

## Peculiar

To treat in many important particulars, Hood's Sarsaparilla is different from and superior to any other medicine.

Peculiar in combination, proportion and preparation of ingredients, Hood's Sarsaparilla possesses the full curative value of the best known remedies of the medicinal kingdom.

Peculiar in its medicinal merit, Hood's Sarsaparilla accomplishes cures hitherto unknown.

Peculiar in strength and economy—Hood's Sarsaparilla is the only medicine of which a single bottle, at \$1.00, cures a case of skin disease in larger and smaller bottles require larger doses, and do not produce as good results as Hood's Sarsaparilla. Peculiar in its "good name at home"—there is more of Hood's Sarsaparilla sold in Lowell, where it is made, than of all other blood purifiers.

Peculiar in its phenomenal record of sales abroad, no other preparation has ever attained such popularity in so short a time. Do not be induced to take any other preparation. Remember—get Hood's Sarsaparilla.

**Hood's Sarsaparilla**  
Solely by Druggists, \$1.00 per bottle. Prepared only by C. L. HODG & CO., Apothecaries, Lowell, Mass.

**100 Doses One Dollar**

BY THE TEE.

The Currier Who Tussled for the Ontario Tankard.

**CURLING.**  
Toronto, Feb. 13.—The finish of the competition for the Ontario tankard is near at hand. Yesterday was the last day for receiving returns of the group competitions. These leave the following group champions eligible to play in the final matches in this city next Wednesday and Thursday, Feb. 18 and 19:

Group Winner. Group Winner.  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....  
Bellevue 10.....Lakeland 10.....

The tankard has been played for since 1875, when the Hamilton Thistles won it. The previous winners that are eligible to compete in the final this year are: Bowmanville, Orillia, Hamilton Thistles, Toronto Granites and Kewton. Waterloo won last year. Only Toronto and Hamilton Thistles have won it twice, while Bowmanville has once won and twice been the last competing club. Play will begin at 9 a.m. Wednesday.

At Brantford Wednesday three rinks of Galt players defeated Brantford by six shots.

The two Forest rinks which played here on Tuesday, and a third one composed of W. D. Griggs, W. J. Porter, Geo. Webster and C. Stuart, played a friendly match with three Stars. Marks in that town the other evening, and were defeated by nine shots.

Albion and Montreal curlers played the international match for the Gordon medal at the Caledonian Hotel, Wednesday evening. The American team is wholly made up of Albany gentlemen, none of the curlers from New York city taking part in the match. The Canadian team is representative one, the members being chosen from the four city clubs, each contributing two players. It was a four-hour match with stones, Canadians, 45; Americans, 43.

**BASEBALL.**  
A meeting to revise the International League will be held in Syracuse this (Friday) evening. Toronto will probably send a representative.

**HOUSING PROVISIONS.**  
A number of local sportsmen met at the Tecumseh House Thursday night and organized the London Hockey League Society. Col. Smith, secretary, said that several gentlemen, signifying their willingness to join the society, allowing their names to go down as members, and paid their initiation fee of \$1. The following were elected: President, N. N. N.; Vice-President, J. H. H.; Secretary, C. A. A.; Treasurer, J. B. B.; and a committee to draft a constitution and bylaws: Messrs. Allan D. D., J. H. H., J. B. B., J. C. C., J. D. D., J. E. E., J. F. F., J. G. G., J. H. H., J. I. I., J. J. J., J. K. K., J. L. L., J. M. M., J. N. N., J. O. O., J. P. P., J. Q. Q., J. R. R., J. S. S., J. T. T., J. U. U., J. V. V., J. W. W., J. X. X., J. Y. Y., J. Z. Z.

**THE TURF.**  
A law has been passed prohibiting the sale in Missouri of pools on horse racing outside the State.

At the Brantford sale on Tuesday George Voorhis, of Detroit, purchased the bay mare Daisy L., 8 years old, by Pottery, dam Virginia Wilkes, for \$250.

J. S. Coxe, of Lexington, owner of the Dixiana stud, will make Robert Bonner an offer of \$12,000 for the privilege of breeding, and to analyze, Mr. Coxe to own the foal.

The third day of the horse sales at Lexington was well attended. Col. B. M. by Almond dam Maggie Koenig was bought for \$2,500, and Ophelia Carr, blk. f., by Ellerslie Wilkes, dam Lady Carr, for \$2,000.

At a recent meeting of the Woodstock Driving Park Association it was decided to hold two days racing there on June 9 and 10. The following is a list of the horses to be raced: First day—Green Rock, \$100; running race, 11 mile, merchant stake, \$150; three-minute, open, \$200; 2:30, \$200. Second day—2:45 race, \$200; horsekeepers' purse, \$100; running race, mile heats, for all, \$250. George J. Fraser was appointed delegate to the meeting to be held in this city to arrange about the formation of the western circuit.

**THE RING.**  
SECRETARY, N. Y., Feb. 13.—"Bobby" Haight, of Hudson, and "Jimmie" Quinn, of Kingston, two lightweight pugilists, fought last night for \$250 a side and the gate receipts. In the third round Haight rendered Quinn unconscious by a terrific blow behind the left ear.

When the Clouds Roll by  
Get your share of good things free with our teas, coffees, baking powder or cocoa, or at matches each price, \$1.00. We are removing down town; must sell \$10,000 worth of goods in 30 days; 50 cents count as \$1 and dimes as quarters here. EMPIRE TEA COMPANY, 240 Dundas street, London.

There is no solitude so miserable as that of the man alone in a noisy city. Minard's Liment cure Dandruff.

**EVERY GENTLEMAN**  
Should take advantage of the close prices at which

**HARRY LENOX**  
—IS OFFERING—  
His Stock of Trousers to the Public  
A FIRST-CLASS STOCK.  
WELL MADE AND PERFECT FITTING

## SOUTH MIDDLESEX.

**Liberals Again Nominate Jas. Armstrong.**

**A Monster Convention Unanimously Tenders Him the Nomination for Another Term—The Nominations Speech.**

A monster Liberal convention was held in the much-gerry-mandered constituency of South Middlesex yesterday afternoon at Delaware. When the president called the gathering to order, shortly after 1 o'clock, there was not sufficient room in the Town Hall to hold the monster crowd that sought admittance.

Messrs. G. McGugan, S. Sutherland, D. Campbell, W. P. Dale and Secretary P. J. McNeill were appointed a committee on credentials. They reported that the following delegates properly qualified were in attendance:

CARADOC.—Messrs. Thomas Faulstich, Henry Lockwood, John, Henry Waters, Charles H. Lockwood, Harry Anderson, W. J. Baines, W. J. Foreman, S. M. G. McCracken, R. Beattie, C. Nagle, W. Nagle, W. Bidwell, F. London, J. Thomas, J. F. Sutherland, H. Hardy, J. Sutherland, W. Harrison, H. Sutherland, John Thompson, J. C. McNeill, G. McGugan, M. J. Campbell, M. M. Campbell, D. McGugan, J. E. Northcote, J. E. Adams, J. Stewart, P. Lyman, R. Selous, W. Joyce, W. Snelgrove, G. Bond, E. P. H. Northcote and W. Northcote.

SOUTH LONDON.—Messrs. J. Laidlaw, A. Boggs, J. Ferguson, J. Tunks, J. Kilbourne, W. H. Weston, J. Tough, H. Kilbourne, W. Kelly, James D. Kilgour, David Spence, W. Westcott, J. Laing, J. Heaman, A. Dick, F. A. Leys, M. G. Rowell, George Norton, E. Parnell, jun., J. A. Brown, W. A. Westland, J. Westland, J. Shaw, W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

Logo.—Messrs. J. Irvine, Archibald McGugan, E. R. Powell, John Ferguson, F. Edwards, E. R. Stonehouse, P. A. McIntyre, Alex. Sinclair, D. D. Campbell, Donald McLaughlin, D. McArthur, Isaac Fletcher, E. Zavitz, D. Patterson, Malcolm Fletcher, Peter Carmichael, R. Mitchell, D. A. Graham, D. Campbell, Newton Gray, John Campbell, Arch. Fraser, Duncan Campbell, Alex. Campbell and E. Caverhill. DALWARR.—Messrs. Joseph Upgrouse, Robert Stevens, Kenneth Whitehead, C. Sifton, Bruin Cornell, Samuel Sutherland, Henry Johnston, W. C. Harris, James Quirie, Sylvester Merrill, Thomas Bignall, Martin Wyley, Alex. Bodkin, Wallace Johnston, John M. Kaiser, Archibald Thompson, John Kent, Stephen Weld, John Gordon, William Whitaker, J. B. Merrill, D. Ryckman, W. Lewis, W. Bignall and John Pincombe.

WASHINGTON.—J. O. Nichol, J. H. Elliott, J. Ferguson, George Wilson, J. Conna, F. Barrow, W. Ray, R. Nichol, J. Anderson, J. G. McClary, J. Dale, jun., W. Walker, J. Murray, J. T. Coughlin, W. P. Walker, J. L. Lawrence, George Routledge, Andrew Scott, J. Beattie, W. S. Laidlaw, C. S. Spettigue, F. Elliott, W. Sumner, T. Bay, George Riddle, J. Matthews, A. Bathman, R. Reid, Boggs, W. C. McDonald, B. Little, J. E. Little, J. K. Little, John Nixon, W. Grievies, A. Montague, E. Wells, J. Colwell, W. Marr, J. McQuillan, J. H. Crimshaw, J. C. Sifton, J. H. Bernard, James Crinklaw, W. M. Learn, Cornelius Logan, A. C. Luce, W. S. Trot, W. Whiting and J. B. Crozer.

The nominations were then proceeded with, when the following slate was made up:

Candidate. Mover. Second.  
Cotton, John. J. Conna. J. Tunks. J. Kilbourne. W. H. Weston. J. Tough. H. Kilbourne. W. Kelly. James D. Kilgour. David Spence. W. Westcott. J. Laing. J. Heaman. A. Dick. F. A. Leys. M. G. Rowell. George Norton. E. Parnell, jun. J. A. Brown. W. A. Westland. J. Westland. J. Shaw. W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

Mr. Armstrong was nominated for another term. The nomination was then proceeded with, when the following slate was made up:

Candidate. Mover. Second.  
Cotton, John. J. Conna. J. Tunks. J. Kilbourne. W. H. Weston. J. Tough. H. Kilbourne. W. Kelly. James D. Kilgour. David Spence. W. Westcott. J. Laing. J. Heaman. A. Dick. F. A. Leys. M. G. Rowell. George Norton. E. Parnell, jun. J. A. Brown. W. A. Westland. J. Westland. J. Shaw. W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

Mr. Armstrong was nominated for another term. The nomination was then proceeded with, when the following slate was made up:

Candidate. Mover. Second.  
Cotton, John. J. Conna. J. Tunks. J. Kilbourne. W. H. Weston. J. Tough. H. Kilbourne. W. Kelly. James D. Kilgour. David Spence. W. Westcott. J. Laing. J. Heaman. A. Dick. F. A. Leys. M. G. Rowell. George Norton. E. Parnell, jun. J. A. Brown. W. A. Westland. J. Westland. J. Shaw. W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

Mr. Armstrong was nominated for another term. The nomination was then proceeded with, when the following slate was made up:

Candidate. Mover. Second.  
Cotton, John. J. Conna. J. Tunks. J. Kilbourne. W. H. Weston. J. Tough. H. Kilbourne. W. Kelly. James D. Kilgour. David Spence. W. Westcott. J. Laing. J. Heaman. A. Dick. F. A. Leys. M. G. Rowell. George Norton. E. Parnell, jun. J. A. Brown. W. A. Westland. J. Westland. J. Shaw. W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

Mr. Armstrong was nominated for another term. The nomination was then proceeded with, when the following slate was made up:

Candidate. Mover. Second.  
Cotton, John. J. Conna. J. Tunks. J. Kilbourne. W. H. Weston. J. Tough. H. Kilbourne. W. Kelly. James D. Kilgour. David Spence. W. Westcott. J. Laing. J. Heaman. A. Dick. F. A. Leys. M. G. Rowell. George Norton. E. Parnell, jun. J. A. Brown. W. A. Westland. J. Westland. J. Shaw. W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

Mr. Armstrong was nominated for another term. The nomination was then proceeded with, when the following slate was made up:

Candidate. Mover. Second.  
Cotton, John. J. Conna. J. Tunks. J. Kilbourne. W. H. Weston. J. Tough. H. Kilbourne. W. Kelly. James D. Kilgour. David Spence. W. Westcott. J. Laing. J. Heaman. A. Dick. F. A. Leys. M. G. Rowell. George Norton. E. Parnell, jun. J. A. Brown. W. A. Westland. J. Westland. J. Shaw. W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

Mr. Armstrong was nominated for another term. The nomination was then proceeded with, when the following slate was made up:

Candidate. Mover. Second.  
Cotton, John. J. Conna. J. Tunks. J. Kilbourne. W. H. Weston. J. Tough. H. Kilbourne. W. Kelly. James D. Kilgour. David Spence. W. Westcott. J. Laing. J. Heaman. A. Dick. F. A. Leys. M. G. Rowell. George Norton. E. Parnell, jun. J. A. Brown. W. A. Westland. J. Westland. J. Shaw. W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

Mr. Armstrong was nominated for another term. The nomination was then proceeded with, when the following slate was made up:

Candidate. Mover. Second.  
Cotton, John. J. Conna. J. Tunks. J. Kilbourne. W. H. Weston. J. Tough. H. Kilbourne. W. Kelly. James D. Kilgour. David Spence. W. Westcott. J. Laing. J. Heaman. A. Dick. F. A. Leys. M. G. Rowell. George Norton. E. Parnell, jun. J. A. Brown. W. A. Westland. J. Westland. J. Shaw. W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

Mr. Armstrong was nominated for another term. The nomination was then proceeded with, when the following slate was made up:

Candidate. Mover. Second.  
Cotton, John. J. Conna. J. Tunks. J. Kilbourne. W. H. Weston. J. Tough. H. Kilbourne. W. Kelly. James D. Kilgour. David Spence. W. Westcott. J. Laing. J. Heaman. A. Dick. F. A. Leys. M. G. Rowell. George Norton. E. Parnell, jun. J. A. Brown. W. A. Westland. J. Westland. J. Shaw. W. Maddford and Charles Elliott.

would be no general election until these were new voters' lists. The pledge of the Government has been violated. You HAVE BEEN WRONGED.

Everywhere over the country young men who within the last two years have come of age and have thus been entitled to the exercise of the franchise have been deprived of their privileges. (Applause.)

There are various reasons for this action of the Government. In 1878 the National Policy was inaugurated, and now has come the time when the Government has decided to snatch a verdict from the people in this method. (Cheers.)

The speaker then discussed the tactics adopted by the Government to prove that it was not in favor of reciprocity. The people had seen through them, and they were not content to submit, and were everywhere ready for a victorious fight. Taking up the question of direct taxation he said: "There is no need for the people being forced into direct taxation by reciprocity. Just let the Government apply the pruning knife and cut off the needless expenditure and the small amount raised on the importers—something like \$7,000,000—could be easily made up. Supporting reciprocity did result in direct taxation, are you afraid of it?"

Mr. Armstrong—We raise all our local expenditures by direct taxation. Would any of you wish to avoid direct taxation by going into the toll road system?

Mr. Armstrong—If we had direct taxation, we would know just exactly what we were doing. We would know that it would amount to \$15 per family. On sugar alone under reciprocity I could save that tax in one year in my family. (Cheers.)

The case is quite the reverse. The National Policy has discriminated against Great Britain. The exports to Great Britain were \$83,372,719, and in 1889 they amounted to something like \$80,000,000. The exports to the United States in 1878 were between \$70,000,000 and \$74,000,000, but in 1889 they amounted to \$64,000,000 in round numbers. In 1889 we imported from Great Britain about \$42,000,000, and from the United States during the same period over \$50,000,000. This is how these very patriotic men are discriminating against Great Britain now. (Cheers.)

The speaker then discussed the tactics adopted by the Government to prove that it was not in favor of reciprocity. The people had seen through them, and they were not content to submit, and were everywhere ready for a victorious fight. Taking up the question of direct taxation he said: "There is no need for the people being forced into direct taxation by reciprocity. Just let the Government apply the pruning knife and cut off the needless expenditure and the small amount raised on the importers—something like \$7,000,000—could be easily made up. Supporting reciprocity did result in direct taxation, are you afraid of it?"

Mr. Armstrong—We raise all our local expenditures by direct taxation. Would any of you wish to avoid direct taxation by going into the toll road system?

Mr. Armstrong—If we had direct taxation, we would know just exactly what we were doing. We would know that it would amount to \$15 per family. On sugar alone under reciprocity I could save that tax in one year in my family. (Cheers.)

The case is quite the reverse. The National Policy has discriminated against Great Britain. The exports to Great Britain were \$83,372,719, and in 1889 they amounted to something like \$80,000,000. The exports to the United States in 1878 were between \$70,000,000 and \$74,000,000, but in 1889 they amounted to \$64,000,000 in round numbers. In 1889 we imported from Great Britain about \$42,000,000, and from the United States during the same period over \$50,000,000. This is how these very patriotic men are discriminating against Great Britain now. (Cheers.)

The speaker then discussed the tactics adopted by the Government to prove that it was not in favor of reciprocity. The people had seen through them, and they were not content to submit, and were everywhere ready for a victorious fight. Taking up the question of direct taxation he said: "There is no need for the people being forced into direct taxation by reciprocity. Just let the Government apply the pruning knife and cut off the needless expenditure and the small amount raised on the importers—something like \$7,000,000—could be easily made up. Supporting reciprocity did result in direct taxation, are you afraid of it?"

Mr. Armstrong—We raise all our local expenditures by direct taxation. Would any of you wish to avoid direct taxation by going into the toll road system?

Mr. Armstrong—If we had direct taxation, we would know just exactly what we were doing. We would know that it would amount to \$15 per family. On sugar alone under reciprocity I could save that tax in one year in my family. (Cheers.)

The case is quite the reverse. The National Policy has discriminated against Great Britain. The exports to Great Britain were \$83,372,719, and in 1889 they amounted to something like \$80,000,000. The exports to the United States in 1878 were between \$70,000,000 and \$74,000,000, but in 1889 they amounted to \$64,000,000 in round numbers. In 1889 we imported from Great Britain about \$42,000,000, and from the United States during the same period over \$50,000,000. This is how these very patriotic men are discriminating against Great Britain now. (Cheers.)

The speaker then discussed the tactics adopted by the Government to prove that it was not in favor of reciprocity. The people had seen through them, and they were not content to submit, and were everywhere ready for a victorious fight. Taking up the question of direct taxation he said: "There is no need for the people being forced into direct taxation by reciprocity. Just let the Government apply the pruning knife and cut off the needless expenditure and the small amount raised on the importers—something like \$7,000,000—could be easily made up. Supporting reciprocity did result in direct taxation, are you afraid of it?"

Mr. Armstrong—We raise all our local expenditures by direct taxation. Would any of you wish to avoid direct taxation by going into the toll road system?

Mr. Armstrong—If we had direct taxation, we would know just exactly what we were doing. We would know that it would amount to \$15 per family. On sugar alone under reciprocity I could save that tax in one year in my family. (Cheers.)

The case is quite the reverse. The National Policy has discriminated against Great Britain. The exports to Great Britain were \$83,372,719, and in 1889 they amounted to something like \$80,000,000. The exports to the United States in 1878 were between \$70,000,000 and \$74,000,000, but in 1889 they amounted to \$64,000,000 in round numbers. In 1889 we imported from Great Britain about \$42,000,000, and from the United States during the same period over \$50,000,000. This is how these very patriotic men are discriminating against Great Britain now. (Cheers.)

The speaker then discussed the tactics adopted by the Government to prove that it was not in favor of reciprocity. The people had seen through them, and they were not content to submit, and were everywhere ready for a victorious fight. Taking up the question of direct taxation he said: "There is no need for the people being forced into direct taxation by reciprocity. Just let the Government apply the pruning knife and cut off the needless expenditure and the small amount raised on the importers—something like \$7,000,000—could be easily made up. Supporting reciprocity did result in direct taxation, are you afraid of it?"

Mr. Armstrong—We raise all our local expenditures by direct taxation. Would any of you wish to avoid direct taxation by going into the toll road system?

Mr. Armstrong—If we had direct taxation, we would know just exactly what we were doing. We would know that it would amount to \$15 per family. On sugar alone under reciprocity I could save that tax in one year in my family. (Cheers.)

The case is quite the reverse. The National Policy has discriminated against Great Britain. The exports to Great Britain were \$83,372,719, and in 1889 they amounted to something like \$80,000,000. The exports to the United States in 1878 were between \$70,000,000 and \$74,000,000, but in 1889 they amounted to \$64,000,000 in round numbers. In 1889 we imported from Great Britain about \$42,000,000, and from the United States during the same period over \$50,000,000. This is how these very patriotic men are discriminating against Great Britain now. (Cheers.)

The speaker then discussed the tactics adopted by the Government to prove that it was not in favor of reciprocity. The people had seen through them, and they were not content to submit, and were everywhere ready for a victorious fight. Taking up the question of direct taxation he said: "There is no need for the people being forced into direct taxation by reciprocity. Just let the Government apply the pruning knife and cut off the needless expenditure and the small amount raised on the importers—something like \$7,000,000—could be easily made up. Supporting reciprocity did result in direct taxation, are you afraid of it?"

Mr. Armstrong—We raise all our local expenditures by direct taxation. Would any of you wish to avoid direct taxation by going into the toll road system?

Mr. Armstrong—If we had direct taxation, we would know just exactly what we were doing. We would know that it would amount to \$15 per family. On sugar alone under reciprocity I could save that tax in one year in my family. (Cheers.)

The case is quite the reverse. The National Policy has discriminated against Great Britain. The exports to Great Britain were \$83,372,719, and in 1889 they amounted to something like \$80,000,000. The exports to the United States in 1878 were between \$70,000,000 and \$74,000,000, but in 1889 they amounted to \$64,000,000 in round numbers. In 1889 we imported from Great Britain about \$42,000,000, and from the United States during the same period over \$50,000,000. This is how these very patriotic men are discriminating against Great Britain now. (Cheers.)

The speaker then discussed the tactics adopted by the Government to prove that it was not in favor of reciprocity. The people had seen through them, and they were not content to submit, and were everywhere ready for a victorious fight. Taking up the question of direct taxation he said: "There is no need for the people being forced into direct taxation by reciprocity. Just let the Government apply the pruning knife and cut off the needless expenditure and the small amount raised on the importers—something like \$7,000,000—could be easily made up. Supporting reciprocity did result in direct taxation, are you afraid of it?"

Mr. Armstrong—We raise all our local expenditures by direct taxation. Would any of you wish to avoid direct taxation by going into the toll road system?

Mr. Armstrong—If we had direct taxation, we would know just exactly what we were doing. We would know that it would amount to \$15 per family. On sugar alone under reciprocity I could save that tax in one year in my family. (Cheers.)

The case is quite the reverse. The National Policy has discriminated against Great Britain. The exports to Great Britain were \$83,372,719, and in 1889 they amounted to something like \$80,000,000. The exports to the United States in 1878 were between \$70,000,000 and \$74,000,000, but in 1889 they amounted to \$64,000,000 in round numbers. In 1889 we imported from Great Britain about \$42,000,000, and from the United States during the same period over \$50,000,000. This is how these very patriotic men are discriminating against Great Britain now. (Cheers.)

## BREEZE IN NEWFOUNDLAND.

The Course of Canada and Great Britain

St. John's, Nfld., Feb. 14.—After the reading of the Governor's speech at the opening of the Legislature Colonial Secretary Bond proposed resolutions relating to reciprocity negotiations. The resolutions were discussed with closed doors. The resolutions were passed unanimously for their concurrence. During the discussion several of the most notable Conservative members expressed strong sentiments in favor of annexation, in condemnation of the unfriendly attitude of Canada.

The preamble states that on July 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov. 8 the Newfoundland delegates proposed to the British Government that Newfoundland should be permitted, through Sir Julian Pauncefote, to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States. On Sept. 10 the British Government agreed to proceed to negotiate a convention for reciprocal trade with the United States.

On Nov.