

and as inept at governing as this one has continued to stay in power.

In addition, a disproportionate amount of equalization grants and regional development payments are being made to this province to the tune of almost half of the total amount for the rest of Canada. I noticed that out of \$18 million worth of capital projects, \$16 million went to this one province. I also noticed in the miscellaneous estimates committee the other day, under an item concerning manpower mobility, that something like 19 fewer workers were moved in the province of Quebec than in the province of Ontario under the program, yet the cost of moving this fewer number of workers was well over \$1 million more. I suggest this sort of thing goes on all the way down the line.

Only a few of these cases are brought to the attention of the public. Many members of the House are reluctant to speak of these matters because these people are friends and personalities. We want them to be with us as much as we want anybody in this country to be with us, but I say that things will have to be far more equally managed in the future if we are to maintain the relationship we have had in the past.

A great deal is said in this kind of debate about employment. I am wondering whether full employment is possible in this day and age of technological advance. Certainly it is no comfort to read the sort of articles that are written today in this regard. I have two publications here, one from last week's *Globe and Mail* which says:

● (9:40 p.m.)

By the end of this century Canada will be a nation of apartment dwellers, most adult women will have jobs outside the home . . .

The average work week will fall from the present 40 hours to 28 or 29 hours . . .

This vision of Canada in the year 2000 is projected by Systems Research Group, a Toronto-based analytical group which describes itself as a Canadian think tank.

In a study commissioned by the federal government, Systems Research calculates that gross national product at the end of the century will amount to \$288 billion in constant 1967 dollars, compared with \$75 billion in 1970 . . .

The population probably will reach 33.8 million, up 70 per cent from the 20 million record in the 1966 census.

They also suggest that employment will vastly increase. In another newspaper published two or three days after that I read an article headed, "Can women really be replaced by machines?" It says that people are already working on machines—

Mr. Perrault: Mr. Speaker, would the hon. member permit a question?

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The hon. member for Burnaby-Seymour (Mr. Perrault) seeks the floor for the purpose of asking a question, but I gather the hon. member who has the floor is not prepared to allow the question. In that case he should be allowed to continue his speech.

Mr. Perrault: I was just going to ask for the name of the publication, the date and the page.

Alleged Failure to Improve Economy

Mr. Downey: The hon. member from B.S. could just as well ask me after the sitting tonight. This quotation is from the *Ottawa Citizen* within the last two weeks, if that helps.

However, in future, says my technological informant, the correspondent will pick up his telephone (called a communicator by then) and dictate his message. It will be passed electronically into the recipient's machine. When the recipient chooses to inspect his mail, he will merely push a button and listen.

Having listened to his mail, he will answer it. The messages will be transmitted to his correspondents in the same electronic way.

Then the whole exchange—incoming and outgoing—will be filed away in a memory bank.

It goes on to say—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I regret that I have to interrupt the hon. member. It being 9.45 p.m. it is my duty, pursuant to section 10 of Standing Order 58, to interrupt proceedings on the motion now before the House in order to put every question necessary on Supplementary Estimates (C), interim supply, and any bill or bills based thereon.

MOTION FOR CONCURRENCE IN VOTE 25c, DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND CORPORATE AFFAIRS

Hon. C. M. Drury (President of the Treasury Board) moved:

That vote 25c, program expenditures for the Prices and Incomes Commission, Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs, for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1971, be concurred in.

Mr. Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, when the Chair puts this motion to the House should there not also be included in it the amount of the vote which, as listed in 25c of the supplementary estimates, is \$1,250,000?

Mr. Speaker: There is no objection. If there is agreement, the motion will be amended as suggested by the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles).

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Because that is what we are voting against.

Mr. Speaker: The motion is the following one:

That vote 25c, program expenditures for the Prices and Incomes Commission, Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs, in the sum of \$1,250,000 for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1971, be concurred in.

Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion? All those in favour of the said motion will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.