

Obviously, it would be relatively simple for us to produce a purely official foreign policy record; forget the non-official side and, like the U.S. State Department's weekly bulletin, stick to the speeches and documents originating from government and government-accredited sources. Let those who will disseminate the non-official side, and if no one considers that effort worth the time and money involved, tant pis!

Among those who incline to this view is a no less perceptive and experienced practitioner than our former "International Perspectives" editor, Murray Goldblatt. No doubt his view is influenced by the thought which we have in common but to which we give a different direction -- that "International Canada" might survive if it were better edited and produced more quickly.

2, | If the CIIA's conscience won't permit it to join with us, in a cooperative enterprise, we needn't be stuck. We might, for example, offer the non-official contract to Carleton University's School of Journalism, which might be happy to undertake it as a project, or to the same university's School of Political Science, or to a combination of the two. In charge of the Journalism School there are experienced writers, and classrooms of eager young beavers to assist them. I'm not at all sure that they wouldn't do a better job than the Parliamentary Centre -- CIIA set-up, and be in a better position