

STEAMER "NARENDA," FROM LIVERPOOL. WE ARE NOW OPENING PER THE ABOVE: '62 BALES AND CASES. GREY COTTONS, GREY BLANKETS, RUBBER COATS, WHITE BLANKETS, CAMP BLANKETING, FLANNELS, HERRINGBONE, SCOTCH TWEEDS, CLOTHING, RUBBER COATS, PRINTS, ROLLED LININGS, WINCEYS, UMBRELLAS, JACONET MUSLINS.

NEW FALL GOODS, At Wholesale, and Lowest Living Profits. EVERETT & BUTLER, 55 and 57 KING STREET.

The Daily Tribune. ST. JOHN, N. B., SEPT. 10, 1872. The North Waking Up.

The Miramichi Glenside is advocating an agitation in favor of a Land Grant to the "Chatham and Fredericton Railway Company," organized last winter by the Legislature of this Province. It remarks:

When the company's managers applied for the usual subsidy that is granted for lines of railway by the Province, the Government informed them that the land could not be given, assigning as a reason for its refusal, that several other companies had also applied for the subsidy, and as it could not be given to all, it would not be advisable to give it to any.

The Glenside alleges that some of the members of the Local Government have been placed in power and put in a position to represent our Northern interests, and that they are endeavoring to secure a cent's worth of railway for the Province, the people will not be pleased in demanding that he abdicate his office of representative, that he should resign.

It was probably the Hon. Mr. Kelly who opposed the movement. The Glenside calls upon the people of Northumberland to remember that nothing can be done towards construction until the Land Grant is obtained.

In consideration of this they should use every means to bring about such a state of things as will insure the success of the subsidy next year. Let them lose no opportunity of impressing on our local representatives that it is their wish that no portion whatever be given to any other line.

Here, then, is the beginning of a new movement on the Public Timber and Settlement Lands, in imitation of the strategy of the original promoters of the River and Long Railway. Why the North Shore men did not strike for something of the sort when the Act in aid of the last named Company was before the Legislature, will always remain a mystery.

That they were great opportunists. Then they might have accomplished something. No doubt, they expect to secure their object even at this late moment; but now, even if they were to secure an Act giving them 10,000 acres of land or \$10,000 in cash or Government bonds, per mile, it will be something to find either the Lands or the Money.

When the late Government of Prince Edward Island were in power they selected the grounds for the Railway Stations to give satisfaction if not profit to their friends and backers. When the present Government came into office, they secured legislation that enabled them to hand back these lands to their opponents and place the Stations elsewhere. They have carried their point, and now the organs of the late Government are charging them with jobbery. The Islander says:

The Government newspapers inform us that the Station at Summerside has been changed, and the road diverted. This change, which will cost the Colony, we should suppose, \$100,000, has been made to serve Mr. Macdonald and others of the Government party who own property, which will thereby be greatly enhanced in value. We understand that something like \$40,000 or \$50,000 will be paid to the contractors, and that the land damages will be so heavy as to bring the cost up to \$100,000.

Some of our Canadian contemporaries, including the Toronto Globe, have stated that there are only sixteen or seventeen voters in the Vancouver Island constituency for which Sir Francis Hincks has been elected. The Montreal Gazette, however, discloses this mis-statement very summarily.

At the last election there was a contest in the constituency, and we find the number of votes polled was two hundred and thirty-nine. Considering the comparatively small interest which the election excited, the probability is that not one half the whole number of votes were polled.

We are pleased to find that our Baptist brethren are moving in the matter of an invitation to Rev. Hugh Stowell Brown, the great Baptist divine, now in America. The News of to-day says: "A meeting is to be held this evening in the vestry of the German street Baptist Church to consider the question of extending an invitation to the Rev. Hugh Stowell Brown to visit this city before his return to England."

The post Longfellow is a member of the Greeley State Convention of Massachusetts.

Rev. Hugh Stowell Brown is now en route for San Francisco.

An Innocent Man Saved from the Gallows.

It really looks as if a great wrong has been done to a Dr. Schoeppe, whose trial on a charge of murder has just terminated at Carlisle, Pennsylvania. He was arraigned for poisoning a lady, an intimate friend of his own, whom he had attended professionally, and whose will, when opened after her death, was found to give him most of her property, to the exclusion of certain relatives.

The case has been three, if not four years in the Courts, and a former Judge and Jury had found him guilty and the death sentence was pronounced, and the evidence against him being the testimony of a medical expert of the old school, one Dr. Aiken, the same who figured in the case of Mrs. Wharton, recently tried for murder in Maryland. A stay of proceedings was obtained, a new trial finally granted, and under a different Judge and Jury with additional scientific testimony to enlighten the Court, Dr. Schoeppe has been set at liberty and his character pronounced free from stain. The following appears in the New York papers of Saturday:

At the opening of the Court on Thursday morning the evidence was given, and Professor F. A. Genth, of Philadelphia, Professor of Chemistry in the University of Pennsylvania. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

PROFESSOR GENTH, in his testimony, admitted that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken, and that he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct. He stated that he had seen the evidence of Professor Aiken and the result of his analysis of the stomach of Miss Stoenke, and he had no hesitation in saying that the analysis was correct.

Dr. Schoeppe was charged with poisoning a lady whose will had left him her property. Dr. Aiken declares he found poison in her remains, and as Dr. Schoeppe was her only medical attendant he must have committed the deed. It is not merely a "most suspicious" case in the eyes of the Capital Punishment advocates. It is proved against him by most conclusive evidence, and, of course, even "hanging is too good for him." Other authorities in chemistry, men of the highest repute, go on the stand and swear that the agents employed by Dr. Aiken to detect the poisonous substances are themselves calculated to create the poison which he declares he found. Yet it was mainly on the testimony of Dr. Aiken that Dr. Schoeppe was once sentenced to be hanged! The chemical testimony against the Doctor having broken down completely, the "moral" evidence is called in. It did not weigh a straw; so that the man who for four years has been under the law of society, deprived of his liberty, his character assailed and blasted, and who was once ordered by the law to be deprived of his life as a murderer, was pronounced "innocent" by the jury.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE JURY AND COUNSEL. The jury, however, were not satisfied with the evidence of Dr. Aiken, and they were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses. They were not satisfied with the evidence of the other witnesses.

THE MAINE ELECTION. A well informed correspondent of the New York Sun, writing on the 6th inst., says: There has been no test vote in this State since 1868. The majority then on Congress was 17,000, and on Governor 10,310. The vote for Governor showed the personal party majority. The deficiency of the majority of Congressmen arose from scattering votes and from deficient returns of remote plantation votes which were not counted. So that the actual majority in Maine has been just about 20,000.

A despatch from Portland to the Telegraph last evening says: The indications now are that the State has gone Republican by about 15,000 majority. All the Republican Congressmen are elected by increased majorities. J. G. Halpin has a fair majority in the Fifth District. The Republicans are firing guns and otherwise celebrating the victory. Everybody considers the returns bad for Horace.

Another correspondent of the Sun has written in follows of the prospects before election: You may see from this hasty survey of the field that the Liberal party in Maine has been making the light of an almost forlorn hope, a fight against the most tremendous odds, with a lack of organization and leadership that is almost unparalleled in the whole power of the Administration, with the vast machinery of the party money with which they are surrounded, and their sole dependence has been upon the support of the people. If at these odds they should succeed next Monday in cutting down the Republican majority of 30,000 in 1868 more than half, as I think they will, it will be a great triumph.

PERE HYACINTHE'S WIFE. Mrs. Emily J. Meriman, who recently married Pere Hyacinthe in London, is now in certain circles in this city, and more especially Brooklyn. She lived unhappily with her first husband and was separated from him some years before his death. Disposing of some real estate which he had bequeathed her, she went abroad with her daughter, doing something as a correspondent of Madame Demorel's Magazine. While abroad she was converted to Romanism, but coming back after her husband's death to obtain an insurance on his life which he had given her, she was brought under the preaching of Rev. Dr. Thompson, of the Church of the Tabernacle, and reconverted to Protestantism. She then went to Paris and met Pere Hyacinthe, since her engagement to him she has embraced Catholicism as professed in the new school which he represents.

PERSONAL. Messrs. Tilly and Tupper are expected to visit the Maritime Provinces after paying their respects to the Governor General at Quebec.

It is said Hon. Mr. McDougall will offer for the office of the Attorney General of the Province of New Brunswick, a man named Mr. Street, who has just been re-elected.

Mr. J. G. Moyle, to whose article on the Catholic situation in Ontario was referred yesterday, has been appointed a Director of Penitentiaries in the room of Mr. Neil, recently deceased.

Hon. E. B. Chandler is in Ottawa. The Railway Commissioners meet there this week.

There is a report that Mr. J. G. Ross, who opposed Casheen in Quebec, will be elected to the Senate.

The Earl of Darnley, accompanied by Dr. Kingsley, is in Toronto.

Dr. Trupper's eldest son has met with a severe injury by falling off the wharf at Cocoon.

Squire Craig, of Craig Lake Lodge, Bald Mountain, a veteran sportsman, arrived yesterday by Western Extension. His friends will be glad to see him in the situation and surroundings. Three, many of the older than the "oldest inhabitant," surround these spots and with the shrubbery and gardens form a very pleasing effect.

At the Cape the ruins of the "Victoria" are being cleared away. The "Victoria" was a fine vessel, and her wreck is a sad sight. The "Victoria" was a fine vessel, and her wreck is a sad sight.

The "Asyria" is rapidly discharging her cargo at the Anchor Line Wharf. As soon as her freight is out she will proceed to New York to load for Glasgow. She will sail about the 11th inst.

The Races to-morrow. The Directors of the Moosepath Park Association request to state that the Train carrying parties to the Races to-morrow, will leave at two o'clock sharp. The horse "Erie" will be called at 2.30 promptly.

A large number of Horses are on a track to-day and the prospects are good for a first-class meeting. Excellent order will be maintained.

Several American Ladies were passing the Bank of New Brunswick this afternoon, when one of them placing her glass to her eyes and scrutinizing carefully the new and magnificent addition to the old establishment, remarked: "Way, Sarah, what are you building a new 'Gas House'?" Our Reporter did not hear her companion's "retort."

ACCOMMODATION. Mr. W. A. Lockhart held at auction at Chubb's corner at noon to-day one eighth of the bark "Hyack." Mr. Adam Young was the purchaser for \$1,750.

RE-UNION. The members of Captain Likely's Company (60th Regt.) entertained their friends at dinner in their tent on Sunday last. The Inquest on the late Mrs. Melville was opened last evening. The evidence of Drs. McCarron and Barstow, and Thomas McEvilly, Esq., sitting Magistrate, being taken. Mr. Wedderburn watched the proceedings for Melville, and Mr. Morrison for the Attorney General. Dr. Barstow submitted the result of his post mortem. The Court adjourned until Wednesday, 3 p. m. McBride is a shoemaker by trade, a short, thick set man, about fifty years of age, a great deal of drink. The deceased had been delirious for two days before her death.

WANT A PLEASANT REST for a week or longer to "go and do like-wise." Being within five hours ride of Saint John, husbands, fathers, and friends who cannot stay away from business all the summer can rest in town, dine with their families, and if they choose return again in the evening train. Many come up on Saturday and return on Monday, having a day and a half in the country and losing but little time from business, while the hotels appear to have a constant fullness at all times. But the season for ladies is rapidly going past, and already some fishing rods are appearing instead of parasols, showing that the gentlemen are about to take their turn at enjoyment and get enough health in a fortnight to last for another long year in the city. M.

IN Portland, Me., on Saturday morning of last week, a three months old child of Mr. Herrick lost its life by a cat sucking its breath while sleeping.

Excitement in Alton, Alberta County. SEVEN PERSONS MISSING SINCE TUESDAY. An obliging correspondent at Markhamville sends us the following under date of yesterday, Sept. 9th: "I came through from Alton, Alberta Co., today. There is great excitement in the usually quiet locality. On Tuesday last, a party, consisting of three ladies and two gentlemen—Miss Hallett of Sussex, two Boston ladies, with Mr. John Hallett, brother of the first named lady, and Clarence Brown of Hopewell, hired a boat and bought a shore at Salmon River, with the intention of digging for Captain Kidd's hidden treasures on the Isle of Holt. The party left on Tuesday morning taking but little provisions with them, intending to return that day or the next. The boat was seen on Saturday evening in the Bay. Up to noon to-day (Monday) the party have not been seen. On Saturday evening Mr. Talbot, with others, organized an expedition to search for the missing boat, and on Sunday morning a woodcock with twelve men left Salmon Creek for Apple River, failing to find the first boat party there, they will proceed to the Island and search. Supporting them have landed and their boat having got adrift, they will be starting, the Island being uninhabited. Of course, there are various theories accounting for the non-appearance of the party. Tuesday was a very stormy day, and the mates of the party are not experienced boatmen; neither are they acquainted with the run of the tides in the Bay; hence, the boat may have drifted up the Basin of Minas, and the party may be safely housed in some of the harbors on the N. S. side of the Bay; the boat may have become unmanageable and the occupants taken out by some passing vessel. The party are not absent seven days, and the utmost anxiety for their safety is felt by the residents at Salmon River.

"Billy Forrester." The author of the diabolical Nathan murder in New York, whose apprehension has been worked for and longed for by both Jew and Gentile, has for a long time evaded capture. Occasionally a gleam of light has broken through the cloud of mystery, only to be quickly dispelled and leave the chances for a clearance of doubts darker than before. Again the mist has opened with a stonage probability than ever before, so reports agree, of allowing a comprehensive glance behind and revealing the true Nathan murderer. The iron "dog" which was found in the banker's room after his terrible struggle and death turned out to have belonged to a gang of burglars, of which one Billy Forrester, well known in criminal circles, and sporting some half a dozen aliases, is a member. He has been tracked all over the country to New Orleans, where he succeeded in hiding himself from the police, but sent word that if let alone he would tell what he knew of the murder, though the chance that he had anything to do with it, he has at last been arrested in Washington by several detectives who have for a long time been on the watch for him. He acknowledges his identity, and is a valuable prize, even if so completely in the hands of the law, that it is a pity that the rewards elicited by that, there is \$10,000 as a standing price for his capture. He has been brought on to New York for trial.

Merchants' Exchange. The following despatches were received at the Exchange to-day: Liverpool—Flour 30s; Rad Wheat 19s. 4d.; 12s. 8d.; Corn 28s. 6d.; 29s.; Cotton 10d. 10d. Consols London 92 1/2. New York—Market inactive, without material change. No. 2 Spring Wheat \$1.55 a \$1.60. Western mixed Corn 63 1/2 a 64 cts. Mess Pork quiet, \$14.10 heavy. Grain freights 10d. a 10 1/2. Receipts of flour 115,000 bushels; sales 75,000 bushels. Receipts of Corn 275,000 bushels; sales 185,000 bushels. Montreal—Flour market more active, shades better. Ordinary Canada and Welland Canal 80-35 a 80 1/2; Fancy 87.30 a 87.30; Extra \$7.50 a \$7.60. Receipts of flour 4,000 bbls.; sales 3,800. Chicago—No. 2 Spring Wheat \$1.23; market firm; receipts of Wheat 47,000 bushels; shipments 100,000. New York, Sept. 10th—Gold opened at 113 1/2. City Police Court. John Brittain, 34, arrested on a warrant for assaulting John Helpin. Helpin not willing to prosecute the prisoner was discharged on paying costs. Alice McCabe for using abusive and insulting language to Elizabeth Carr, was fined \$6. Ellen Donnelly, for using abusive and insulting language to Abigail Proud, was fined \$6. There were no prisoners in the Station last night. Abusive and insulting language was the only business before the Court this morning.

GENUINE CIGARS. (THE BEST IN STOCK) Five star, smoke free, and of genuine quality. Do you like to Smoke a Good Cigar? JOHN BREVETT 10,000 P. PATENT, Geo. R. W. BREVETT, 1000 N. B. ST. NANTUA, ILL. 1000 N. B. ST. NANTUA, ILL. 1000 N. B. ST. NANTUA, ILL. Good judges of cigars say these are the best cigars ever made. Mr. McArthur has had in Stock for the last several months (100 each) at a small advance on the cost, 50 cents each. Cases to contain 4 or 6 Cigars. R. D. McARTHUR, Medical Hall, No. 1000 N. B. St. Nantua, Ill. Op. King Square, N. Y.