

Questions

Christmas help was supplied to the extent of approximately \$80,000 on each of the days. The costs of the normal Saturday operation or additional administration and transportation costs on these days are not included.

6. (a) Approximately \$80,000; (b) approximately \$350,000; (c) information not available.

7. 17.

8. British Columbia Federation of Labour; Women's Caucus, Vancouver; Burnaby Civic Employees; CUPW, Local 23 Vancouver; CUPW, Local 23 Halifax; Pulp and Paper Workers of Canada; Winnipeg and District Labour Council CLC.

9. Federal minimum rate was applied.

MOVEMENT OF COMPANIES AND BUSINESSES FROM QUEBEC

Question No. 833—Mr. Robinson:

1. How many companies and/or businesses have moved out of Quebec in each year 1960 to 1969 inclusive and where did they subsequently locate in Canada or elsewhere?

2. What was the reason, if any, given for the move in each case?

3. How many companies and/or businesses have moved into Quebec in each year 1960 to 1969 inclusive and where did each locate?

4. Have any of those in Part 3 subsequently moved from Quebec and, if so, where to and for what reason?

Hon. Jean-Luc Pepin (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): In so far as the Dominion Bureau of Statistics is concerned: 1, 2, 3 and 4. Information requested is not available.

NATIONAL FILM BOARD—APPLICATION OF FILM CHARGES AT OVERSEAS LOCATIONS

Question No. 920—Mr. Rose:

1. Are the new charges announced for bookings of National Film Board films to be applied at overseas locations as well as in Canada?

2. Are these charges to be applied in all cases of showings or bookings of films which have been commissioned by government departments?

Hon. Gérard Pelletier (Secretary of State): Rental charges for films have now been cancelled.

PROJECTED DEMAND FOR FILM PRODUCTION

Question No. 922—Mr. Rose:

1. Has the government made any projections of the demand for films in Canada in the future for various uses and, if so, what are the names of any such studies and what were their conclusions?

[Mr. Kierans.]

2. Has the government made any study of the effect of the size of firm on the per unit costs of film production?

3. (a) What is regarded as the optimal size of plant for film production of various kinds (b) how many film production firms are there above and below this optimum and what are their names?

4. What percentage of the film production firms in the two categories and in total are (a) Canadian owned (b) foreign owned?

[Translation]

Hon. Gérard Pelletier (Secretary of State): I am informed by the National Film Board as follows: 1. In the opinion of the National Film Board, demand for films in Canada in the future will depend on (1) growth of population by various age groups; (2) increase in educational facilities; and (3) economic well-being of various age groups. No formal study has been conducted by the National Film Board but its distribution officers across Canada keep informed of new developments.

2. Based on tenders received by the National Film Board from film producing companies, the size of a firm on the per unit cost of film production is not significant.

3. With few notable exceptions, film producing companies do not maintain a technical services plant. These services are obtained from companies operating processing laboratories, sound recording studios, stage facilities, etc.

4. This information is not available to the National Film Board.

[English]

CANADIAN DOCTOR/POPULATION RATIO

Question No. 992—Mr. Mather:

For the years 1955, 1960, 1965, 1966, 1967, 1968 and 1969, what was the Canadian doctor/population ratio?

Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of National Health and Welfare): The population/physician (including graduate junior interns) ratio in Canada was as listed below:

Year (as of December 31 of that year)	Population/physician ratio
1955	929
1960	828
1965	779
1966	763
1967	749
1968	744
1969	718