

quality of wheat to offset that requirement, would it not?—A. There might be something in that.

The CHAIRMAN: Are there any other questions, gentlemen?

Mr. DAVIES: I wonder if Mr. Fisher could give us a statement, or has he any information on exactly what their mix is now? Are they using domestically grown wheat in their mills? There has been quite a distinct and different agricultural policy in the United Kingdom in the last two years or so, and I think, as has been suggested before this committee, the domestic mills in Great Britain are obliged to use their wheat which is of inferior quality, or an inferior wheat, and I am wondering if some of the difficulties might be traceable to that. If Mr. Fisher could obtain that information for us, I, as a member of the committee, would like to have it.

The WITNESS: I will be very glad to cable over, Mr. Chairman, and get that information for the committee.

The ACTING CHAIRMAN: Are you ready to release Mr. Fisher, gentlemen? Witness retired.

The ACTING CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, we have Mr. Brouillette, President of the Canadian Co-operative Wheat Producers Limited, with us.

L. C. BROUILLETTE, called.

*By the Acting Chairman:*

Q. What is your title, Mr. Brouillette?—A. I am President of the Canadian Co-operative Wheat Producers Limited, and the Saskatchewan Co-operative Wheat Producers Limited.

Q. Have you a statement, Mr. Brouillette?—A. Mr. Chairman, Honourable Minister and gentlemen, not anticipating what information you may require, but which no doubt will be brought out in questions, I have a brief statement here supplementary to the evidence submitted to the Agricultural Committee in April, I think it was, in 1932, by two representatives at that time. Mr. Hutchinson spoke for the three Pools, together with Mr. Steele, who is at the present time superintendent of the Manitoba Pool Elevators.

Q. You have that statement with you?—A. Yes, sir. I will just read it.

In coming before your committee, I do not feel that there is much that I can add to the views brought before the Agricultural Committee over two years ago on the same subject by Mr. Lew Hutchinson, Vice-President of the Alberta Wheat Pool and Vice-Chairman of the Central Board, and Mr. R. C. Steele, now superintendent of Manitoba Pool Elevators.

The stand that our organizations took at that time, and we have consistently adhered to since, is that if the buyers of our wheat object to the mixing of Garnet with the top grades of Marquis or wheat of similarly high milling quality, Garnet wheat should be given a separate grade. The buyer may not be always right, he may be prejudiced or biased, but we have to supply the kind of wheat he wants and in the form in which he wants it. Our Canadian millers do not want Garnet wheat and discriminate against it in purchasing their supplies. Some of our overseas buyers are apparently satisfied with the milling qualities of Garnet wheat, but apparently, most of them are agreed that they do not want Garnet mixed with other wheat as it apparently requires different treatment in milling. I do not want to go into the technical arguments as to the milling and baking qualities of Garnet wheat as compared with our standard Marquis variety, as you no doubt have all the evidence you require on that point, but the stand that the Wheat Pools have always taken is that the Canadian certificate final must guarantee to the purchaser the quality of wheat which that certificate states.

As the largest grain handling organization in Canada, we have at all times supported the action of Parliament in raising the outturn standard of grain from