

Mr. BURRELL: Our feeling in regard to the penalty clause was that it was really part of the protection. It is a protection to the farmers. However, all those details might be very much better discussed in committee, and I propose doing as my hon. friend from South Ontario (Mr. Smith) suggested, and will refer the Bill to the Agricultural Committee. So we need not discuss details now.

Resolution reported.

Mr. BURRELL moved for leave to introduce Bill 123, respecting live stock, founded upon the resolution.

Motion agreed to, and Bill read the first time.

CRIMINAL CODE AMENDMENT.

On motion of Hon. Mr. Doherty for the second reading of Bill 97, to amend the Criminal Code respecting jurors:

Mr. PUGSLEY: Would my hon. friend allow this Bill to stand? The hon. leader of the Opposition (Sir Wilfrid Laurier) is not present. I know he has had communications from at least one of the governments of the provinces upon this subject, and I feel quite sure he would like to be heard when the discussion takes place.

Mr. DOHERTY: The Bill contains one clause, and I rather hoped it would not give rise to discussion, but I do not desire to proceed with it in the absence of the right hon. gentleman (Sir Wilfrid Laurier).

Mr. PUGSLEY: To-morrow?

Mr. DOHERTY: I will bring it up to-morrow.

On motion of Hon. Mr. Doherty, the House adjourned at 10.30 p.m.

Thursday, August 9, 1917.

The House met at Three o'clock, the Speaker in the Chair.

SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO REVISE RULES CONCERNING DIVORCE.

On motion of Hon. Mr. Hazen, the name of Mr. Boys, was substituted for the name of Mr. Boyce, on the special committee to revise the rules concerning divorce.

Motion agreed to.

REPORT OF McLEOD-TELLIER COMMISSION.

Hon. C. J. DOHERTY (Minister of Justice): I beg to lay on the Table the evidence taken by the McLeod-Tellier Com-

mission. I am laying on the Table at the same time the evidence and proceedings before the Galt Commission, because of the fact that this was in major part the material with which the McLeod-Tellier Commission was called upon to deal. Whether it will be necessary, in the event of the proceedings before the McLeod-Tellier Commission being printed, to print also that most important material that they had before them may depend somewhat upon whether or not that evidence, having been already printed, I understand, by the provincial authorities, is available or not. But I thought it better to place before the House the entire material which the McLeod-Tellier Commission had before it.

Hon. WM. PUGSLEY: Is it intended to print the evidence without the matter being further considered? My right hon. friend (Sir Wilfrid Laurier) mentioned the matter, and perhaps it might be as well to allow it to stand till to-morrow and see what he desires. If the evidence before the Galt Commission is already printed and available, I do not see any reason for printing the same matter twice over. It would be merely necessary to print the supplemental evidence.

Mr. DOHERTY: I had a word last evening with the right hon. leader of the Opposition, and I rather understood from him then that he did not think it would be necessary even to lay on the Table this other material, but I think it is desirable, at all events, that we should put before the House the whole material which the McLeod-Tellier Commission dealt with. The evidence before the Galt Commission was perhaps the most important part, because their first duty was to pass upon the sufficiency of that evidence, and their report expresses their opinion on that. I think it will be found that there is no occasion to reprint that evidence, which is very voluminous.

Mr. PUGSLEY: Are there several copies available of the evidence printed by the Manitoba Government?

Mr. DOHERTY: I am not in a position to speak on that subject to-day. We might let the question of printing stand over.

Mr. PUGSLEY: You might have the supplemental evidence printed.

CRIMINAL CODE AMENDMENT.

Hon. Mr. DOHERTY asked leave to introduce Bill 124 to amend the Criminal Code and the Canada Evidence Act.