

COAL--American Anthracite, Scotch Anthracite, Old Mines Sydney Reserve. Delivered in bulk or in bags. Prices Low. R. P. & W. F. STARR, Ltd. 49 BMYTHE ST., 14 CHARLOTTE ST.

LOCAL NEWS

Trimmed hats for \$1.00, 75 Gormain St., opposite Trinity Church. Maritime Rug Works clean carpets promptly. Phone 34-1441. To cure a headache in ten minutes use Kumfort Headache Powders, 10 cents.

NEW YORK DRESSMAKERS, reasonable prices. Telephone Main 184-31, 110-111 St. Curtains done up for full housekeeping with homelike care at Ungar's. Tel. 78.

Great bargains in paints and artists' materials of all kinds at 33 King St., the store formerly occupied by The Floods Co.

Pictures, Mirrors, Cut Glass, Linings China, Royal Crown Derby China and a large general stock to be sold regardless of cost. Come now and secure some of the bargains at 33 King Street.

The Two Barkers, Limited, are offering for sale four planes at a ridiculously low price at 33 King Street, the store formerly occupied by The Floods Co.

Headaches and Neuralgia from Cold. LAXATIVE BROMO Quinine, the world wide Cold and Grip remedy removes cause. Call for full name. Look for signature E. W. GROVE.

At the mid-week meeting in St. Andrew's church this evening it is expected that Hon. Judge Forbes will deliver an address on the recent Presbyterian Council in Philadelphia. The public are invited to attend.

Are you going to burn electric next year? If so you will need some repairs or new wiring. Don't leave it till the first of May. Order now for prompt attention. Tele. 873. Auer Light Co., Ltd., 19 Market St.

A large and enthusiastic meeting of the N. B. Kennel Club was held last evening. The president, LeB. Wilson, in the chair. It was decided that arrangements be at once commenced for the holding of a dog show next fall at a date to be later agreed upon, and an excellent committee was appointed to attend the printing of premium lists, etc. A set of portable stalls is to be built at once, and it is believed that the coming show will be a banner one.

S. M. Wetmore, secretary of the S. P. C. A. when seen by the Star this morning stated that he had taken up the matter of the young colored girl, Mabel Nairn, who was found wandering about Sheffield street late Monday evening. Mr. Wetmore says that he made arrangements for the child to be sent to the Municipal Home, where she was taken yesterday afternoon. The girl is about twelve years of age. Already six of the children whom she has placed in the Municipal Home.

Employees of the Street Railway are quite indignant over a new book of rules and regulations issued last Monday. The violation of any of these rules means a number of marks against the employee, and although they have only been in force one day some of the conductors have twenty marks against them. One of the rules prohibits employees riding in the vestibules of the cars when off duty, and states that they must take a seat or stand in the aisle of the car. A limited number of the black marks will result in suspension or dismissal.

Quite the spior position of yesterday's adjourned meeting of the Common Council was taken up with the report of the Harbor Facilities committee in the matter of the proposed transfer of rights at Sand Point. The council failed to approve the action of the committee in recommending that the transfer be consummated and adjourned to meet again at the call of the chair. In the interim the committee will be visited at low water and further information on the subject obtained.

BIG SUCCESS The special sale of Fur-Lined Coats by the Dunlop Cooke Co., Ltd., was a big success, many orders having been sent in from outside towns in addition to the usual number of city buyers who may always be counted upon to take advantage of these special sales. Thursday, March 4th, the Dunlop Cooke Company will offer their entire stock of Russian Sables, Hudson Bay and Canadian Sable Stoles and Muffs at closing prices. This sale will include a lovely set of Russian Sable Capes and Muff at \$750 reduced to \$410, and several other fine sets in Hudson Bay Sable at sacrifice prices as advertised on page 5. The Dunlop Cooke Company appreciate the fine insurance people that no certain sale could be effected for these finer goods unless an exceptional reduction, and the results are proving these to be correct. The new comes a little hand on the insurance companies in such cases, and quite a lot of fine goods yet remain to be sold.

BARGAINS IN HATS. How about your spring hat? Union Clothing Co's stock of spring and summer hats in stiff and soft, arrived March 2nd and are now in stock for you.

THAT THIN SPOT On top of your head will soon be covered with thick, healthy hair, if you use Lily's Parisian Hair Renewer. You will be glad you tried it when you see how quickly and thickly it makes the hair grow. At all Druggists, 50c per bottle. R. J. DEVINS, Ltd., Agents, Montreal.

PRISONER'S NOTE BOOK FURNISHES EVIDENCE Man Suspected of Killing Wife Had Notes on How to Commit Murder—Also a List of Seventeen Sweethearts.

NEW BEDFORD, Mass., March 2.—Two pages from the note book of an Artilleryman William C. Howard, on trial for the murder of his wife, furnished the most important evidence introduced by the state at today's session. The pages, which were admitted in evidence, contained notes in Howard's handwriting relating to the compression of the carotid artery, a method employed by the government to maintain the death of the soldier's wife. The note-book was found in Howard's locker at Fort Rodman, but according to the testimony of Inspector George E. Gendron, the police paid little attention to the notes relating to the carotid artery when the book first came into their possession.

Two other pages of the hand-book contained the names of thirteen young women whom Howard described as his "sweethearts." One of the names was that of Grace Sturtevant, on whose account, the state claims, the defendant made away with his wife. On another page was a mock will, by which Miss Sturtevant bequeathed her estate to Howard, who in turn "appointed" her as his "wife."

Inspector Gendron told the jury of a talk he had with Howard the night before the soldier was arrested. His evidence corroborated that of Inspector Frank Sylvia given earlier in the day. Both said that the artillerian told them that he had not left the government reservation on the night of his wife's disappearance. On another page, Sergeant William Heiberg, of Fort Rodman, testified Howard was marked

THEY PLAY ROUGH HOCKEY AT SUSSEX A telephone message to the Sun from Sussex last evening stated that the Young Ramblers of that town defeated the Intermediate Hockey team by a score of 3 to 2. The game was reported as being rough after their match with Moncton, but Dehority's playing was brutal, most of the checking being uncalculated for. Magas at over point also played a rough match. The first half ended with the score 1 to 1. It is contended that McLeod, the referee acted unfairly. Spectators were aroused over the manner in which the match was conducted. It was necessary to play ten minutes overtime to decide the winner.

IN THE FOR RECORDS as arriving between 3:30 a. m. and 2:45 on September 3, the day after Mrs. Howard's death. The next witness, Private Kline, said he saw Howard at 2:30 a. m. September 3. Howard told he had been fishing and requested him to mark him "in" which he did. Inspector Sylvia, at the forenoon session, testified that he had heard Howard make threats against his wife for informing the police that he shot Edward Dewhurst in 1925. The state expects to complete the presentation of its direct testimony tomorrow.

TIDES OF THE LAND.

Earth's Crust in England Moves Five Inches and in South Africa Eight

Darwin Traces History of Earth and Moon From Time Luller Was Thrown Off From Formar.

LONDON, March 2.—Camille Flammarion has a way of occuring to his scientific investigations after a discussion lasting three hours, in which the mode of calling the meeting as well as the doctrine in question received attention, the presbytery decided to accept the statement of Mr. McCaskill, and the gathering broke up in a wave of hand-shaking.

In addition to those who signed the petition the following members met in St. Andrew's vestry yesterday afternoon in response to the call: Rev. Messrs. Jas. Ross, L. A. McLean, J. H. Anderson, St. John; H. R. Reid, West St. John; D. McQuinn, Moncton; W. W. Rainnie, Milltown; L. D. Gibson, St. Stephen; Frank Baird, Sussex; and Dr. W. H. Smith, Fredericton and Elders Murray of Calvin church, St. John, and Jas. A. Moore of Waterford.

Dr. H. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

PRESBYTERY IS SATISFIED THAT REV. MR. MCCASKILL IS NOT GUILTY OF HERESY

At a meeting of the Presbytery which was held yesterday, Rev. J. James McCaskill was freed of the suspicion of heresy which it was feared had attached itself to him owing to some of his pulpit utterances after a discussion lasting three hours, in which the mode of calling the meeting as well as the doctrine in question received attention, the presbytery decided to accept the statement of Mr. McCaskill, and the gathering broke up in a wave of hand-shaking.

In addition to those who signed the petition the following members met in St. Andrew's vestry yesterday afternoon in response to the call: Rev. Messrs. Jas. Ross, L. A. McLean, J. H. Anderson, St. John; H. R. Reid, West St. John; D. McQuinn, Moncton; W. W. Rainnie, Milltown; L. D. Gibson, St. Stephen; Frank Baird, Sussex; and Dr. W. H. Smith, Fredericton and Elders Murray of Calvin church, St. John, and Jas. A. Moore of Waterford.

Dr. H. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.

Dr. W. H. Smith moved, seconded by Judge Forbes, that owing to the fact that the object of the meeting had to do with the discipline of a member of the body that the presbytery act behind closed doors. This was carried and the reporters present retired.

The meeting then resolved itself into a committee of the whole for the consideration of the complaint against Mr. McCaskill.

Mr. McCaskill spoke expressing himself as being grieved at the way the meeting was called, but thought that he should have been given an opportunity to make any further explanations that were desired without having a formal charge laid against him. In reply to the charge brought against him, Mr. McCaskill affirmed his belief in the divinity of Christ and the atonement. It is understood that he based his belief on His life, His death and His resurrection rather than on the doctrine of the immaculate conception.

In the discussion that followed it was shown that the sympathies of the presbytery were divided on the subject. It was finally decided that the presbytery should not attempt to make a pronouncement on the doctrine of the virgin birth. The discussion after this was chiefly confined to the method used in bringing the matter before the presbytery. It was agreed on the whole subject and the following resolution was passed: "That this conference, after full consideration of the question, accepts Mr. McCaskill's statement of his unequalled adherence to the doctrine of the Divinity of Christ and the atonement."

This was adopted without a dissenting voice although several of the members did not vote either way. This brought the meeting to a close and the gathering adjourned at six o'clock with a considerable display of friendliness all around.</