manuist here, res may

young risk of apetition out prowhere it

Where ufactures here then nt under areful not ent, after men, and

and labor-

AGED.

ese classes, the fisherk our sons for giving g and supen, and for ges and en-

ffairs, men wo ways by nd creating eighbors do, al economy

d to obtain, what Nova of manufacod stock of actures, and competition, away to get g them back o make conof the sea for canada from Canadian cu-

pidity and spoliation; we must turn all foreign fishermen out of our waters, and make the United States, with their thirty millions of people, a free marke for our fish. To have plenty of miners, we must not make Canada a present of our revenue, but take off the royalty, which is nothing but a tax, on one of our most valuable exports, and thus help our miner to sell his coal cheaper in the foreign market, and there to undersell the foreign coal. In this way we shall so increase the demand for coal in the foreign market, that instead of a few hundreds we shall have thousands of miners, to consume the produce of agriculture, and instead of crippling our exports with a nonsensical royalty, we shall obtain a much greater amount of revenue, in a more legitimate way, by the multiplication of consumers.

The best political economists in the world are these keen visioned practical men who regulate the commerce of the United States, and who leave no industrial interest neglected or unprotected. The worst, are the contemptible politicians of Nova Scotia. By the soundness of their commercial system the United States created more wealth in the last seventy years than probably any other nation ever did in the same space of time since the world began; and they have spent an wasted more wealth in three years of civil war than any other people ever threw away in so short a time. And how is this explained? Why, they made their wealth by the wisest commercial system, and they lost it by the most stupid political system. In other words, a wise and vigilant protection of their industrial classes made their fortune; an accursed Confederation scattered it to the winds.

THE NEW CONSTITUTION UNDER CONFEDERATION.

Upon Lazarus' chapters headed "Political Aspect" and "General Legislature," I have some very serious remarks to make.

The Constitution of the SENATE of the Confederacy is touched very gently in these chapters, and indeed it appears that easy as he found it to enlarge on the blessings of confederation in its other aspects, when he came to face the difficulty of bringing before his readers the perfection of the Constitution of this branch of the new Legislature, his confidence vanished, his glibness forsook him, and he has been almost struck dumb. Well indeed might he hold his peace on such a subject! But before I examine the Constitution of the Senate, a word or two on the House of Commons. This has been constituted on the basis of population, and in fact it could not well be otherwise; but this does not prove the wisdom of our entering a Confederacy with only 19 out of 181 members. "But," says Lazarus, "the truth is" (and here he actually professes to tell the truth) "the very spirit and essence of constitutional government render injustice to a part nearly impossible without affecting the whole." If we had a legislative union there would really be some