

No. 837—*Mr. Rowland*

1. What is the current projected total cost of construction, to completion, of the Grain Commissioners Building in Winnipeg?

2. Does this represent an increase from the original projected cost and, if so, by how much?

3. Have any alterations, additions or modifications been made in or to the building at the request or order of the Department of Public Works or the project manager, by the use of "change orders", "change notices" or other means and, if so, what is the description of each modification, addition or alteration, and the cost thereof?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/837.

No. 882—*Mr. Orlikow*

1. How much money was spent for agricultural research by the government in the past year in (a) Department of Agriculture facilities (b) National Research Council (c) university faculties of agriculture?

2. How much money is it proposed to spend in the coming year for agricultural research through each of the above three categories?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/822.

No. 960—*Mr. Lessard*

As at February 10, 1973, how many Local Initiative Projects were accepted and what amounts were distributed in each county of the Province of Quebec?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/960.

No. 1,058—*Mr. McKinnon*

Does the government allow employees of any department(s) to retire at the age of 55 and draw the two-percent cost of living increase on their pensions each year thereafter and, if so (a) what departments (b) is the same treatment made available to retired members of the Department of National Defence and, if not, for what reason?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,058.

No. 1,089—*Mr. Paproski*

1. How many full-time employees were under salary in the National Revenue Department on (a) January 1, 1963 (b) January 1, 1973?

2. How many people in each of these years were receiving salaries of (a) under \$10,000 (b) between \$10,000 and \$20,000 (c) over \$20,000?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,089.

No. 1,201—*Mr. Towers*

1. What was the amount, in dollars, of office equipment imported from the United States during 1972?

2. Is there any office equipment manufactured in Canada and sold to the U.S. or to any other country and, if so, in what amounts?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,201.

\*No. 1,380—*Mr. Diefenbaker*

What action has been taken by the Prime Minister and the government to carry out the promise made during the election that the City of Toronto would be given an 80

acre waterfront park paid for by the government?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,380.

No. 1,462—*Mr. Orlikow*

1. How many persons were serving penitentiary sentences in each penitentiary in Canada during 1972?

2. Of those serving penitentiary sentences, how many were (a) Indians within the meaning of the Indian Act (b) of Indian ancestry in each penitentiary during the year 1972?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,462.

No. 1,507—*Mr. Caouette (Charlevoix)*

1. By province, since 1967, what was (a) the seeded area (b) the total crops (c) the value of the rapeseed crop?

2. What part of such crops was exported and what part was consumed in Canada?

3. What were the rapeseed and its by-products used for in Canada?

4. What industries or enterprises are the largest buyers of rapeseed and its by-products and for what purpose are they used?

5. Does the government make grants of any kind to rapeseed growers and, if so, under what form?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,507.

Mr. Reid, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

Mr. Lewis (York South), from his place in the House, asked leave under Standing Order 26 to move the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a specific and important matter requiring urgent consideration, and stated the subject to be: the decision of the Canadian Transport Commission to grant Bell Canada almost all the rate increases it requested in its application A and the need for the government to suspend the application of the decision immediately and to consider rescinding it as unacceptable and contrary to the public interest.

Pursuant to section 6 of Standing Order 26, Mr. Speaker deferred the decision until later in the sitting as to whether the matter might properly be discussed.

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Gillespie, seconded by Mr. Dubé,—That Bill C-132, An Act to provide for the review and assessment of acquisitions of control of Canadian business enterprises by certain persons and of the establishment of new businesses in Canada by certain persons, be now read a second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs.

And debate continuing;