

1972 for eight 50 kilowatt AM transmitters was considerably lower than that of the two other bidders. Because of its competitive nature, it has not been customary to request the CBC to reveal the purchase price of this type of equipment.

2. The other bids were received from Gates Radio Co. (Canada), Pointe-Claire, P.Q., and R.C.A. Limited, Ste. Anne de Bellevue, P.Q.

3. (a) All CBC specifications contain a paragraph encouraging the supplier to use as high a Canadian content as possible; (b) All quotations must specify the percentage of Canadian content in labour and materials; (c) All other things being equal, the policy of the CBC is to give preference to the equipment with the highest Canadian content.

STAFF OF PRIME MINISTER'S OFFICE

Question No. 580—**Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse):**

1. As of August 31, 1972, how many persons were on the staff of the Prime Minister's office?

2. What was the total cost of the staff of the Prime Minister's office for the year 1972?

Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): 1. 90 (on staff); 2 (by contract).

2. \$972,925.73 (for staff), \$57,783.82 (for contracts).

EDUCATION SUPPORT

Question No. 711—**Mr. Stackhouse:**

1. What was the grant per student paid in 1972 by the government for distribution by provinces to universities and colleges?

2. What was the grant per student actually received in 1972 by church related universities and colleges in Ontario?

Hon. James Hugh Faulkner (Secretary of State): 1. The amounts transferred to the provinces under Part VI of the Federal-Provincial Fiscal Arrangements Act, 1972 are not calculated on the basis of grants per student, but on the basis of operating expenditures for post-secondary education. It is estimated that in the fiscal year ending March 31, 1973 the amounts transferred to the provinces will total approximately \$972 million. This total includes the value of the federal revenue relating to post-secondary education, related equalization and guarantee payments, and post-secondary education adjustment payments.

2. The Federal-Provincial Fiscal Arrangements Act, 1972 does not require provincial governments to report to the federal government the distribution within the province of the transfers received under the act.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST RULES FOR DEPUTY MINISTERS

Question No. 737—**Mr. Orlikow:**

1. Has the government established, then codified and distributed, any conflict-of-interest rules for Deputy Ministers and members of their families regarding (a) stock and bond holdings (b) real estate property (c) purchases of major items of a personal or domestic nature such as automobiles or services such as travel/holiday accommodation and, if so (i) what has been the form of distribution of such promulgation (ii) has the government given any consideration to their publications?

Orders for Returns

2. Is there any notable difference in the provisions of such rules from those who apply to Ministers of the Crown?

3. If no such rules have been established for Deputy Ministers, has there been, is there, or is there likely to be, any consideration of such by the government?

Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): 1. No.

2. Not applicable.

3. Yes. As the Prime Minister stated during the oral question period on February 1, 1973, the ministers of each department have conveyed to their deputy ministers general directives regarding the question of conflict of interest but these directives have not been formalized or codified. It is the government's intention to formalize these general directives when the proposals relating to the conflict of interest question, referred to in the Speech from the Throne, are brought before parliament.

* * *

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

NATIONAL DEFENCE—EXPENDITURES FOR PUBLIC RELATIONS

Question No. 71—**Mr. Nystrom:**

1. What were the amounts of money spent by the Department of National Defence on publicity and/or information in each of the fiscal years 1968-69, 1969-70, 1970-71, 1971-72?

2. What were the names and addresses of firms or individuals who received these contracts, what amounts of money were spent in each case and what was the purpose of each contract?

3. What contracts for publicity and/or information were awarded in the current fiscal year, what are the names and addresses of contractees, what are the amounts of money involved in each case and what is the purpose of each contract?

Return tabled.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT—PUBLIC RELATIONS EXPENDITURES

Question No. 92—**Mr. Nystrom:**

1. What were the amounts of money spent by the Department of the Environment on publicity and/or information in each of the fiscal years 1968-69, 1969-70, 1970-71, 1971-72?

2. What were the names and addresses of firms or individuals who received these contracts, what amounts of money were spent in each case and what was the purpose of each contract?

3. What contracts for publicity and/or information were awarded in the current fiscal year, what are the names and addresses of contractees, what are the amounts of money involved in each case and what is the purpose of each contract?

Return tabled.

EXPENDITURES BY NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL FOR RESEARCH

Question No. 104—**Mr. Nystrom:**

1. What was the total amount of money spent in each of the fiscal years 1968-69, 1969-70, 1970-71, 1971-72 by the National Research Council on contracts to outside persons and organizations for research, development and other consulting services?