It is for this that they have disputed the treaty of Utrecht, and invented so many quibbles and falsities to evade it; in particular, that the cession solely concerns the antient limits of Acadia, as confined to a part of the Peninsula, or to the whole at most.

Is it not a very modest request to desire the possession of a river, which runs through the heart of the whole country, as St. John's, does from the borders of New England to the bay of Fundy? Louis XIV. had too much modesty, as well as equity and desire for peace (expressed in his proposals and answers to those of Queen Anne*) to make such a request: a thought which none could be capable of forming, but those who would reduce the English pretensions in Acadia by the treaty of Utreeht, to a line of coast. It is just as reasonable as if the English should require possession of either the river Rhone, Loir, or Garrone, each of which runs through great part of France.

Grant them this request, and I should not wonder, if sometime hence, they should ask the Kennibek, Konnektikut, or Albany river. If this request was granted them, they would, in effect, gain more than is at present in dispute, that is, two countries instead of one; and be put in possession, not only of Nova Scotia simply, but also of the country of Sagadabok, or country of York, which lies between it and New England. This might be easily effected by fortifying the river in proper places; and from the port at its mouth, they would have it in their power to disturb our

Pieces justific. art. 28, and 32. p. 341, and 383.