



A PRESIDENTIAL PREVIEW TO TOMORROW NIGHT

... when computer-tallied election results roll in

## This paper may self-destruct by 9, unless staff can save it

Gateway staffers could be putting in a lot of miles tonight if students' union returning officer Derek Bulmer has his way.

Mr. Bulmer has requested The Gateway remove all copies of today's paper by 9 a.m. Friday or they will be removed by candidates taking down election posters today.

His request is a result of The Gateway's decision to editorially support the candidates the paper thinks would best serve the students' union. Mr. Bulmer feels this comes under the classification of campaign material and must therefore be out of sight at the latest by 9 a.m. Friday pursuant

to the union's election bylaws.

Gateway editor Al Scarth has refused the request and informed Mr. Bulmer any remaining Thursday papers will be taken off the stands early Thursday evening, then replaced after the candidates have removed their posters and other campaign material.

Mr. Bulmer said The Gateway can leave the papers out, but must be prepared for any legal consequences. "I'm not going to demand it, I'll request it in fairness to all the candidates."

While he has said that he will not press legal action, he will not speak for the candidates. "If there are no complaints that's fine. I'm not going to press action in the DIE Board."

The Gateway was to present an appeal against Mr. Bulmer's decision to the DIE Board today at noon.

Because of difficulty in interpreting the bylaw, there is some question whether such an editorial could be considered campaign material. The official definition of campaigning says in part, "any planned and organized public act by or on behalf of any person . . . to gain support for the election of that person . . ."

Students' union president David Leadbeater supports Mr. Bulmer's action "given the rules we have." Mr. Leadbeater added that he will raise the question of what types of material concerning union elections should be available on election days before faculty representative elections later this year.

He said the questions which must be answered are should some kinds of material be allowed or should it be "free and open" or should present regulations remain in effect.

Williams, Mount Allison, Queen's, Bishop's, Carleton, Toronto, Ottawa, Montreal and Loyola.

## March on Ottawa planned to protest Canadian complicity

MONTREAL (CUP) — At least 12 Ontario and Quebec universities will join forces February 27 and 28 in contributing to what organizers hope will be a massive march on Ottawa to protest Canadian complicity in the Vietnam war.

Members of campus Vietnam Moratorium committees and other organizers of the march hope that between 10,000 and 20,000 demonstrators will take part in the protest.

Universities currently pledged to take part in the effort are: Trent, York, McGill, Sir George

# Sask. committee recommends police state

## Students uptight because expulsion possible if they forget library cards

SASKATOON (CUP) — A special commission on student discipline at both campuses of the University of Saskatchewan may back down on submission of a report advocating some of the most hard-line disciplinary regulations in the history of Canadian universities.

The Carter Committee to renew discipline regulations at the U of S decided Wednesday to recommend their report not be brought before the university's Faculty Council at its next meeting February 26.

### Students resist

The move follows a fast-increasing wave of resistance to the report, which students have described as "arbitrary," "repressive," and "fascistic."

If approved, the report would spell the end of virtually all student protest activity at the two campuses, institute the principle of double jeopardy for student behavior off-campus, allow students to be arbitrarily ejected from campus for no reason whatsoever, and give faculty the right

to "shake down" anyone on campus for identification at any time.

### All these are no-nos?

In the broadest of terms, the report prohibits:

- Any disobedience to any university regulation,
  - Any student action which might be expected to create a disturbance at any university function.
  - "Any conduct whether on or off university property, which may bring the university or any of its officers, employees or students into public disrepute,"
  - Any student action on university property which "creates, or which may be reasonably expected to create, a disturbance,"
  - "Disruption of, or interference with" lectures, seminars or examinations,
  - "Interfering with, or in any way disrupting" any meeting held by either administration or faculty at any level,
  - The use of public address systems on university property except when authorized by the student council,
  - Failure to carry a library identification card.
- Failure to obey the regulations could result in a warning, or

suspension, expulsion or dismissal from the university, or imposition of a fine.

### Summary suspensions

In addition, the report recommends that any faculty and administrative person "who believes, or has reasonable grounds to believe, that a student has acted in breach of any part" of the list of prohibitions, should have the power to summarily banish students from classes or from the university.

The report also recommends that administration principals and deans have the power to summarily suspend students from campus for three days without hearing.

Failure to comply with such evictions or suspensions would result in disciplinary hearings leading to the outlined penalties.

Hearings and punishment would be meted out by a discipline committee consisting of an administration vice-principal, three members of the university council, and three students appointed by the campus student council.

### Closed meetings

The committee would meet behind closed doors, and have the power to suspend any accused student for the duration of his hearing.

The committee could also investigate alleged infractions of rules on its own accord, without complaint from any source.

The Carter Committee, originally appointed in November, 1968, to "review" existing disciplinary regulations at the U of S, made its report public January 29.

The committee, chaired by Saskatoon dean of law Roger

## campus calendar

### FRI., FEB. 20

- ROOM AT THE TOP  
TONY WILSON and DARYL MARTIN  
9:00-12:00 p.m.

### SUN., FEB. 22

- STUDENTS' CINEMA  
"HERE WE GO ROUND THE MULBERRY BUSH"  
6:30 p.m. and 9:00 p.m.—SUB

### UNTIL MARCH 10

- ART GALLERY  
AN EXHIBITION OF INDUSTRIAL DESIGN AND SCULPTURE BY JEREMY MOORE

WATCH THIS CORNER EVERY TUESDAY AND THURSDAY FOR THE WEEK'S ACTIVITIES



HELD OVER  
BY POPULAR DEMAND

## "Mass. Confusion"

Playing nightly at 9 p.m. to 1 a.m.  
at ZORBA'S home of THE NEW LOOK