

the papers lost." Mr. Dewar, however, retains in his possession a copy of the royal investment granted to Malice Doire, one of his ancestors, by James III. in 1467, in confirmation of more ancient royal deeds by Robert the Bruce; and registered by the Lords of Council and Session, at Edinburgh, in 1734. In this royal investment,—endorsed on the back of the old copy in Mr. Dewar's possession: "Charter of King James anent possessing the Relic of St. Phillan, in favor of Malice Doore, 1466,"^{*}—it is set forth that "For as mekle as we have understand that oure servitoyre Malice Doire and his forbearis has had an Relick of St. Filane, callit the Quegrich, in keeping of us and oure progenitors of maist nebile mynde, quamen G^d asseleze, sen the tyme of King Robert the Breys and of before, and made nane obedience nor awnere to na person spirale nor temporale in ony thing concerning the said haly Relick utherways yn is qteind in the auld infestment thereof made and [grantit] be oure said progenitouris. We charge you herefore] and commandis that in tyme to come ye and ilk ane of you reddy awnere intend and do obey to the said Malice Doire in the peciable brooking and joising of the said Relick, and st^t ye main of you tak upon hand to compell nor distrege him to mak obedience nor awnere to you nor till ony either bot alaneler to us and our successouris, according to the said infestment and foundation of the said Relick. . . . And that ye mak him nane impediment, letting, nor distrubance in the passing with the said Relick throw the Countrie, as he and his forebearis was a wont to do."[†]

The virtues ascribed to the Crozier of St. Fillan, in his native district, were of a most varied description. It was regarded as an effectual cure for fever, by administering, or sprinkling with water in which it had been dipped; and was no less infallible in cases of scrofula, or the King's evil, by being rubbed on the affected parts. It was serviceable also as a charm for the discovery and restoration of stolen cattle; and generally in all cases of disease of such. On this point Mr. Dewar replies to my queries: "It is quite true that the relic was looked on as a charm, but since it came into my possession

* On the endorsement the date is given as Anno regni, 1468; in the deed itself it is "MCCCCCLXXVII, and of oure regne ye XXVII anno—sic subscriptus Jacobus R."

† The whole document is printed in the *Archæologia Scottica*, vol. iii. p. 290; but the copy in Mr. Dewar's possession, though old enough, differs sufficiently to indicate its being another than that seen in 1734. The portion in brackets, which is a blank in the MS., has been supplied from the printed copy, otherwise I have followed Mr. Dewar's MS.