

MCGILL FORTNIGHTLY.

Vol. V.

MONTREAL, NOVEMBER 19, 1896.

No. 4

Editorial and Business Boards.

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-chief—S. G. ARCHIBALD (Arts '97).
FRANK J. LAVERTY, B. A., (Law '97).
M. J. MALONEY (Med. '97) G. MACLEOD (Sci-nce '97).
B. A. SUGDEN (Vet. Science '97).
MISS LAURA A. YOUNG (Donalda '97).

BUSINESS BOARD.

Business Manager—H. M. MARLER (Law '98).
A. C. L. FOX (Med. '98). M. C. HEINE (Arts '98).
J. MACRAE (Science '98).
MISS MURIEL CARR (Donalda '98).
J. C. MOORE (Vet Science '79).

The annual subscription is \$1.00, payable strictly in advance. Remittance to be made to the Chairman of the Business Board, H. M. MARLER Law Faculty. Address contributions to the editor-in-chief, 117 Mackay St., Montreal.

Editorial.

THE University lecture was delivered this year by Professor Capper, who fills the new chair of Architecture. The lecture was a scholarly one, and we feel sure that McGill is to be heartily congratulated upon the acquisition of Prof. Capper to the professorial staff. McGill, we believe, is one of the few universities on this continent which possesses such a chair, and we are justly proud of that fact. Architecture, as Prof. Capper so ably stated, is intimately connected with almost all the great branches of learning, and everyone must acknowledge that the possession of such a chair is of great advantage to an university. And yet we are certain that almost every student present at that lecture must have asked himself this question,—Why have we not got a chair of political economy? We have a chair of architecture, which few universities possess, and yet we have not a chair of political economy, which scarcely any university of considerable standing is without. We are convinced that a course of lectures on political economy would be followed eagerly and enthusiastically by large numbers of students, both from the faculty of arts and from the faculty of law.

WITH the close of the football season, one inevitably makes certain reflections of a somewhat serious nature. While it is useless to indulge in "fond regrets," at the same time we think that it would be the height of folly not to profit by the lesson taught us this year. We think it evident that McGill made the same mistake this year as was made some years ago. There is not that systematic sifting of aspirants for the team which ought to take place in a college where so much new material is coming forward every year. We think that the American system of putting all players on an equal footing at the beginning of the season, and having, as it were, a competition for places on the team, might be adopted with advantage here. The mere fact of a man's having played on the senior team during the past year, is with them no guarantee of his playing during the present year. Is it here? THE FORTNIGHTLY realizes that great difficulties have to be overcome, owing to the fact that McGill is obliged to put three teams on the field, and that the choice of a team is a much more delicate operation than with those clubs who have only one team to choose. These difficulties,