The number of tickets in each box was found to correspond with the number stated in the *proces-verbal* accompanying the same.

I thereupon proceeded to determine the number of votes given for each candidate during the election, and the result was as follows:

MUNICIPALITIES.			CANI	DIDATE	<b>h</b>	VOTES.	
No. 1. Municipality of . (or ward of the city or town.) No. 2. Municipality of (or ward of the town or city.) (And so on with the rest.)	A. B., C. D., E. F., G. H						
	à	4	. (1	61	<b>ct</b>	••	
	for	61	64	ta	CE	••	
Total number of votes given for each Candidate							

I struck off as being null:

	the scruting			VOTES.
The	Candidate	A. B.	received	
"	66	<b>C. D</b> .	66	
"	61	<b>E. F.</b>	(r	
¢£	44	G. H.	(1	

And that the candidate (candidate's name) received the largest number of votes in the Electoral College of

(or in the city or town of) as representative of the said college, (or city or town) in the Legislative Assembly (or Legislative Council) of this Province. (If several candidates are to be elected, the Returning Officer shall insert the names of the three candidates who shall have received the greatest number of votes, beginning with the candidate who shall have the highest number, and in place of the candidate he shall writ the candidates naming them) received, &c.

After having caused the above operation including the scrutiny and verification of the tickets to be supervised by the person above men tioned, I have prepared this *proces-verbal*, which they have signed with me.

(Signatures.)	K. S.
	L. M.
	<b>N.</b> O.
	P. R.
	S. B.
	<b>Returning Officer.</b>