

## NOTE TO PAGE 16.

Since this charge was delivered, another elaborate judgment has been pronounced, by Sir R. Phillimore, in the case of *Sheppard v. Bennett*. Mr. Bennett was charged with promulgating certain erroneous opinions, with respect,—1st, to the presence of our Lord in the blessed sacrament; 2nd, to a sacrifice said to be offered in the administration of that sacrament; 3rd, to the adoration of the consecrated elements and of our Lord in that sacrament. After a very full discussion of the arguments, and authorities, the learned judge concluded as follows:—

“With respect to the second and corrected edition of his pamphlet, and the other work for which he is articulated, I say that the objective actual and real presence, or the spiritual real presence, a presence external to the act of the communicant, appears to me to be the doctrine, which the formularies of our Church, duly considered and construed, so as to be harmonious, intended to maintain. But I do not lay down this as a position of law, nor do I say that what is called the receptionist doctrine is inadmissible, nor do I pronounce on any other teaching with respect to the mode of presence. I mean to do no such thing, by this judgment; I mean by it to pronounce only, that to describe the mode of the presence, as objective, real, actual, and spiritual, is certainly not contrary to the law. With respect to the other charges, namely those relating to sacrifice and worship, I pronounce that Mr. Bennett has not exceeded the liberty the law allows upon these subjects.”

Notice of appeal, from this judgment, to the Committee of Council, was immediately given.

## NOTE TO PAGE 21.

There has been a very interesting debate upon this subject, in the Lower House of the Convocation of Canterbury, conducted in a most loving spirit. The following are the concluding words of the able mover of the resolutions: “If any of those now separated from us could be brought to look back towards their fathers’ Church, towards the inheritance which they inherit with ourselves from our own reformers, with the same affection, yearning love, and sympathy, with which we regard them, I believe that when once we had arrived at such a point as that, we should look one another in the face for a moment, and rush into one another’s arms with tears of joy, and wonder what it was that had kept us so long separate.—*Chancellor Massingberd.*”

## ERRATA.

Page 14, line 18, for truths, read tenets.

“ 23, “ 26, for offices, read officers.