

# HOUSE OF COMMONS

Wednesday, May 26, 1982

The House met at 2 p.m.

Some hon. Members: No.

● (1405)

\* \* \*

## ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

## ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

[English]

CALL FOR DISMISSAL OF SOLICITOR GENERAL—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

### GRAIN

SHIPMENTS THROUGH SAINT JOHN, N.B.—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Bob Corbett (Fundy-Royal):** Madam Speaker, I rise to move a motion under the provisions of Standing Order 43. During the summer months the port of Saint John lies substantially idle and the dock workers are unemployed. A tremendous assistance to these workers could be provided by the government if they would use their good offices, in the same fashion as the Solicitor General (Mr. Kaplan), to urge the Wheat Board to divert just a few shipments through the port of Saint John during this period to benefit the workers. Therefore I move, seconded by the hon. member for Mississauga South (Mr. Blenkarn):

**Mr. Svend J. Robinson (Burnaby):** Madam Speaker, I rise to move a motion pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 43. In view of the fact that the Solicitor General (Mr. Kaplan) is both a cabinet minister and a law officer of the Crown, and should not intervene directly or indirectly in the judicial or quasi-judicial process, and in view of the statements by the Prime Minister that it is more important that justice be done than that it be seen to be done, and that justice must be tempered with mercy, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Skeena (Mr. Fulton):

That the government not make a decision on this critical issue to workers in Saint John by refusing the motion but show their concern by agreeing to take it under consideration, by adopting it.

That this House urge the Prime Minister to do justice to all Canadians who believe in the rule of law by firing the Solicitor General forthwith, but that he ensure that this justice be tempered with mercy by firing the minister gently.

**Madam Speaker:** Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

**Madam Speaker:** Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Some hon. Members: No.

\* \* \*

\* \* \*

## POLITICAL PARTIES

## EDUCATION

DIFFERENCES IN POLICIES—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

ASSISTANCE FOR POST-SECONDARY STUDENTS—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Dave Nickerson (Western Arctic):** Madam Speaker, I rise to move a motion under the provisions of Standing Order 43. Whereas the present economic recession is directly attributable to years of Liberal-NDP policies of zero growth, belt tightening, and restraint, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Fundy-Royal (Mr. Corbett):

**Mr. Walter McLean (Waterloo):** Madam Speaker, I rise to move a motion under the provisions of Standing Order 43. Reports from student aid officials in six provinces indicate that the expenses of the average aid-receiving student still exceed his or her summer earnings, savings and/or government assistance by some 15 per cent, as first reported by the 1980 federal-provincial task force on student aid. Now, in the coming academic year, fee increases at Canadian universities will be between 10 per cent and 30 per cent. Therefore I move, seconded by the hon. member for Lethbridge-Foothills (Mr. Thacker):

That this House invites the Canadian public to cast aside the present defeatist government and participate in the liberty, prosperity and growth offered by the Conservative alternative.

**Madam Speaker:** Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

That this House impress upon the Secretary of State, in light of his responsibility for post-secondary payments and the Canada Student Loan Program, the urgent need for immediate financial assistance for most Canadian post-secondary students, many of whom have little hope for summer employment.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.