

Herein is a marvellous exhibition of a treacherous memory when a brother asks for assistance to get him out of a difficulty, brought on him by the very person to whom application is made, "the recollection of the whole matter and of all persons and things connected with it have completely vanished from the mind;" and yet the same "mind" is so clear that when a matter has to be remembered against the same brother Dean Grasett can actually remember what, he says, took place at a meeting nearly two months before, at which he was not present.

"719 WATERLOO STREET,

"LONDON, July 4th, 1879.

"RIGHT REV. A. SWEATMAN, M.A.,

"*Bishop of Toronto :*

"RIGHT REV. SIR,—I regret that I am obliged to trouble you again in reference to the remark that you made on April 26th, that 'a circumstance came to your knowledge which proved my want of sincerity.' This matter has been already so far explained to you that, I think I may say, the false impression against me, under which you then laboured, has been, to some extent at least, removed.

"I now ask you, as between man and man, to do to me as you would wish to be treated under the same circumstances, to give me your authority for making the remark above quoted, that is, to give me the name of the party who told you of the 'grave doubts,' etc., that I was said to have 'expressed to Dean Grasett,' as stated in your letter to me of April 29th.

"Awaiting your reply,

"I remain,

"Your obedient servant,

"J. WALKER MARSH."

---

*(Bishop Sweatman's Answer.)*

"TORONTO, ONT., July 7th, 1879.

"Ven. Archdeacon MARSH, London.

"DEAR SIR,—I returned to town late on Saturday night, only to leave at seven to-morrow morning, and can therefore only hurriedly write that, to the best of my recollection, it was