

COUNSELLING AND DEVELOPMENT CENTRE

壓力 ⇒ 學習動力

小組

主領: 黃橙梅輔導師

時間: 下午四時十五分 - 六時十五分

日期: 十月廿四、卅一; 十一月二、十四、廿一、廿八;

十二月五、及十二月。共八節。逢星期二

言語: 採用參與者和輔導師的共通語

請穿便服。個人資料保密。

名額有限。報名從速

報名辦法:

請親臨 145 Behavioural Sciences Building

或致電 736-5297 接洽

COMMUNITY AND LEGAL SERVICES PROGRAMME (CLASP) FINANCIAL STATEMENTS APRIL 30, 1989

We have examined the balance sheet of Community and Legal Aid Services Programme as at April 30, 1989 and the statements of members' surplus, and revenue and expenses for the year then ended. Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and accordingly included such tests and other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

In our opinion, these financial statements present fairly the financial position of Community and Legal Aid Services Programme as at April 30, 1989 and the results of its operations for the year then ended in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis consistent with that of the preceding year.

Suppan & Partners
CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

Toronto, Ontario,
June 26, 1989.

BALANCE SHEET AS AT APRIL 30, 1989

ASSETS	1988	1989
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash	\$ 6,061	\$ 597
Accounts receivable	4,892	4,892
Client trust funds	---	3,818
	\$ 6,061	\$ 9,307
FIXED ASSETS		
Computer hardware	\$ 3,414	\$ 3,414
Furniture and equipment	472	472
	\$ 3,886	\$ 3,886
Less: Accumulated depreciation	2,072	1,351
	\$ 1,814	\$ 2,535
	\$ 7,875	\$ 11,842
LIABILITIES		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accruals	\$ 2,056	\$ 1,500
Clients' funds held in trust	---	3,818
	\$ 2,056	\$ 5,318
MEMBERS' EQUITY		
MEMBERS' SURPLUS		
	\$ 5,819	\$ 6,524
	\$ 7,875	\$ 11,842

STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENSES FOR THE YEAR ENDED APRIL 30, 1989

	1988	1989
REVENUE		
Ontario Legal Aid Programme Grant	\$ 159,000	\$ 141,000
Student fees - York University	36,320	35,490
S.E.D. Grant	1,911	5,345
Legal and Literary Society	6,250	6,250
Other	1,888	820
	\$ 205,169	\$ 188,905
EXPENSES		
Summer students' salaries	\$ 92,610	\$ 89,156
Secretarial salaries	50,993	45,549
Counsel salaries	22,135	19,652
Part-time counsel	16,703	11,543
Offices and general	8,237	7,791
Duplicating	6,330	6,530
Telephone and long distance charges	6,311	5,832
Books	1,863	1,530
Professional fees	1,500	1,500
Conferences and seminars	772	529
Transportation	635	64
Bank charges	31	56
Processing costs	---	1,341
Translation	---	351
Depreciation	720	888
	\$ 208,820	\$ 192,312
EXCESS OF EXPENSES OVER REVENUE BEFORE REIMBURSEMENT	\$ (3,651)	\$ (3,407)
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES BY CLIENTS	2,246	228
EXCESS OF EXPENSES OVER REVENUE FOR THE YEAR	\$ (705)	\$ (3,148)

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS APRIL 30, 1989

1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICY

(a) As the organization is not incorporated, the accompanying financial statements do not include any other assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of its members or other Osgoode Hall Law School activities.

(b) Fixed assets

Fixed assets are stated at cost. It is the organization's policy to provide depreciation on its fixed assets using the diminishing balance method at the following rates:

Computer hardware - 30%
Furniture and equipment - 20%

	1988	1989
SURPLUS - BEGINNING OF YEAR	\$ 6,524	\$ 9,672
Excess of expenses over revenue for the year	(705)	(3,148)
SURPLUS - END OF YEAR	\$ 5,819	\$ 6,524

letters

PETE

Dear Editors:

This letter is a response to the story entitled, "Donato: CYSF is the voice, the brain and the heart of the York student body," Sept. 28, by Andrew Goss. Am I missing something, or is the *Excalibur* taking short cuts in its attempt to provide students with a *bigger* (but definitely not better) weekly run of issues?

Despite his willingness and polite approach to the story, Mr. Goss definitely did not research his facts, or at least his colleagues at the *Excalibur* couldn't possibly have had any background information to give him regarding CYSF. I know this because every point he questioned could have been answered by a simple review of our summer Council minutes, a question at any member of the executive, and/or basic investigative reporting. None of this surprises me because not *one* single reporter showed up at any of the five summer Council meetings, or at the first full meeting in September on the 20th. When this happens *Excalibur* is in no position to question our progress-goals for the year.

Simply answered:

-last year's Council showed a surplus of \$54000.00, of which \$28 000.00 was set aside for Student Centre Business proposals, thus paying for any necessary full-time staff.

-\$10 000 of this surplus was

reserved for OFS to help pay for either legal fees (we hope not) or membership payments.

-the student advocate position will be supported by the Department of Financial Aid under the work-study assistance program.

-the full-time administrative assistant will replace the position of CYSF Executive Secretary. In the Student Centre, CYSF and the Student Centre Corporation will share a receptionist, and so the cost of this year's secretary will be shared with the SCC next year, a savings of approximately \$13 000.00 for CYSF.

All of these inaccuracies could have been avoided if *Excalibur* had done their homework. Students at York are paying ancillary fees for many things, including the student centre, student government, CHRY and *Excalibur*. We have nothing to hide at CYSF, and the media are more than welcome to question us and other student organizations (as they have done, albeit incorrectly). We try to be accountable to our constituents because they are paying us to represent them. Who can ensure that the \$4.00 per student levy to *Excalibur* is being well spent? Students at York deserve a better 'community' newspaper, but if they don't get this, maybe they should start questioning the worth of their four bucks!

Yours truly,
Peter Donato

REPETE

Dear Editors:

After failing to see my letter (delivered 5:00 pm, Monday, October 2) published in either the October 5th or October 12 issues, I was assured by yourselves that this was due to space considerations. I can understand this, however when letter in the past have been printed with names withheld, then one has to wonder where priorities lie.

Why am I disturbed by these incidents? Firstly, the article I was responding to with my letter was filled with inaccuracies and misquotes which led to unfounded questions within the piece. Proper research methods, obviously not in practice, would have avoided such difficulties. Secondly, for those who remember, last fall York University students voted to increase the levy paid to the *Excalibur*, an increase from \$1.00 per full time students to \$4.00 for full course load, or, from 20 cents/credit to 80 cents/credit. In return *Excalibur* promised: a larger paper (more pages, less ads), a better paper, increased frequency (presumably twice each week) special supplements, "planned enhancement of services."

With the exception of issue number 8, September 14, the size has not increased. As for fewer ads, that is nowhere near the truth.

The jumbo edition on the 14th, described by News Editor Daniel Wolgelerenter as "*Excalibur* best ever," was 40 pages, 18 of which were filled with ads. Last weeks issue, October 12th, was a mere 16 pages, of which, almost 60 per cent was filled with paid advertising. Special supplements? A better paper? With the exception of some rather colourful covers, the bottom line remains: the reporting is shoddy, incorrect, and old news.

So what am I getting at? *Excalibur* wanted more money. They got it. Students want a better 'Community Newspaper.' They're still waiting, and until things change for the better, *students should demand their money back*. Where's the money going? At UofT, the Varsity comes out twice each week, at 50,000 copies in total no less. Why is it that the Varsity Editors and staff are able to do this with less personal profit? At McGill, the paper comes out *daily*, twice each week in French. *Excalibur* told students last year that, as Canada's third largest University, more money is needed to produce a better paper. In reality, better training of editors and staff and an emphasis on serving the community is what makes a better newspaper.

Extremely disappointed,
Peter Donato