

“Adjudor no viduct?—that is the question. Of course, it was settled years ago by the highest authority. But I am not sure that it is settled. Nothing is settled till it is settled right.”

The viduct was the scheme of an entrepreneur, a man who had been in some other country more pleasant to live than the laws of his own. It was a scheme to build a bridge over the appalling dangers of the Yonge and Bay cross-ings. The railways opposed it, but they were in harmony with the famous Laurier telegram, at the period of the 1904 general elections, the Yonge street bridge was the only bridge in the city. It was likely there would have been no viduct scheme—which only shows that great men are not always right.

Yonge does not always see as far into a waterfront as they think they do. They are not always right. The bankment—a mud wall—230 feet wide, and subways for each of the existing streets, are not always right. They do not mean also that tracks must be run off to the factories on both sides, including