

*Questions as Orders for Returns*

Mr. HANSON (York-Sunbury): I think they ought to be, having regard to the length of time they have been on the order paper.

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: I was simply trying to oblige my hon. friend, and in the circumstances I think he might have accepted the explanation which I gave.

Mr. HANSON (York-Sunbury): I did not know what the explanation was until the Prime Minister spoke.

Mr. SPEAKER: Questions 16 and 17 answered.

Some hon. MEMBERS: No.

### QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

#### MUNITIONS AND SUPPLY—LEGAL SERVICES, RED DEER CONSTITUENCY

Mr. SHAW:

1. Have members of the legal profession resident in the Red Deer federal constituency been engaged by the Department of Munitions and Supply or any other department of the federal government in connection with property purchased in said constituency since September, 1939?

2. If so, what are their names and addresses?

3. What amount or amounts have been paid for legal services in each case?

4. Upon what dates were payments made for services thus rendered?

Mr. McLARTY: Return tabled.

#### ADJUTOR SAVARD

Mr. BLACK (Cumberland):

1. Is Adjutor Savard in the employment of the Department of National Defence for Air Services, or any other department of government?

2. If so, in what capacity?

3. Was the position, to which he was appointed, newly created?

4. How was it created and in what department?

5. Where is he stationed?

6. What are his duties?

7. What is his salary?

8. What are other allowances and expenses, stating the rates and total amounts paid under different headings?

9. What was the total amount paid to him in connection with the Rowell-Sirois commission?

10. Was he formerly on the staff of the National Liberal Federation?

Mr. McLARTY: Return tabled.

#### RADIO BROADCASTING—DOCUMENT USED BY ERNEST BERTRAND

Mr. HANSELL:

1. Who authorized one, Ernest Bertrand, to gain access to a document, purported to be instructions from an axis power to its agent in Canada?

[Mr. Mackenzie King.]

2. Who authorized this gentleman to read this document over the national network of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation on or about August 20, 1941?

3. Was this document read as evidence, and behind closed doors, to the special parliamentary committee on the defence of Canada regulations?

4. Will other members of the defence of Canada regulations committee and members of parliament be given the same privilege of securing the same or similar documents and evidence for the purpose of enlightening the public as to the dangers of subversive forces?

5. Under whose auspices was the broadcast given, how many stations were used in the hook-up and what was the total price charged?

Mr. McLARTY: Return tabled.

#### TOTAL ENLISTMENTS BY PROVINCES

Mr. CHURCH:

1. What are the total enlistments in Canada, by provinces, since the war began, to the end of October last, in the (a) army, (b) navy, (c) air force?

2. How many in each class are now serving overseas, and how many are engaged in home defence or in training in Canada?

3. Will the records, in future, record enlistments by municipalities, in the same manner as the victory loans are recorded?

4. Will consideration be given to a monthly statement of enlistments in future?

Mr. McLARTY: Return tabled.

#### MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

##### CORRESPONDENCE WITH BRIGADIER GENERAL CLARK

Mr. REID:

For a copy of all letters, telegrams and other correspondence exchanged between Brig.-Gen. J. A. Clark and the government or any official or department thereof, since September, 1939, to the end of September, 1941, with reference to military matters or appointments.

Mr. RALSTON: The hon. member really put this same matter in the form of a question before the house adjourned, and at that time I said that upon consideration my view was that to disclose information with regard to offers of military appointments would be establishing a precedent which would not be in the public interest. On that same ground I would ask my hon. friend to drop his motion.

Mr. REID: Mr. Speaker, I quite realize the viewpoint of the minister, but would he at least tell us if Brigadier General Clark was offered a position of any kind. Surely that question can be answered, and if the minister is prepared to give us that information, the motion might be dropped.

Mr. RALSTON: I can say to my hon. friend that Brigadier General Clark was offered an appointment.

Motion dropped.