I know my hon. friend is concerned about the timeliness of certain initiatives. I talked about an apology before the House. I talked about appropriate redress and about compensation that the House could consider. There can be no doubt that this Government, we hope in full co-operation with Members of the House of Commons, will act to correct the profound injustice inflicted upon the Japanese Canadian community by the Liberal Party for over 40 years.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I indicated yesterday that I was ready to rule on the matters that were raised last week by the Hon. Member for Windsor West (Mr. Gray) and the Hon. Member for Don Valley East (Mr. Attewell). Last week, two Members raised matters with regard to parliamentary language and the Chair undertook to review the record. I had the opportunity to review *Hansard* and was prepared to deal with the case of the Hon. Minister of Transport (Mr. Mazankowski) first, as requested by the Hon. Member for Windsor West. As the House knows, yesterday the Hon. Minister of Transport indicated his response to that matter on a point of order. Therefore, I regard that matter as closed.

POINTS OF ORDER

ALLEGED USE OF UNPARLIAMENTARY LANGUAGE

Mr. Speaker: With regard to the complaint by the Hon. Member for Don Valley East (Mr. Attewell), *Hansard* has reported an unparliamentary remark directed at the Prime Minister (Mr. Mulroney) and attributed to the Hon. Member for York South—Weston (Mr. Nunziata). I would therefore ask the Hon. Member for York South-Weston to tell the Chair if he is accurately reported in *Hansard* and, if he is, I would invite him to withdraw his remark.

Mr. John Nunziata (York South—Weston): Mr. Speaker, Hansard did, in fact, accurately reflect the statement I made. It indicates at page 13715 that I called the Prime Minister of Canada (Mr. Mulroney): "... an absolute fraud". I would like to take this opportunity to unequivocally, without reservation and without hestitation, withdraw any reference to the Prime Minister of Canada as being an absolute fraud, res ipsa loquitur.

Mr. Speaker: Order. The Hon. Member knows full well that the Chair knows exactly what *res ipsa loquitur* means. The

Point of Order-Mr. Marchi

Hon. Member will withdraw the implication he is leaving. He will simply withdraw it, please.

Mr. Nunziata: I so withdraw, Mr. Speaker.

OBLIGATION OF MINISTER TO PRESENT DEPARTMENTAL ESTIMATES

Mr. Sergio Marchi (York West): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. As of last Friday the House has deemed to have received and approved all Estimates from appropriate Departments. I want to point out that the Minister of State for Multiculturalism (Mr. Jelinek) has failed to present his Estimates to the appropriate committees despite the repeated calls and opportunities. Therefore, notwithstanding the fact that he is away on sports business, he has a responsibility as Minister of State for Multiculturalism and I want to call the Chair's attention to this abdication of a traditional parliamentary obligation.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I have given the Hon. Member some time but if he has a point of order I need him to tell me what it is.

Mr. Marchi: Mr. Speaker, the Estimates were approved on Friday. The Minister of State for Multiculturalism did not present his Estimates. Therefore, I seek some clarification from the Chair, given the fact that he failed to honour this commitment. I feel that as a member of the committee and a Member of the House of Commons—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The Hon. Member continues with his comments. I will give him one more chance to tell me what his point of order is. Does the Hon. Member have a point of order?

Mr. Marchi: Mr. Speaker, my point of order is that the Estimates for Multiculturalism have been approved falsely because the Minister did not present his Estimates. I seek clarification. If necessary, let us have the Minister of State for Multiculturalism come back from Mexico so that he can deal with his responsibilities honourably.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The Hon. Member appears to have an opinion of what should have happened or should not have happened. However, he is not raising a point of order.

Mr. Marchi: How about a question of privilege?

Mr. Speaker: If the Hon. Member wants to raise a question of privilege he will have to change the rules in order to allow him to do so at this time. The Hon. Member believes that the Estimates have been improperly adopted. If there was a point of order with regard to the Estimates, it had to be raised on Friday. Orders of the day.