

had been sent without limitation of any kind direct to the land company, to which company the holders also sent the remaining 12; and any possession afterwards acquired by John Craig from that company was clearly so acquired solely in his character of an officer of that company. The exact date at which the bonds were placed in the Imperial Paper Mills Company's safe is not stated in the evidence, further than that it occurred some time in the year 1906. If it was after the date of the change made in London, on the 30th July of that year, by which the plaintiff company became the owners, it might even be said that the possession of the defendant John Craig was that of the plaintiff company, of which, in addition to his other *numerous and one would think slightly embarrassing offices, he was the managing director.* But it is not necessary to go so far; because, in my opinion, the reasonable and proper inference upon the whole evidence is, as I have before stated, that such possession was and remained that of the land company only.

For these reasons, I would dismiss the appeal with costs.

---

JUNE 18TH, 1912.

THOMPSON v. GRAND TRUNK R.W. CO.

*Railway—Injury to and Death of Person Lawfully in Station-yard—Nonrepair of Roadway—Invitation—Negligence—Contributory Negligence—Findings of Jury—Dominion Railway Act, sec. 284.*

Appeal by the defendants from the judgment of TEETZEL, J., in favour of the plaintiff, upon the findings of a jury, in an action by Sarah Thompson to recover damages for the death of her husband, John Thompson, who was thrown from his waggon at Caledonia station and killed, owing, as alleged, to the negligence of the defendants in respect of the condition of the railway premises.

The appeal was heard by MOSS, C.J.O., GARROW, MACLAREN, MEREDITH, and MAGEE, JJ.A.

D. L. McCarthy, K.C., for the defendants.

H. Arrell, for the plaintiff.

GARROW, J.A.:—The deceased was a teamster, and was employed to unload gas pipes from a car standing upon the de-