

ILLICIT OR SECRET COMMISSIONS—*Con.*

*Aylesworth, Hon. A. B.—Con.*

transaction as Ames cites is very thoroughly covered by existing legislation—1131-2. Very dangerous to give the accused an absolute right of election—1133. Consideration of greater danger in regard to prosecutions—1134. Suggests postponement to prepare clauses to meet suggestions—1135. His views entirely in accord with those of Mr. McKenzie—1479. The question of responsibility of a single magistrate—1480. Easy to secure that there shall always be two magistrates sitting on a prosecution under this Bill—1481. Does not think it right to extend the right of election—1482. Section 707 would affect this Bill, as it is to be read as part of the Criminal Law—1483. A charge of conspiracy is a flexible kind of charge—1484. No doubt had this been law at the time of the revision it would have been placed in the Criminal Code—1486. The legal effect will be precisely the same as if it had been introduced by way of amendment—1487. Cannot agree that the civil remedy which now exists would be adequate—1490.

*Borden, R. L. (Halifax)—1123.*

Asks the language of the English statutes—1123. Asks what special interpretation has been given the word 'corruptly'—1126. The danger of leaving the interpretation to a justice of the peace—1128.

*Carvell, F. B. (Carleton, N.B.)—1127.*

Approves the principle, would give the right to elect; not sure whether appeal should be allowed—1127. Thinks a great deal of injustice may be done in working the Bill out—1128. Does not think the minister's concession goes far enough—1131. Danger of leaving the decision in the hands of an illiterate magistrate—1133. The magistrate should have the power to commit for trial—1134.

*Connee, James (Rainy River)—1131.*

Would not Ames include agents of the opposition who want favours if certain measures pass—1131.

*Crothers, T. W. (West Elgin)—1125.*

Surely it is not intended to have such charges brought before an ordinary justice of the peace—1125. Cannot think of any provision giving a single justice power to impose six months imprisonment—1130. If the Bill were left as introduced one justice of the peace would have jurisdiction—1482. This Bill absolutely unnecessary; meets no case at all—1483. Would not that be fully covered by section 444—1484.

*Doherty, C. J. (Montreal, St. Anne)—1487.*

The accused may be proceeded against under the provisions here by summary conviction—1487. The Act itself dealt with by this Bill is a violation of a civil obligation—1488. Advocates a pen-

ILLICIT OR SECRET COMMISSIONS—*Con.*

*Doherty, C. J.—Con.*

alty of double the amount of the commission—1489.

*McKenzie, D. D. (North Cape Breton)—1134.*

The Criminal Code should be made to apply in its entirety to this Bill—1134. The procedure should be the same as under the code, no more or less—1135.

*Maddin, J. W. (Cape Breton South)—1484.*

Commends the object of the Bill in every particular—1484. If added as section 158a would serve the purpose and still preserve the codification of the criminal law—1485. It would be wisdom to add a section to the Criminal Code instead of having a small Bill—1486.

*Porter, E. Guss (West Hastings)—1124.*

Two provisions in clause 1, of sec. 3, instead of one—1124. Left to the discretion of the magistrate whether he shall try the case or not—1125. The amount involved ought not to determine the question of jurisdiction—1126. The question of giving the accused the right to select his form of trial—1482.

*Sproule, T. S. (East Grey)—1122.*

Asks why the Bill is confined to secret commissions. Many ways of giving a consideration—1122.

## ILLICIT OR SECRET COMMISSIONS.

Introduction of Bill No. 31—Hon. A. B. Aylesworth—325.

*Aylesworth, Hon. A. B. (Minister of Justice)—325.*

Increases the punishment, and makes offences of the general character fall within the Act—325.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES INVESTIGATION ACT AMENDMENT.

Introduction of Bill 113—Mr. A. C. Macdonell—3565.

*Fielding, Hon. W. S. (Finance Minister)—3567.*

Bill comes within prohibition respecting money bills; can see to that at a further stage—3567.

*Macdonell, A. C. (South Toronto)—3565.*

The amendment seeks to put the men on the same basis as the employers—3565. Amends sec. 57, requiring the condition of the parties to be unchanged during the proceedings—3566. This amendment covers the whole period of time and prevents any change in condition—3567.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT.

Request for an opportunity of considering Bill 51—Mr. A. C. Macdonell—4641.