

exception, and in general is too much a duty to be deemed meritorious. At all events, the error must be honestly corrected, and the change of opinion frankly avowed. None of these cases are in question. Having read and considered Mr. Huskisson's *evidence*, with the utmost attention, I see nothing in it that required an apology to the Bank, or an explanation to any other party. The English language has no terms more intelligible than those, in which the *evidence* is expressed. From the beginning to the end of it, every thing he says is plain, consistent, and indisputably true. Were it otherwise, that is, if the meaning of any expression, he had inadvertently used, were really ambiguous, I should be as ready as the warmest of his friends to resort to his character to illustrate his intention ; because my reliance on his honour and veracity obliges me to give implicit credit to the truth of every thing he asserts ; and the rather, tho' it is not wanted, because his subsequent explanation tells me, that what he said at first was not the allegation of a willing opponent, but apparently the unwilling confession of a friend. In effect, his recorded