needed repairs to the estate and to employ an agent at a fixed salary to collect rents. Re McGivery, 3 N.B. Eq. 327.

- Petition for declaration of lunacy Service out of the jurisdiction - Dispensing with personal service.] - A petition for a declaration of lunacy may be served out of Ontario under 3 Edw. VII. e. 8, s. 13 (0.). And where the supposed lunatic was confined in an asylum outside of Ontario, and ar order was made by the Master in Chambers authorizing service there upon the supposed lunatic and the medical superintendent of the asylum, and the latter alone was served, because he was of opinion that service might dangerously excite the former, an order was made dispensing with personal service and confirming the service made. Quere, as to the jurisdiction of the Master in Chambers under Rule 42, to make an order for service out of the jurisdiction of such a petition.

Re Webb, 12 O.L.R. 194 (Mabee, J.).

- Appointment of guardian - Married woman - Capacity to act.] - Where a married woman possessed of property in her own right and otherwise qualified is appointed guardian of the person and estate of a person of unsound mind the appointment will not be set aside on the sole ground of her being a married woman. Since the Married Woman's Property Act, R.S. (1900), c. 112, many of the objections formerly urged against the appointment of a married woman as trustee have been swept away and a married woman may now accept a trust by virtue of her power to contract as a feme sole.

Re Ruth Woolner White, 42 N.S.R. 248.

- Consent of next friend.J - The English rule requiring that, where the consent of the next friend of the plaintifi is necessary, it must be filed before the issue of the writ of summons is in force in the Territories, and default is not cured by filing a consent filed subsequently to the issue, but avoids all the proceedings in the action.

Short v. Spence, 6 Terr. L.R. 267.

- Lunatic - Detention in asylum - Informalities in certificate - Habeas corpus.] -Where the discharge of a person detained in a lunatic asylum as a lunatic was moved for, under a writ of habeas corpus, by reason of alleged informalities in the certificates, on which the alleged lunatic had been admitted; but it appearing from the affidavit filed by the superintendent and others in the asylum that it would be dangerous to allow him to be at large, the Court directed the trial of an issue as to his sanity; the application for the discharge to stand over, pending the result of the issue or other order of the Court. Re Shuttleworth (1846), 2 Q.B. 651, approved.

Re Gibson, 15 O.L.R. 245 (C.A.).

- Prisoner acquitted on ground of insanity -Further detention.] -

Re Duclos, 12 Can. Cr. Cas. 278, 1907, C.A. Dig. 147, since reported 32 Que. S.C. 154.

- Maintenance of insane - Collector of revenue - Action against municipality Formalities.] - The right of the collector of the revenue to recover from municipal corporations the amount they are required to contribute towards the burial expenseq of the insane is subject to the strict observance of the formalities prescribed for the burial of the latter (R.S.Q. Arts. 3195 et seq.). Hence, an action against the corporation of a county to recover its share of the expenses of burial of insane persons without production of the certificate according to forms E. and I. (R.S.Q. 3195a.) of the major of a councillor and of the secretary-treasurer of each municipality in the county should be dismissed.
Fortier v. County of Quebec, Q.R. 33 S.C. 97 (Sup. Ct.).
- Interdict - Application to revise or annul.] - The revision or annulment of an interdiet on account of idiocy can only be granted on application of the party himself or one of his relations. An exception to the form presented by the defendant, a debtor to the estate, asking that the action be dismissed for irregularities in the proceedings for interdiction will be dismissed.

Chevalier v. Swan, 9 Que. P.R. 98 (Sup. Ct.).
-Committee of estate - Moneys advanced on mortgage of lunatic's lands - Accounting.] - By an order made in 1892 the wife of the plaintiff was declared a lunatic, and a reference was directed to appoint a committee, who was to give security and pass accounts at least once a year. The defendants' predecessors were (on consent) appointed committee without security, and a report was made in 1893, which showed the lumatie's estate to consist of a life interest in money in Court and incumbered land, with houses built thereon. The report also showed that the committee had agreed to advance moneys to pay off the mortgages and for purposes of maintenance, which they did, taking an assignment of the mortgages. The lunatic died in 1899; and the plaintiff in 1906 began an action for redemption against the defendants, as successors of the original committee and assignees of the mortgagees. At the same time an appointment was issued in the lunacy matter for the defendants to bring in and pass their accounts before the referee: and the action was referred to him for trial. The committee had not passed their accounts previously. In 1908 the then committee had, without any authority from the Court, expended money in building a stable on the lunatic's land and in other

