

\$12,634,000 due on September 30, 1978 (m) \$13,464,000 due on October 31, 1978?

2. Was Lockheed paid under the contract the (a) fifth Accomplishment Milestone Payment of \$3,545,000 (b) sixth Accomplishment Milestone Payment of \$7,076,000 (c) seventh Accomplishment Milestone Payment of \$898,000 (d) eighth Accomplishment Milestone Payment of \$915,000 (e) ninth Accomplishment Milestone Payment of \$2,918,000?

3. Were any adjustments made to the LRPA contract price at the end of the third and fourth quarters of 1977 and the first, second and third quarters of 1978 in accordance with the formula and conditions set out in Articles 8.1, 8.2, 8.3 and 8.4 of the contract and, if so, what were they?

4. What is the estimated cost of the Comox Data Interpretation and Analysis Centre (a) in dollars (b) as a percentage of the cost of the Greenwood DIAC?

5. Have any modifications been made to the contract, including Progress and Accomplishment Milestone Payments Schedules, to accommodate the purchase of the Comox DIAC and, if so, what are they?

6. Is the purchase of the Comox DIAC being handled separately and, if so, in what manner?

7. With reference to the Greenwood DIAC, for what reason and in what way has it been re-configured?

**Hon. Pierre De Bané (Minister of Supply and Services):**

1. (a) Yes, but in the amount of \$10,645,434
- (b) Yes, but in the amount of \$11,917,000
- (c) Yes, but in the amount of \$13,374,000
- (d) Yes, but in the amount of \$15,794,200
- (e) Yes, but in the amount of \$16,358,800
- (f) Yes, but in the amount of \$ 8,414,000
- (g) Yes, but in the amount of \$19,784,592
- (h) Yes, but in the amount of \$10,155,114
- (i) Yes, but in the amount of \$12,177,488
- (j) Yes, but in the amount of \$10,457,926
- (k) Yes, but in the amount of \$11,909,000
- (l) Yes, but in the amount of \$12,741,000
- (m) Yes, but in the amount of \$12,755,113

The reason for the difference between the amounts paid and the amounts specified in the contract is that the contract has been amended to add the Comox DIAC, to advance delivery of certain contract work including advance procurement of production assets to support the flight test program, to introduce some holdbacks in payments due to strike related delays and to reflect credits related to the economic price adjustment provisions of the contract.

2. (a) to (e) Yes.
3. Economic Price Adjustments as follows:
  - (a) 3rd quarter 1977—\$429,498 U.S. reduction
  - (b) 4th quarter 1977—\$1,284,886 U.S. reduction
  - (c) 1st quarter 1978—\$1,200,074 U.S. reduction
  - (d) 2nd quarter 1978—\$875,887 U.S. reduction
  - (e) 3rd quarter 1978—to be determined.
4. (a) \$5.0M (U.S.)
  - (b) approx. 20 per cent; however the Comox DIAC possesses a reduced capability to the Greenwood DIAC.

*Order Paper Questions*

5. Yes.—Revised Progress Payment Table as per Amendment No. 8, March 9, 1978.

	Amount
January 31, 1978	\$15,766,000
February 28, 1978	\$16,246,000
March 31, 1978	\$ 8,207,000
April 30, 1978	\$20,211,000
May 31, 1978	\$11,440,000
June 30, 1978	\$12,161,000
July 31, 1978	\$11,658,000
August 31, 1978	\$11,909,000
September 30, 1978	\$12,696,000
October 31, 1978	\$13,631,000
November 30, 1978	\$12,932,000
December 31, 1978	\$ 1,963,000
January 31, 1979	\$ 318,000
February 28, 1979	\$ 340,000
March 31, 1979	\$ 319,000
April 30, 1979	\$59,721,000
May 31, 1979	\$10,667,000
June 30, 1979	\$11,092,000
July 31, 1979	\$12,292,000
August 31, 1979	\$13,318,000
September 30, 1979	\$14,977,000
October 31, 1979	\$14,376,000
November 30, 1979	\$15,988,000
December 31, 1979	\$19,550,000
January 31, 1980	\$17,143,000
February 29, 1980	\$11,476,000
March 31, 1980	\$ 52,000
April 30, 1980	\$42,811,000
May 31, 1980	\$14,925,000
June 30, 1980	\$17,514,000
July 31, 1980	\$14,305,000
August 31, 1980	\$10,879,000
September 30, 1980	\$ 8,850,000
October 31, 1980	\$ 7,279,000
November 30, 1980	\$ 5,886,000
December 31, 1980	\$ 6,611,000
January 31, 1981	\$ 1,656,000
February 28, 1981	\$ 2,914,000

6. The Comox DIAC was procured by amendment to the prime contract.

7. The configuration of the Greenwood DIAC was changed to reduce the requirement to rewrite computer software programs obtained from the U.S. Navy and apply the cost savings thus achieved to enable the procurement of a second DIAC with sufficient capability to meet the more limited operational needs for Comox. The changes incorporated in the Greenwood DIAC consisted primarily of substitution of certain sub-system equipment without any degradation in the over-all operational capability of the complete system.