

The Charlottetown Herald.

NEW SERIES.

CHARLOTTETOWN, PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 3, 1898.

Vol. XXVII No. 32

Calendar for August, 1898.

MOON'S CHANGES.

Full Moon, 1d 11h 29m ev.
Last Quarter, 9d 1h 13m m.
New Moon, 17d 5h 34m m.
First Quarter, 24d 3h 32m ev.
Full Moon, 31d 7h 51m m.

Day of Week.	Sun rises.	Sun sets.	Moon rises.	Moon sets.
Monday	4 43:27	7 40	h m	h m
2 Tuesday	4 44:27	7 30	h m	h m
3 Wednesday	4 45:26	7 20	h m	h m
4 Thursday	4 46:25	7 10	h m	h m
5 Friday	4 47:23	7 00	h m	h m
6 Saturday	4 48:22	6 50	h m	h m
7 Sunday	4 49:20	6 40	h m	h m
8 Monday	4 50:18	6 30	h m	h m
9 Tuesday	4 51:16	6 20	h m	h m
10 Wednesday	4 52:14	6 10	h m	h m
11 Thursday	4 53:12	6 00	h m	h m
12 Friday	4 54:10	5 50	h m	h m
13 Saturday	4 55:08	5 40	h m	h m
14 Sunday	4 56:06	5 30	h m	h m
15 Monday	4 57:04	5 20	h m	h m
16 Tuesday	4 58:02	5 10	h m	h m
17 Wednesday	4 59:00	5 00	h m	h m
18 Thursday	5 00:00	4 50	h m	h m
19 Friday	5 01:00	4 40	h m	h m
20 Saturday	5 02:00	4 30	h m	h m
21 Sunday	5 03:00	4 20	h m	h m
22 Monday	5 04:00	4 10	h m	h m
23 Tuesday	5 05:00	4 00	h m	h m
24 Wednesday	5 06:00	3 50	h m	h m
25 Thursday	5 07:00	3 40	h m	h m
26 Friday	5 08:00	3 30	h m	h m
27 Saturday	5 09:00	3 20	h m	h m
28 Sunday	5 10:00	3 10	h m	h m
29 Monday	5 11:00	3 00	h m	h m
30 Tuesday	5 12:00	2 50	h m	h m
31 Wednesday	5 13:00	2 40	h m	h m

FIRE INSURANCE, LIFE INSURANCE.

The Royal Insurance Co. of Liverpool,
The Sun Fire office of London,
The Phenix Insurance Co. of Brooklyn,
The Mutual Life Insurance Co. of New York.

Combined Assets of above Companies, \$300,000,000.
Lowest Rates.
Prompt Settlements.
JOHN MCBACHERN,
Agent.

DR. CLIFT

treats CHRONIC DISEASES by the Sallabury method of permanent self-help in removing poisons from the blood. Consistent, intelligent treatment in person or by letter insures Minimum of suffering and Maximum of cure, possible in each case.

AVOID ATTEMPTS UNWAID.
Graduate of N. Y. University
And the NEW YORK HOSPITAL. Twenty years' practice in N. Y. City. Diplomas registered in U. S. and Canada.

Address—Charlottetown, P. E. I., Onco, Victoria Row.
Accommodations reserved for patients. References on application.
March 2, '98.

A. A. McLEAN, J. L. B., Q. C.
Barrister, Solicitor, Notary,
Etc., Etc.
BROWN'S BLOCK. MONEY TO LOAN.

Watches!

Our sales this year have been larger than last, although there has been more competition. A good many who have purchased trashy watches are waking up sorry, and the reaction will have the effect of creating a better demand for reliable goods.

We have no fault to find with honest competition so long as right goods are sold but do think the sale of dishonest goods ought to be prohibited.

SEE OUR NEW WALTHAM WATCHES

Up to date in quality and at fair prices. Gold filled cases warranted from 15 to 25 years. Silver cases lower than ever.

IN REPAIRS

We believe in doing good work and charging reasonably, if any want a cheap job they must go elsewhere, the people know in all trades cut prices and good work do not always go together.

E. W. Taylor,
Victoria Jewelry Store.

North British and Mercantile FIRE AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

EDINBURGH AND LONDON.
ESTABLISHED 1809.

Total Assets, 1891, - - \$60,082,727.
TRANSACTS every description of Fire and Life Business on the most favorable terms.

This Company has been well and favorably known for its prompt payment of losses in this island during the past thirty years.

FRED. W. HYNDMAN, Agent.
Watson's Building, Queen Street
Charlottetown, P. E. I.
Jan. 21, 1898.—ly

JOHN T. MELLISH, M. A., LL. B.
Barrister & Attorney-at-Law,
NOTARY PUBLIC, Etc.
CHARLOTTETOWN, P. E. ISLAND
Office—London House Building.

Collecting, conveying, and all kinds of legal business promptly attended to. Investments made on best security. Money to loan.

FOR THE Spring Trade

We have made great preparations. We are bound that our values in all our lines shall not be equalled on P. E. Island. We have the goods. We bought them right. We are going to sell them right.

OUR LINES ARE Ready-to-wear Clothing, Hats and Caps, Trunks and Valises, Dress Goods, Staple Dry Goods, Cloths, imported and home-made.

Our stock of Ready-to-Wear Clothing is the largest and best on the Island, and we are ready and willing to have you test the truth of our words when we say we give the best for the money.

We take Wool in exchange for any goods in the store, and always pay the very highest figure for it.

Remember our values this spring are better than ever.

W. D. McKAY,
Successor to McKay Woolen Co.

D. GORDON. SEXTUS McLELLAN.

Tourists AND ALL Visitors

To Prince Edward Island

Will save money by calling at our store to inspect our fine range of cloths in

Suitings, Overcoatings, Trouserings, &c.

and carry away with them a souvenir of our artistic tailoring. All Summer Goods in

Men's Furnishings

will be cleared out regardless of cost from this date.

GORDON & McLELLAN,
Men's Outfitters.

T. A. McLean

Has great pleasure in informing the general public that he can furnish them all with

Hay Presses, THRESHING MILLS,

PLOUGHS AND PLOUGH EXTRAS,

With shares harder than ever before. And now as the hog boom has struck,

Our Improved Hog Feed Boiler

Gives the greatest satisfaction wherever used at much lower prices than ever before. Give us a call for anything you want in Steel, Iron, Brass or Wood.

Our Improved Steam Friction Hoist is winning great favor with those who use them.

Dairy Machinery always on hand and to order.
T. A. McLEAN,
Oct. 6, 1897—ly. Successor to McKinnon & McLean.

Items of Interest to Catholic Readers In the Magazines.

Cardinal Wiseman.
(From the Sacred Heart Review)

An ex-Anglican, a woman, contributes to the current issue of the Catholic World a very readable paper entitled "Personal Recollections of Two Cardinals," and dealing with Cardinals Wiseman and Newman. She writes enthusiastically of both those lamented prelates, who, in her view of their characters, had this in common, at least, that they dwelt and lived upon an intellectual platform so high that it lifted them above the trivialities of life. She mentions Wiseman's well known aversion to business matters as evidence that there was in his make up nothing of the Anglo-Saxon to whom business details are always dear; and she says that a sure way to have invited a snubbing from the first archbishop of Westminster was to go to him and announce that you had come to consult him on business. The only way to get him interested in such matters was to begin with something else, and work around, by whatever openings that presented themselves in the course of conversation, to the point it was desired to reach. With regard to spiritual matters, however, Wiseman was always ready and even eager to enter into discussion. And of his general conversation this writer says that, whether it turned upon art, music, science or history, it always possessed a rich charm, for the Cardinal had a seemingly inexhaustible fund of varied information, and his manner was never in the least pedantic. Many touching stories of the Cardinal's simplicity of character, his love of children and his deep spirituality are interwoven in this article; and especially edifying and illustrative of his noble character is the brief passage wherein his closing hours upon earth are described. "He had been singularly patient throughout his illness," says this writer, "accepting as a daily penance his perhaps it was given, who had never rightly understood him before, to recognize on his death-bed the nobility of his character, the blamelessness of his life, and the high degree of union with God to which he had attained. It was remarked at the last that he had made more acts of love and confidence than of contrition. For him school days were over, and he was going home for an eternity of holidays."

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it, they were too like and too unlike for perfect understanding to unite them always and on all occasions.

Cardinal Newman.

It would not be easy to find two men occupying such prominent places as Wiseman and Newman did who had greater characteristic dissimilarities. The former, was, so to speak, all warmth and effusiveness, the other frigid and reserved. Hence it is not surprising to learn, as this article asserts, that when they first met, Wiseman did not seem quite to know how to meet the Oratorian's distant manner. Yet, dissimilar as the two dignitaries were in many ways, they had their points of resemblance. "In the broad outline of things," says this writer of their Eminences, such as the extension of the Church in England, devotion to the Church in general, and zeal for the glory of God, the aims, hopes and wishes of both cardinals were the same; and they were also alike in the high moral atmosphere in which they breathed. I had never known two characters so entirely free from every vice as these two were. Imperfections there might be in them—a certain high and mighty intolerance of bad taste in Newman, a childish petulance in Wiseman—but nothing more." If Wiseman was less ascetic than Newman, she tells us, in another place, he was not the less as full as the Oratorian recluse of the love of God; and she draws another contrast between the two men when she writes: "To be self-conscious and shy with him (Wiseman) was to lose one's opportunity. In this he differed from Newman, who always seemed to resent being drawn out. Newman was the keener as well as the more fastidious intellect. Of narrower and far less varied tastes, the great Oratorian could talk excellently well when he was interested, but it was less easy to interest him. Two such characters could not, of course, be expected to agree upon all points; and Wiseman and Newman were no exception to the rule. As this article puts it