Last year the University of Toronto Varsity tied with the Ubyssey for first.) This year the Varsity was rated second and the McGill Daily third.

Trophies for best editorials and best news stories also went to the Ubyssey.

One small bright spot: we lost the Features Trophy (Gateway won it last year) but it stayed in the province; the Gauntlet at Calgary took it. Gauntlet editor John McFarlane will snort at this, but we look on him as something of a protégé and take a paternal pride in his success, much as we would a precocious child. Good work, Gauntlet!

Gateway was not entirely unmentioned. We placed second both in editorial and cartoon contests. (Take a bow, Raunchy.)

We were rated high in news coverage and sports. One judge raved about our features.

Some of our weaker points were headlines and photo coverage.

mas. The answer is that our budget simply won't stretch as far as it once would.

We are financed mainly by \$1.75 per student included in the \$27.50 SU fee paid at the beginning of the term. It is not enough to allow us to expand as the campus expands. Even now we are running on a deficit

Within a few years we will likely ive you a chance to vote in a eferendum to increase our budget. Meanwhile we have a low ceiling

You may, however expect at least two special issues. A Guest Week-end edition will be out next week. And there will likely be a special SU election issue.

Aunt Pheobe has been crying for "gag" issue, but the editor is notoriously stuffy about such stuff and nonsense.

le baron

You have asked why we are only publishing once a week since Christ- in line today, O leaders of tomorrow.



with Manfred H. Rupp

If you are the average type campus pedestrian, and I'm afraid statistics are strongly in favor of this assumption, then the following is especially written for you. Because you, dear slob, are not in the unhealthy habit of going to all sorts of meetings, and of thus getting your little mind confused by all sorts of indigestible ideas. And so you don't know that you have been spared the distressing spectacle of seeing and hearing the publisher of Edmonton's other great newspaper being attacked viciously in a public forum.

Now I grant you, forums are still worse by nature than just plain lectures, because you can't even take notes properly, and because they usually leave you suspended in midair between a number of conflicting viewpoints, and that again is unhealthy for your well-conditioned and well-settled little brain. But this last forum was rather more distressing than usual, yeah, degrading even.

I say distressing because (1) my vocabulary is quite limited, and (2) possible that an intelligent audience I know it was intelligent because there were a lot of professors therecould behave in such a mean way. What happened was that this guy got up and asked Mr. Dean about the editorial policy of his newspaper.

Now mark this: the forum was about peace and how to make it secure. That and nothing else! So what does this guy ask? How come the editorial policy of the journal is in favour of nuclear arms for Canada!

I mean, even you, dear average type campus pedestrian, will realize immediately that peace and nuclear weapons have got nothing whatsoever to do with each other, and that anyone trying to concoct some sort of relationship between the two must be called plain irresponsible, irrever-

ent, irregardless, irrecusable, and because it was. Nobody in his right-wing mind would have thought it not as I strongly suspect downright not, as I strongly suspect, downright communistic, because they want to overthrow us anyway, and that's the way they're going about it. And I think, both Mr. Barr and the RCMP should look into it.

> But that's not what I wanted to say. I wanted to tell you how superbly and supremely Mr. Dean handled this affront. He turned red but only because he had a cold, and then he said for Mr. Irresponsible to come down to his office tomorrow morning, and to bring his own referee. But he himself would chose the weapons, and that, I think, is only fair. I guess . . .

Remember: "Only one can shoot in this game" (Lord Beaverbrook, or was it Herr Goebbels?)