THE A-FaMILY.
[These dificulties can be grapld with only by sientitic methods, if hoping for satisfactory results. . . . . Acurat nuledge of orthoepic facts wud help to setl speling.-Sweet.]
Vowel sounds ar infinit in number. A traind ear can recognize many varieties of evry principal vowel. Yet it is wel to consider four sub-varieties of the $a$-family and to treat them as four separat entities. Some readers wil then be les puzld.
(1) "Italian $u$ " (a in Herald-notation) is open-neutral a, most open of them all, with tung neutral (that is, neither frout nor bak). Western, of Frederiksstad in Norway, teaches so-limited space prevents discusion now. (2) Close-neutral $a$, our a or a $a_{2}$, not so open as a, the "short $a$ " of continental Europ. (3) Close-front a, our æ, as in man mæn as comonly spoken (but ma ${ }_{2} n$ in northern English, including Scotish). (4) Close-bak $a$, our 0 , as in American not. In a givn dialect they ocur in pairs, 0 and $æ$ in America, a and a in northern English and on the continent -all this speaking broadly.
Sometimes a shades $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\infty \\ \text { a }\end{array}\right.$ off toard $æ$; again, to-
ard $0 ;$ a may aproach a
from insuficient mon $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\infty & a & 0 \\ & \text { a }\end{array}\right.$ from insuficient mouth opening. This schematic diagram with a at corner of a triangle, or, better, at loest part of a segment of a semicircl, concave upward, wil help memory and understanding.

The American boy's papa is pop•, pop, pæ or pæp. Try to hav him say pa.pa-Yu ask him to giv two vowels foren to his vocal scale; his o ocurs in stopt sylabls only (i. e., ending in a consonant) while pa.par hiss both open-and he (feeling all this by speech habit) wil giv pa.paw or "paw". If yu ar not satisfied with his fi.cogro for Chicago, he wil alter the midl vowel and either hold it ( o : ) or thro more stres on it (at the expense of neighboring vowels, rendering them obscure) saying co.cawig. This forcing proces is unnatural to him, and wil prove futil. In one district the vertical pair (a, a) wil be prevalent; in another, the horizontal pair ( 0 , $\mathfrak{x}$ ) is so. A good ear wil discriminate all four, even more, but in practis two is ali the average ear diferentiates and uzes in discrimating tho't-pregnant words (platform, plank 16).

Mrs Burnz' 'special dril" mentiond on our p .92 is to discirminate a audo, the 2 d and 4th sounds above, the closest of all. She has described this dril recently (Jur. Or. \& Or., Aus.) and says "The uniquenes of 0 is that it cannot be lengthend or drawld;" tru, and chiefly due to its ocurence in stopt sylabls, mainly in ones stopt by stopt consonants ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}$, inclu-
ding, of course, $\mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{tc}$ and $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{d} \mathrm{J})$, thus explaining its caracteristic ("uniquenes") snap and short duration. Mrs B. ads "To produce 0 the jaws ar wel apart, the tung slightly drawn bak and thus thiknd, while the voice is thrown uproard as tho thru the bak roof of the mouth. In making ah [a] the tung lies flat, the jaws ar wel apart and the voice is thrown freely out of the open front mouth."

Last summer a riter (H. T. C. A., of Hunwick) in the Newcastle (Eng.) Chronicle gave a popular acount of speech in Tyneside in which he said:
"The personal pronoun, in either forms, maintains its Latin sound on Tyneside. The short sound is comon in English, but the long sound is difrent, only the Northumbrian does it justice. He uzes the short sound in anser to a question not replied to by yes or no; for instance, 'Wer yu there?' 'I was. '[a waz] In the case of the pronoun there it wud be the short sound. Now if the question was 'Who was there?' 'I was', [a waz], the pronoun wud be long and spelt Aa."

Now, a newspaper riter, however clear and tru his views, is han̆dicapt for lak of a good notation, type for which is not at hand. For this, editers care litl; readers, les. Tho the Chronicle's riter is on the spot and knows what he is talking about, too -more than is tru of his clas-let us turn for "acurat noledge of orthoepic facts" to some one with "sientific method" and notation. For one, Ellis has done this with paleotype for the district (in E. E. Pron., pages 2069 to 2083) ; and for Newcastle itself was at "great pains to make this comparativ specimen as correct as posibl." In it the vowel æ ocurs not-has is hez, have is hev (Germans say hef). We ar in dout whether Ellis discriminates 0 and a in his notation. He records a (our a) in
a, ask (aks), babble, (be) cause, fast, going (gan), happen, hold (had), I, Jack, lad, lass, last, laugh, man, my, old (ad), that, very, want, was, what, would, wrong.
He records an (our a) in these words:
all, call, certain, crow, I (emfatic), know, law, own, talk, yard.
"Momus" pertinently asks (in Jur. Or. $\& O r .$, Jan.) : "Why spel what with $\theta$ when clearly the vowel is the short of that in arm? Why spel not with $\theta$ when clearly we hear short $a$ ? Why spel ozol with $\theta$ u when au wud indicate beter?" Manifestly, in America, for not, what, watch, we say not, hwot, wotf, and wot ${ }^{3 r}$ for water is comon, tho wot ${ }^{\text {r }}$ (with open $o=$ short aw) is authoritativ.
Tabular comparison of symbols in main systems of notation wil help elucidate :
Herald-notation........... 0 a $a$ か
Amer. Dialect Society..... o à a æ
Standard dictionary....... $\theta$ a a a
Ellis' notation............. ? a aa $\infty$
Tuttle’s " $\ldots \ldots . . .$. a a $a$ æ

