huge known credit losses facing them from contractors who have gone bankrupt. This is estimated to total the amount of $500,000.00.

On a very small scale this is almost as big an embarrassment as the CanadAir fiasco. Government should stay out of private enterprise.

I am enclosing a letter from Woods Gordon, a consulting firm, which claims they are doing an insulation study for Canertech, a Canadian Crown Corporation. Isn't it ironic that, in addition to making our life miserable and competing with us every day, now they want us to tell them how much business we are doing and with whom so that their own insulation company can use the information to really do us in.

That, Mr. Speaker, is the mentality of the Crown corporation multiplication of this Government. We have tax dollars invested in losing companies which can sell their products below cost because they are tax supported and, by doing so, drive other companies out of businesses which are employing Canadians. This motion which reads, "deliberately throwing hundreds of thousands of Canadians out of work" is not at all a motion that goes to the extreme.

I have further examples. Let us take a look at the issue of the conduct of Revenue Canada. My accountant called me and told me that although this company is interested in making sure that the small businessman succeeds, Revenue Canada sends a notice out to the small businessman indicating that he has a refund coming from his quarterly payment of $20,000 or $30,000. A month later that company gets a second notice from Revenue Canada indicating that instead of sending the cheque, it is going to apply that money to its account for the next quarterly payment. It is confiscating the money of that company, which is cash flow that that company needs in order to run its business. It does not even pay the interest. That is robbery.

There is a small telephone answering service in Surrey. A lady came to see me with her company records and admitted that she owed Revenue Canada the money that it said she owed them. Revenue Canada sent out third party notices to all of the creditors saying that it wanted the money first. She went to Revenue Canada and said: "If you confiscate my income I am going to have to lay off my employees". They said, "That is your problem. You can send those employees to labour relations".

This is a Government which tells us in daily Question Period how many jobs it has created. It fails to tell us how many jobs it has destroyed by the conduct of Revenue Canada. When officers tell a company that if they have to lay off people it is their problem, they can go to labour relations, we can tell that it has no conscience at all about the existence of the company or the welfare or livelihood of those unemployed Canadians.

The fisheries industry on the West Coast is another example, Mr. Speaker. We have a dilemma there which is second to none in the country. We have a bureaucracy in the Fisheries Department in British Columbia which has allowed the fish stock to be raped and destroyed. In the meantime the Department is going to announce a buy-back program so the boats will move out of the industry. There is no assurance at all that the fish stock is going to be replenished. Nothing is being done about the foreign companies which are fishing Canadian stock in international waters so that they do not even get back to the source to be protected there. Nothing is done about the Japanese, Korean, Russian and Polish ships out on the high seas taking Canadian tagged fish, without declaring them, and robbing the Canadians of their employment and profits. Nothing is being done to ensure that the Salmonid Enhancement Program is going to work the way it should work to replenish the stock for future generations. Nothing is being done to secure the livelihood and way of life of those fishermen who have been living there for several generations and have had that as their way of life. Government members may think this motion is extreme, Mr. Speaker, but Ministers have conducted the affairs of Canada in such a way that they are fighting inflation by deliberately throwing hundreds of thousands of Canadians out of work. On the evidence that I have presented, Mr. Speaker, that is not a motion that goes to the extreme, and I support it.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Guilbault): Order. It being 5.30 p.m., it is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 42(4), to interrupt the proceedings and to put forthwith every question necessary to dispose of the amendment and sub-amendment now before the House.

• (1730)

[*Translation*]

The question is on the amendment to the amendment. Mr. Dick, seconded by Mr. Forrestall, moved:

That the amendment be amended by changing the period at the end thereof to a comma, and by adding immediately thereafter the following words:

"and their insistence upon fighting inflation by deliberately throwing hundreds of thousands of Canadians out of work."

Will those in favour of the motion please say yea.

Some Hon. Members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Guilbault): Will those opposed please say nay.

Some Hon. Members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Guilbault): In my opinion, the nays have it.

And more than five Members having risen:

Call in the Members.

The House divided on the motion (Mr. Dick), which was negatived by the following division:

(*Division No. 11*)

YEAS

Messrs.

Althouse	Blaikie	Clark (Yellowhead)
Andre	Blenkarn	Clark (Brandon-Souris)
Beatty	Bosley	Coates
Benjamin	Cardiff	
Blackburn	Carney (Miss)	