

## Order Paper Questions

NEHEMIAH RICHARDS

## Question No. 3,763—Mr. Cossitt:

Has a deportation order against nineteen year old Nehemiah Richards of Toronto been delayed while the Minister of Manpower and Immigration reviews the case and, if so (a) how long will the review take (b) will the Minister agree to giving at least a minimum of one month's notice if any such deportation order is to be reinstated (c) will the Minister agree to do everything possible to permit Nehemiah Richards to obtain immediate landed immigrant status in Canada so that he can remain with his family?

**Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** A review of the humanitarian circumstances involved in the case of Nehemiah Richards was completed in December 1975. The deportation order against him had to be executed, but since Mr. Richards' presence was necessary in Toronto in connection with the adoption proceedings (since he is over 18 years old) by his father, no immediate enforcement action was taken. Nehemiah Richards departed for Jamaica late in January and re-entered Canada February 5 on a Minister's Permit. As soon as immigration procedures have been completed, authority will be sought from the Governor-in-Council to land him.

## DREE—NEW BRUNSWICK REQUEST FOR FUNDING

## Question No. 3,807—Mr. Howie:

Did the Province of New Brunswick request funding from DREE over the next five years for (a) Fredericton-Westmorland Street Bridge (b) upgrading of Route 7, Fredericton to Saint John (c) upgrading of Route 8, Fredericton to Chatham (d) upgrading of Route 8, Fredericton to Newcastle (e) upgrading of Route 10, Fredericton to Chatham and, if so, in each case (i) how much (ii) how much financial assistance is DREE prepared to give?

**Mr. Cliff McIsaac (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Regional Economic Expansion):** The reply for the Department of Regional Economic Expansion is: In response to a request from the Minister of Regional Economic Expansion, the province of New Brunswick submitted to the Department an outline of the provincial plan for highway constructions and improvement to be undertaken over the next five years. Although the projects listed in this question form a part of the overall provincial plan, there has been no specific request from the province for DREE assistance to them. The question of what assistance, if any, might be provided is being considered in the continuing process of federal-provincial discussion regarding DREE activities in New Brunswick. (i) Not applicable; (ii) Not applicable.

## PUBLIC FUNDS SPENT FOR ST. LAWRENCE ISLANDS NATIONAL PARK

## Question No. 3,998—Mr. Cossitt:

1. What was the total amount of public funds spent for St. Lawrence Islands National Park during (a) 1973 (b) 1974 (c) 1975?
2. What is the estimated amount for 1976?

**Mrs. Iona Campagnolo (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development):** 1. (a) \$311,000; (b) \$397,000; (c) \$544,000.

[Mr. Basford.]

2. \$775,000.

## LIP—GRANT TO CONSTITUENCY OF MACKENZIE 1975-76

## Question No. 4,016—Mr. Korchinski:

1. What amount was originally allocated to the Constituency of Mackenzie for 1975-76 under the LIP Programme?
2. (a) What was the total amount, in dollars, of applications received from the Constituency (b) what amount was finally approved?
3. Were any funds directed out of the Constituency and, if so (a) in what amount (b) to which constituency were they appropriated and for what reason?
4. How many applications received required as much or less funds than those which were redirected and from which communities did they originate?
5. Of the amounts allocated for LIP Programmes in the Constituency of Mackenzie, were applications approved for harvesting ice and construction of ice houses and, if so, what amount was spent (a) within the boundaries (b) outside the boundaries of the Constituency and in which locations?

**Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. \$345,000.

2. (a) \$774,089; (b) \$345,000.

3. No. (a) Not applicable; (b) Not applicable.

4. Not applicable.

5. Yes. Two such projects were funded. (a) \$133,644; (b) Nil.

\* \* \*

## [Translation]

## QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

**Mr. J.-J. Blais (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, if questions Nos. 3,577 and 3,778 could be made orders for returns, those documents could be tabled immediately.

## [English]

**Mr. Speaker:** Is it the pleasure of the House that the two questions enumerated by the parliamentary secretary shall be deemed to have been made orders for returns?

**Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West):** No, Mr. Speaker. Yesterday I rose on this point and it was not an idle gesture on my part. I have discussed the matter with the parliamentary secretary. Today there is no reason given why this should be done. I should like to add to my reasons for raising this point. If the answers are tabled, they go to the parliamentary returns office. It is true that hon. members can go there, and if there are interested members of the press they can go there; but there are thousands of readers of *Hansard* who have no opportunity of seeing the answer.

I agree that there are occasion when this exception may be granted because answers are sometimes too voluminous, but the practice as it now stands is that the parliamentary secretary simply states the question number which is asked to be made an order for return. Not one hon. member of this House has the opportunity of going down to see what number it is, or of being consulted as to whether he agrees or not.

I have suggestions to make in this regard. The parliamentary secretary could phone the opposition whips and