

Privilege—Mr. Hawkes

was signed between the provinces was one that was concluded by myself and two other ministers here. There was no other agreement signed by Mrs. Campagnolo. I think the Prime Minister might want to correct this statement himself. The only agreement on lotteries was made with the help of the justice department and also with the PCO under the jurisdiction of the Progressive Conservative government that was then in power.

[Translation]

Hon. Yvon Pinard (President of the Privy Council): If I may, Madam Speaker, I shall convey the representations of the hon. member to the Prime Minister who will act upon them, if necessary.

Madam Speaker: I must point out to the hon. member for Edmonton North (Mr. Paproski) that he cannot have corrections made to the blues of another member of the House. It is up to that member to have corrections made, if he feels he was wrong in his reply.

[English]

Mr. Paproski: Madam Speaker, with all due respect to the Chair, it was not my intention to change the blues. All I wanted to do was to remind the Prime Minister that he had made an error, and I would like him to correct it.

* * *

PRIVILEGE

MR. HAWKES—REMARKS OF MINISTER OF EMPLOYMENT AND IMMIGRATION DURING CONSIDERATION OF BILL C-19

Mr. Jim Hawkes (Calgary West): Madam Speaker, I have given you notice of my intention to raise a question of privilege. In reality I think there are four issues which affect the privileges of members of the House that have arisen during the course of debate on Bill C-19. I will take them in sequence.

First, I refer to pages 104 to 114 of *Beauchesne*, paragraph 319, under the heading "Referring to Members in Debate", and I read a small portion of that paragraph:

In the House of Commons a member will not be permitted by the Speaker to indulge in any reflections on the House itself as a political institution;—

I believe the next few words are crucial. They read as follows:

—or to impute to any member or members unworthy motives for their actions in a particular case; or to use any profane or indecent language;—

After a cursory glance at *Hansard* for the last three days of debate on Bill C-19, I have in my hand a typed list of 37 instances in which I think the Minister of Manpower and Immigration (Mr. Axworthy) has been in contravention of that privilege. If I may, perhaps I could read two or three of those, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker: Order, please. Does the hon. member intend to refer to a question of privilege flowing from the discussion which took place in Committee of the Whole?

Mr. Hawkes: Yes, Madam Speaker.

Madam Speaker: In that case, the hon. member should have brought the subject up in Committee of the Whole. Therefore, I cannot hear him at this time.

Mr. Hawkes: Madam Speaker, some of these instances that I wish to bring up today were in fact brought up in Committee of the Whole. This particular one on the use of language was not.

Madam Speaker: In that case, the hon. member will have to indicate to me from what deliberations exactly his question of privilege flows. Under those conditions and if they do not flow from the deliberations in Committee of the Whole, I can hear him.

Mr. Hawkes: Madam Speaker, it will take me a minute to collect my thoughts. The instances in question in *Hansard* occur in the issues for June 2, June 5, June 9 and June 11. Some of the debates are not in Committee of the Whole but are in fact debates in the House. Does that change my situation in any way?

The second item I wish to raise is found on pages 22 and 23 of *Beauchesne*. It is the issue of influencing members. In debate there is an issue that was brought up in this House during question period for the first time by the hon. member for Qu'Appelle-Moose Mountain (Mr. Hamilton).

[Translation]

Mr. Dennis Dawson (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Employment and Immigration): Madam Speaker, as pointed out in your remarks—

● (1510)

[English]

Madam Speaker: Order, please. A point of order can be brought up on a question of privilege, and I will hear the hon. member.

[Translation]

Mr. Dawson: Madam Speaker, as you pointed out, those are questions which have not been raised in Committee of the Whole. If the hon. member has any grievance concerning the proceedings in Committee of the Whole, he should raise the matter before the Committee of the Whole.

Madam Speaker: It is not clear even for the Chair what is exactly the matter in the remarks of the hon. member for Calgary West (Mr. Hawkes). I think we should listen to him very quietly and then I might be able to decide whether his question may be raised at this moment or some time later.

[English]

Mr. Hawkes: Madam Speaker, the issue which I wish to raise refers to articles found on pages 22 and 23 of *Beauchesne* under the title "Influencing Members". The particular article is No. 67. I wish to read just a short portion of that, as follows: