Hon. Mr. CASGRAIN—The Government is not doing very well.

Hon. Mr. LEGRIS-I move that the debate be adjourned until Wednesday next.

Hon. Mr. DAVID—Could the hon. leader of the House furnish the documents which I have asked for, before the discussion is resumed next week?

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—I thought the debate was closed and I rose to indicate to my hon. friend that we would bring down the papers.

Hon. Mr. DAVID—But I have a right to reply.

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED-The motion has not yet been carried.

Hon. Mr. DAVID-I know I am not entitled to the papers if my hon. friend does not wish to give them.

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—I have no desire to embarrass my hon. friend discussing this matter.

Hon. Mr. DAVID—It is on account of the remarks made by the hon. gentleman from Lauzon that I feel I should have access to the documents. I think I could obtain satisfactory information from them.

Hon. Mr. BOLDUC—I do not find any fault with the motion of the hon. gentleman, but I do find fault with the remarks which he made when discussing his motion.

The motion was agreed to, and the debate was adjourned until Wednesday next.

BILLS INTRODUCED.

Bill No. 43, An Act respecting the Huron and Erie Loan and Savings Company, and to change its name to the Huron and Erie Mortgage Corporation.—Hon. Mr. Dandurand.

Bill No. 58, An Act respecting the Casualty Company of Canada.—Hon. Mr. McHugh.

The Senate adjourned until three o'clock to-morrow.

THE SENATE.

Ottawa, Friday, March 12th, 1915.

The SPEAKER took the Chair at three-

Prayers and routine proceedings.

GOVERNMENT VALUATION OF AGRI-CULTURAL IMPLEMENTS.

INQUIRY.

Hon. Mr. DAVIS inquired of the Government.

1. What valuation did the Government put on 7 and 8-foot McCormick Binders at Winnipeg, Càlgary, and Regina in 1911, respectively, also on Deering and all others of same dimensions on said date, and what valuation did the Government put on said binders in 1914 at said ports of entry?

2. What valuation did the Dominion Government place on farm wagons with and without box at port of entry in Winnipeg, Calgary and Regina, July and August, 1911, giving the valuation in each case?

3. What valuation was there placed on same class of wagons in July and August, 1914, at same port of entry?

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—One answer will cover the three questions, and the answer is as follows:

The Department of Customs does not fix the value for these articles. Imported goods are subject to valuation for duty purposes according to the fair market value as sold for home consumption in the country of export.

Different manufacturers sell similar lines of articles at different prices, so that values are not uniform.

Exporters are required to certify on invoices for entry at Customs the fair market value of the goods as sold for home consumption in the country of export.

THIRD READINGS.

Bill (4), An Act respecting The Alberta Central Railway Company.—Hon Mr. De Veber.

Bill (5), An Act respecting The Athabaska and Grande Prairie Railway Company.— Hon. Mr. Talbot.

Bill (6), An Act respecting The Brantford and Hamilton Electric Railway Company.—Hon. Mr. Ratz.

Bill (7), An Act respecting The British Columbia and White River Railway Company.—Hon. Mr. Casgrain.

Bill (9), An Act respecting The Essex Terminal Railway Company.—Hon. Mr. Taylor.

Bill (10), An Act respecting The Grand Trunk Railway Company of Canada.—Hon. Mr. Thompson.

Bill (13), An Act respecting The Montreal and Southern Counties Railway Company.—Hon. Mr. Casgrain.

Bill (21), An Act respecting The Canadian