

**Some Hon. Members:** No.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. My understanding of the Minister's comments is that a photostat copy of the list in his hand will be tabled with the Chair. Is that correct?

**Mr. Johnston:** Mr. Speaker, I am quite happy to table my reference material as is, provided I receive a photocopy of it.

**Hon. Erik Nielsen (Yukon):** Mr. Speaker, since the Minister has referred to a project in my riding which I approved in 1979 when I was Minister of Public Works—

**Some Hon. Members:** Oh, oh!

**Mr. Lalonde:** Porkbarrel!

**Mr. Dubois:** Slush fund!

**Mr. Nielsen:**—which is the first air terminal building ever in Whitehorse, would he now table—which seems to be the logical extension—the complete list so that we will have before us a complete picture of the entire list of projects in all ridings?

[*Translation*]

**Hon. Yvon Pinard (President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, I wonder why the Hon. Members are taking offence when I am simply reminding my learned friend from the Yukon that, as he is well aware, the Minister has said that he would be willing to table a two-page document from which he read in the House. There is no question of the Minister tabling other documents which were in front of him but which were not quoted and which are not relevant, and there is no question of this because the public interest could be involved.

Indeed, the Minister will be doing this on his own. He is under no obligation to do so. He was quoting from personal notes jotted down on two sheets of paper which he is willing to table provided he gets a photocopy. As far as other documents are concerned, the Minister is under no obligation to table anything else.

[*English*]

**Mr. Johnston:** Mr. Speaker, might I just add that I have furnished the Hon. Member for Richmond-South Delta (Mr. Siddon) with all of the material, every scrap, every piece of paper respecting press releases, lists of projects. It is not a very big challenge for someone who has any wit, Mr. Speaker, to take that list and divide it up geographically around the country. All the locations are there. Therefore, I believe this exercise is somewhat academic. However, as I said, I am quite prepared to table this particular piece of paper.

**Mr. Speaker:** The Minister has undertaken to table the document to which reference is made and that appears to dispose of the matter.

**Mr. Siddon:** Mr. Speaker, I suspect it is only a partial list because it only includes members of this Party—

### *Introduction of Bills*

**Mr. Speaker:** The Hon. Member cannot speculate beyond this point. The Minister has undertaken to table a document to which reference was made. That disposes of the matter.

## ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[*English*]

### PETITIONS

MR. ROCHE—TESTING OF CRUISE MISSILE ON CANADIAN SOIL

**Mr. Douglas Roche (Edmonton South):** Mr. Speaker, today the organization known as “Families Against Cruise Testing” presented a petition to the Progressive Conservative Party in which a protest is made with respect to continued testing of Cruise missiles. I would like to submit this petition to the Chair at this point.

\* \* \*

● (1510)

### CRIMINAL CODE

AMENDMENT RESPECTING USE OF ANIMAL TRAPS

**Mr. Donald W. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-222, an Act to amend the Criminal Code (humane traps).

He said: Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this amendment is to prohibit the use of leg-hold snares and other inhumane types of traps where a practical alternative is now available or becomes available in the future, to promote the eventual phasing out of leg-hold traps, and to encourage the correct setting of traps so as to cause instantaneous death.

Motion agreed to, Bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

\* \* \*

### CRIMINAL LAW AMENDMENT ACT, 1983

AMENDMENTS TO RESTORE DEATH PENALTY FOR CERTAIN OFFENCES

**Mr. Donald W. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-223, an Act to amend the criminal law (punishment of certain offences).

He said: Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this Bill is to restore the death penalty for first-degree murder and high treason, while substituting a more humane mode of execution than that of hanging. The punishment for serious narcotics offences would also be stiffened, to the point of the death sentence for persistent repeaters.

Motion agreed to, Bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.